Accessory muscles of the anterior thoracic wall and axilla. Cadaveric, surgical and radiological incidence and clinical significance during breast and axillary surgery
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Background: The present study aims to summarise the accessory muscles of the anterior thoracic wall and axilla that can be encountered during breast and axillary surgery and record their incidence and clinical significance. Moreover, the laterality of the atypical muscles is highlighted and possible gender dimorphism is referred.

Accessory anterior thoracic wall muscles include: Langer’s axillary arch, sternalis muscle, chondrocoracoideus, chondroepitrochlearis, chondrofascialis, pectoralis minimus, pectoralis quartus and pectoralis intermedius.

Materials and methods: The anatomical, surgical and radiological literature has been reviewed and an anatomical study on 48 Greek adult cadavers was performed.

Results: Literature review revealed the existence of accessory muscles of the anterior thoracic wall and axilla that have a significant incidence that can be considered high and may, therefore, have clinical significance. For the most common of these muscles, which are axillary arch (Langer’s) and sternalis muscle, the cadaveric incidence is 10.30% and 7.67%, respectively. In the current cadaveric study, accessory thoracic wall muscles were identified in two cadavers; namely a bilateral sternalis muscle (incidence 2.08%) extending both to the anterior and posterior surface of the sternum and a left-sided chondrocoracoideus muscle (of Wood) (incidence 2.08%).

Conclusions: Despite the fact that accessory anterior thoracic wall and axillary muscles are considered to be rare, it is evident that the incidence of at least some of them is high enough to encounter them in clinical practice. Thus, clinicians’ awareness of these anatomical structures is advisable. (Folia Morphol 2019; 78, 3: 606–616)
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INTRODUCTION

Anatomical variations of the accessory thoracic wall muscles are well described; however, literature lacks systematic presentation of their incidence. Accessory muscles of the anterior thoracic wall include: Langer’s axillary arch or axillary arch (LAA), sternalis muscle (S), chondrocoracoideus muscle (CC), chondroepitrochlearis muscle (CE), chondrofascialis muscle (CF), pectoralis minimus muscle (Pm), pectoralis quartus muscle (Pq), and pectoralis intermedius muscle (Pi).

The LAA or axillopectoral or dorsoepitrochlearis or pectorodorsalis muscle or Achselbogen of Langer extends from the anterior border of the latissimus dorsi muscle (LD) to the tendon of pectoralis major (PM) crossing the axilla and is relatively frequent among the accessory thoracic wall muscles having an incidence of 5.3% [77, 79].

Sternalis muscle (S) or episternals or parasternals or presternals or rectus sterni or rectus thoracis or rectus thoracicus superficialis or superficialis rectus abdominis overlies PM and is presented as a parasternal mass posteriorly to the superficial fascia of the anterior thoracic wall and anteriorly to the deep fascia [83]. There is variability in reported origins including the sternum, the inferior border of the clavicle, the sternocleidomastoid fascia, PM, and the upper ribs and their costal cartilages; while the insertion includes the lower ribs and their costal cartilages, PM, rectus abdominis sheath, and the external abdominal oblique muscle (EOM) aponeurosis [83, 88]. S prevalence is approximately 7.8% [74] with an intrapopulation variety ranging from 1% in Taiwanese to 23.5% in Northern Chinese [37].

The Pi or pectoralis tertius or xiphihumeralis is located in the deep layer of PM, below pectoralis minor (pm). It arises from the 3rd to 5th rib and runs almost parallel with pm [71]. It then attaches to the tendon of the short head of biceps brachii (BB) or to the coracobrachialis muscle (CB), medial to the short head of BB and external to pm [9, 86].

The current study aims to record the incidence of the accessory muscles of the anterior thoracic wall and axilla based on a cadaveric study in a Greek adult population and on a detailed literature review. Moreover, the laterality and possible gender dimorphism of the atypical muscles are highlighted.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The cadaveric study was performed in the Department of Anatomy, School of Medicine, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens between the last 12 years (2006–2018). Forty-eight (32 male and 16 female) formalin embalmed adult cadavers of Greek Caucasian origin, were dissected for tutorial purposes. They were donated to our Department after written informed consent for teaching purposes. They were dissected and examined for the presence of any accessory anterior thoracic wall or axillary muscles.

A meticulous literature review was performed on the electronic databases Pubmed and Google Scholar by using the keywords: “axillary arch” or “axillary arch of Langer” or “Langer’s arch” or “dorsoepitrochlearis” or “axillopectoral muscle” or “pectorodorsalis muscle”, “sternalis muscle” or “rectus sternalis” or “episternals” or “parasternals” or “presternals” or “rectus sterni” or “rectus thoracis” or “rectus thoracicus superficialis” or “superficialis rectus abdominis”, origins from the lower ribs, the PM inferolateral aspect, or the EOM aponeurosis, which crosses the axilla and inserts in the medial intermuscular septum or the medial humeral epicondyle [48, 50]. It is not uncommon to coexist with LAA [50].

The CF has been suggested to be an intermediate form between Pq and CE, based on its insertion into the arm fascia. However, unlike Pq, it lacks attachment to the PM and unlike CE it is not attached to the humerus [5].

The Pm or sternocostocoracoidian or sternochondrocoracoideus or chondrocoracoideus ventralis or sternochondrocoracoideus ventralis attaches medially to the 1st [27, 80] or 2nd rib [80] and inserts onto the CP [27].

