Evaluation of maxillary sinus anatomical variations and pathologies in elderly, young, posterior dentate and edentulous patient groups with cone-beam computed tomography
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Background: The aim of this study was to evaluate the frequency of maxillary sinus pathologies and anatomic variations in elderly and edentulous patients by using cone-beam computed tomography (CBCT).

Materials and methods: The study included elderly and young patient groups. The elderly group involved posterior edentulous and dentate patients. CBCT images were assessed, and the presence of any pathological findings, septa, accessory maxillary ostium, and Haller cell in each maxillary sinus were recorded according to the groups. Chi-square test was used to analyse the intergroup differences (p < 0.05).

Results: There was no statistically difference between the young and elderly groups regarding the presence of a pathology (p = 0.077) and septa (p = 0.37), whereas accessory ostium (p = 0.009) was more common and Haller cell (p = 0.000) was less common in the elderly group when compared to the young group. There was no significant difference between the edentulous and dentate group regarding the presence of a pathology (p = 0.39), septation (p = 0.69) and Haller cell (p = 0.75); accessory ostium rate was found to be increased (p = 0.015) in edentulous patients.

Conclusions: It was observed that the frequency of accessory maxillary ostium was increased in elderly, especially in edentulism. And the frequency of Haller cell was found to be decreased in elderly patients. (Folia Morphol 2019; 78, 3: 595–599)
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INTRODUCTION
The operations performed to provide bone support to dental implants in the atrophic maxilla are known as sinus lifting and augmentation; they have a high success rate [4, 19]. Assessing the maxillary sinus anatomy by using cone-beam computed tomography (CBCT) prior to sinus lift surgery performed for posterior implants is recommended, in general [13]. The presence of maxillary sinus pathologies and septa require extra carefulness in dental implant procedures [10]. It is known that some anatomical variations of paranasal sinus can cause a tendency to infections while others can cause surgical complications [2, 3]. It was reported that variations of the maxillary sinus such as accessory maxillary ostium and Haller cell could lead to sinusitis [2, 22].

Today, the rate of dental implant applications is increasing. Since this need is particularly increased...
in posterior edentulous and elderly patients, dentists should be well educated about pathologies, and variations of the maxillary sinus.

This study aims to compare statistically the frequencies of maxillary sinus pathologies and anatomical variations such as septa, accessory maxillary ostium, and Haller cells in young and elderly patients, posterior dentate and edentulous patients in elderly patients whom CBCT images were obtained before.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The study was conducted by the retrospective analysis of patients CBCT results, who had been admitted to Inonu University Faculty of Dentistry, Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Radiology between years of 2011 and 2017 for various reasons (preoperative evaluations for planned implant procedures or other operations, orthodontic assessments, etc.).

**Study samples**

An ethics committee report was obtained for this retrospective study from the Inonu University Medical Sciences Scientific Research and Publication Ethics Committee (2017/24-15).

Two hundred and fifty-eight maxillary sinus CBCT images from 140 patients were evaluated. The elderly patient group included patients over 50 years old, whereas the young patient group included patients between 20 and 30 years of age. Eighty-nine patients were included in the elderly patient group, and 51 were included in the young patient group.

The patients who had lost all premolar and molar teeth were considered as the posterior edentulous patient group in the elderly group. As the number of patients who had no missing premolar and molar was insufficient, the patients who had only one or two posterior teeth missing were categorised as the posterior dentate group in the elderly group. Among the elderly patient group, 48 of the patients were included in the elderly patient group, and 41 were included in the young patient group.

The patients who had lost all premolar and molar teeth were considered as the posterior endentulous patient group in the elderly group. As the number of patients who had no missing premolar and molar was insufficient, the patients who had only one or two posterior teeth missing were categorised as the posterior dentate group in the elderly group. Among the elderly patient group, 48 of the patients were included in the elderly patient group, and 41 were included in the posterior edentulous group.

The young patient group consisted of patients who had all their premolars and molars and 51 patients were included in this group.

The CBCT images of the patients who had undergone alveolar crest implantation and bone grafting close to the maxillary sinus, the patients who had extensive pathologies disturbing the anatomy of the working field such as tumours or cysts, and the patients with syndromes or disorders affecting bone metabolism were not included in the study.

**CBCT image acquisition and analysis**

The images obtained by NewTom 5G (Verona, Italy) CBCT machine, using cone beam technique and 110 kVp and with maximum 20 mA as standards, were evaluated. The patients were in a supine position during CBCT imaging. The duration of imaging was 18 s. Images with a field of view of 18 × 16 or 15 × 12 centimetre were used. The voxel values of the images were 0.3, 0.25, and 0.2 mm.

The CBCT images were evaluated by an experienced oral radiologist using NNT (New NewTom, Verona, Italy) software. Among the multiplanar reformation images, the coronal sections were particularly examined, and the presence of any pathology, septation, accessory ostium, and Haller cell was recorded for each sinus. The results were categorised and evaluated according to the elderly patient (posterior edentulous + dentate) and young patient groups.

**Definition of maxillary sinus pathologies and anatomical variations**

The dome-shaped opacities located within the maxillary sinus were considered as retention cysts [10], and the mucosal thickenings over 3 mm [21] and the polypoid lesions originating from the sinus mucosa [11], which were in a size that did not prevent seeing anatomical variations, were considered as pathological. The sinus pathologies such as retention cysts (Fig. 1A), mucosal thickening over 3 mm (Fig. 1B) and polypoid lesion (Fig. 1C) were shown in Figure 1. The records of patients who had extensive inflammatory lesions such as polyps or opacifications large enough to alter sinus anatomy were not included in the study.

The cortical bone process extending from the lateral or inferior sinus wall into the sinus was considered as a septum [5]. The extra ostium located in the medial sinus wall, in addition to the natural ostium, was considered as an accessory ostium [8]. The cell that develops medial to the roof of the maxillary sinus and medial to the inferior wall of the orbit was considered as the Haller cell [6]. The maxillary sinus anatomical variations as septa (Fig. 2A), accessory ostium (Fig. 2B) and Haller cell (Fig. 2C) were shown in Figure 2.

**Statistical analysis**

The data were analysed using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS), version 20.0. Chi-square
test was used to determine the differences between the groups (p < 0.05).

RESULTS

Cone-beam computed tomography results of 258 maxillary sinuses from 140 patients were evaluated. For all the patients included in the study, the age range was 20–79 years with a mean age of 45.17 ± 18 years. For the elderly group, the age range was 50–79 years with a mean age of 58.42 ± 7.21 years (partial dentate group age range: 50–76, mean: 56.78 ± 5.77, posterior edentulous group age range 50–79, mean 60.08 ± 8.12). The age range for young patient group was 20–28 years, and the mean age was 23.21 ± 2.09 years (Table 1).

Among the elderly patient group, 163 maxillary sinuses of 89 patients were evaluated. In the partial dentate sub-group of elderly patient group, a total of 82 maxillary sinuses of 48 patients were evaluated. In the posterior edentulous sub-group of elderly patient group, a total of 81 maxillary sinuses of 41 patients were evaluated. For the young patient group, a total of 95 maxillary sinuses from 51 patients were evaluated. The distribution of evaluated maxillary sinus according to gender and groups is presented in Table 2.

The frequency and statistical differences in pathologies and anatomical variations between the groups are shown in the Tables 3 and 4.

DISCUSSION

The anatomical structure of the maxillary sinus is crucial for dentistry [10]. The findings related to inflammation of the maxillary sinus are important for the sinus lift procedure. This problem is associated with the
Table 3. Comparison of the frequency of maxillary sinus pathology and anatomical variations in between elderly patients and young patient; *p < 0.05, chi-square test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluated pathology and anatomical variations</th>
<th>Elderly patient group</th>
<th>Young patient group</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Chi-square test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pathology</td>
<td>75 (40%)</td>
<td>33 (34.7%)</td>
<td>108 (41.9%)</td>
<td>0.077</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Septa</td>
<td>46 (28.2%)</td>
<td>22 (23.2%)</td>
<td>68 (26.4%)</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accessory ostium</td>
<td>73 (44.8%)</td>
<td>27 (28.4%)</td>
<td>100 (38.8%)</td>
<td>0.009*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haller cell</td>
<td>13 (8%)</td>
<td>24 (25.3%)</td>
<td>37 (14.3%)</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Comparison of the frequency of maxillary sinus pathology and anatomical variations in between posterior dentate patients and posterior edentulous patients in the elderly patients group. *p < 0.05, chi-square test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluated pathology and anatomical variations</th>
<th>Posterior dentate patient group</th>
<th>Posterior edentulous patient group</th>
<th>Total in elderly patient group</th>
<th>Chi-square test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pathology</td>
<td>35 (42.7%)</td>
<td>40 (49.4%)</td>
<td>75 (46%)</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Septa</td>
<td>22 (26.8%)</td>
<td>24 (29.6%)</td>
<td>46 (28.2%)</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accessory ostium</td>
<td>29 (35.4%)</td>
<td>44 (54.3%)</td>
<td>73 (44.8%)</td>
<td>0.015*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haller cell</td>
<td>6 (7.3%)</td>
<td>7 (8.6%)</td>
<td>13 (8%)</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pathologies and anatomical variations of the maxillary sinus [23]. CBCT, a significant imaging technique in dentistry, is considered fundamental for detection of maxillary sinus lesions and anatomical variations [10].

According to Som [17], sinus mucosa thickening does not occur under normal conditions. More than 3 mm thickening of the mucosa is considered as pathological [21]. The polypoid lesions in the maxillary sinus are known as mucous retention cysts and antrochoanal polyps [10]. The mucous retention cysts are the dome-shaped opacities in the maxillary sinus walls, which form because of the clogged mucous glands [10]. Antrochoanal polyps are benign polypoid lesions originating from the sinus mucosa [11]. In their study, which was performed using CBCT, Ritter et al. [14] found the total prevalence of maxillary sinus pathologies to be 56%, and that the frequency of pathology was statistically significantly higher in the group aged over 60 years. In our study, mucosal thickenings over 3 mm, retention cysts, and polypoid lesions were considered as pathological finding. The pathology frequency was not statistically significant between the all groups evaluated in this study.

Presence of septa in the maxillary sinus increases the risk of membrane perforation in sinus floor elevation procedures [20]. Such a perforation can result in acute or chronic sinusitis and can lead to bone resorption [1]. Mudgade et al. [7] found the prevalence of septa to be 66.7% and Shahidi et al. [16] found to be 45.4% with CBCT. Qian et al. [12] compared the prevalence of septation among edentulous patients (57.4%) and dentate patients (39.7%) using CBCT imaging. They determined that septa prevalence was statistically significantly higher in edentulous patients. Schröer et al. [15] conducted a study on edentulous and dentate patients, whose mean age was 58.3 years. They found no statistically significant difference between the edentulous and dentate areas regarding septa prevalence [15]. In our study, there was not statistically significant difference between the posterior dentate and edentulous groups and between the elderly and young groups regarding the frequency of septa.

Yenigün et al. [22] conducted a study among patients with the mean age of 36.21 ± 15.50 years and found that 19% of their patients had an accessory ostium. The same study also concluded that the formation of these ostia was statistically significantly more common in patients with mucous retention cysts, mucosal thickenings, and maxillary sinusitis [22]. In our study the frequency of accessory maxillary ostium was higher in the elderly patient group than in the young patient group; it was also higher in the posterior edentulous patient group than in the posterior dentate patient group.

Haller cells can cause maxillary sinusitis when they enlarge and subsequently constrict the ostiomeatal complex [18]. Pekiner et al. [9] determined the frequency of Haller cells to be 43% in the group of subjects with a mean age of 33.24 years and found no statistically significant difference regarding the occurrence of Haller cells among the groups aged 20–29, 30–39, 40–49, and above 50 years. In our study the frequency of Haller cell was found to be higher in the young patient group compared to the elderly patient group. However there was no statistically significant difference between the posterior dentate and edentulous patient groups regarding the frequency of Haller cell.

**CONCLUSIONS**

The prevalence of Haller cells (which can influence sinusitis development) and accessory maxillary ostium were found to be statistically dependent on old age and the absence of teeth. The frequency of accessory ostium was found to increase with age, and this increase was more prominent in total edentulism. In addition to the currently present information in the literature suggesting a relationship between accessory ostium and sinusitis, we determined that old age con-
tributes to the increased prevalence of maxillary sinus ostium, especially in totally edentulous patients. We suggest that this situation might be related to elderliness and the resorption mechanism that follows total edentulism. It was also determined that the frequency of Haller cells decreased with elderliness; however, this reduction did not correlate with total edentulism. We suggest that further studies, especially concerning Haller cells and accessory maxillary ostium in elderly and edentulous patients, should be performed.
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