The CC or muscle of Wood is an extremely rare accessory thoracic wall muscle arising via slips from the 6th to 8th rib or the rectus sheath. It inserts into the CP and lies superficial to the coracobrachialis muscle (CB), medial to the short head of BB and external to pm [9, 86].
"pectoralis intermedius" or "pectoralis tertius" or "xiphihumeralis", "pectoralis quartus", "chondroepitrochlearis" or "costoepitrochlearis" or "thoracoepicondylaris" or "chondrohumeralis" or "chondrobachi-
alis" or "costohumeralis", "chondrofascialis muscle", "pectoralis minimus" or "sternocostocoracoidian" or "sternochondrocoracoideus" or "chondrocoracoideus ventralis" or "sternochondrocoracoideus ventralis", "chondrocoracoideus muscle" or "muscle of Wood". We have included cadaveric, surgical and radiological studies published in English language and excluded publications where necessary data for conclusions were missing. Concerning the accessory muscles, where studies of large series of subjects were available, we have excluded cases reports. Regarding very rare accessory muscles, the cases reports were included.

RESULTS

Anatomical study

Among 48 cadavers, 2 accessory muscles of the anterior thoracic wall were found, while no accessory muscle in the axilla was encountered. The first finding was a unique S found in an 18-year-old male cadaver and such a finding has not been described before. The bilateral and asymmetrical S extended on the anterior and posterior surface of the sternum (Fig. 1A, B): a finding that is considered extremely rare. The PM sternocostal origin was absent. On the anterior surface of the sternum, the muscular slips of the bilateral S extended from the 1st to the 4th costal cartilage on the left side, while the right muscular slip was running from the 2nd to the 4th costal cartilage. The length of the right muscular slip was 6.5 cm. The left muscular slip had two fibrous attachments and a length of 10 cm and 3 cm, respectively (Figs. 1A, 1C-a). On the posterior surface of the sternum, on the left side there was an atypical fibrous bundle of 12 cm length extending from the 2nd to the 6th costal cartilage and was attached to the posterior surface of the sternum. On the right side, a 16 cm bundle was attached to the sternothyroid muscle. This bundle was fibrous until the 2nd costal cartilage and continued as muscular; at the 4th costal cartilage it bifurcated into two fibrous bundles which were attached to the 5th costal cartilage and through the posterior layer of rectus sheath it attached to the transverse thoracis muscle (Fig. 1B, 1C-b).

The second finding was a left-sided CC (of Wood), detected in a 78-year-old male cadaver. CC was an extension of the PM abdominal portion (Fig. 2). On the ipsilateral side, the CC coexisted with a CB of one head, arising from the medial border of the tendon of the BB short head. The left accessory CC, emerging with three
slips from the 6th–8th ribs and the EOM aponeurosis. At the level of the 5th and 6th ribs, the accessory muscle was fused to the PM sternocostal portion and inserted into the CP after its fusion with the tendon of the short head of the biceps brachii; AA — axillary artery.

**Langer’s axillary arch incidence among studies**

Based on the literature review, 13 cadaveric studies (893 cadavers) were included for the calculation of the LAA incidence. Ninety-two (10.3%) LAAs were detected in 893 cadavers. Among them, in 31 cadavers, the LAA appeared unilaterally (72.09%) and in 12 cadavers bilaterally (27.91%), in 11 out of 20 (55%) of the cases, it was located on the right hemithorax and 12 out of 18 (66.67%) cadavers with a LAA were male. Three imaging studies (2 ultrasound [U/S] and 1 computed tomography [CT] with 2511 patients) were found to highlight the LAA appearance. The LAA was detected in 190 out of 2511 (7.57%) cases in total, in 59 out of 550 cases in CT (10.73%) and in 121 out of 1961 (6.17%) cases in U/S. Gender details were given for 131 patients, out of whom 107 (81.68%) were men. Eight studies (1859 patients) were based on surgical findings regarding LAA. Thirty-six LAAs were found in 1859 (1.94%) patients. Gender details were only available for 13 patients (7 male and 6 female, 53.85% and 46.15%, respectively).

**Sternalis muscle incidence among studies**

Eleven cadaveric studies (2880 cadavers) were included for the calculation of the S incidence. Two hundred and twenty-one S (7.67%) were detected in 221 cases: 149 (67.42%) male and 72 (32.58%) female cadavers. Among them, in 40 (27.21%) cadavers, the S appeared bilaterally and in 107 (72.79%) cadavers unilaterally. In order to find the incidence of bilateral appearance of this atypical muscle, only studies with information of laterality were included. Thus, S was right-sided in 72 (67.29%) cases and left-sided in 35 (32.71%) cases. Five imaging (3 CT and 2 mammography [M]) studies with 93265 patients were also included, in which 547 S (0.59%) in 272 males (49.73%) and 275 (50.27%) females were encountered (377 unilateral, 69.43% and 166 bilateral cases, 30.57%). In 3 CT studies (8335 patients), S was detected in 533 cases (incidence of 6.39%), in 367 (68.86%) cases unilaterally and in 166 (31.14%) cases bilaterally. Among cases with unilateral occurrence, S was detected in 228 (62.13%) cases on the right side, in 137 (37.33%) cases on the left side and in 2 (0.54%) cases S was crossed. Two hundred and seventy-two (51.03%) males and 261 (48.97%) females had the S. In 2 M (84930 patients) the very low number of 14 subjects (0.02%) was recorded. In 2 studies based on surgery (2302 patients), 11 S were found (0.48%).

It is evident that the sensitivity of studies with M and surgery is very low, thus only the cadaveric and CT studies were included in the calculation of the incidence of the atypical muscles of the anterior thoracic wall and axilla. Thus, 11215 subjects were encountered in 14 studies and 754 S were found (6.72%) in 420 (54.76%) males and 347 (45.24%) females. The S occurred unilaterally in 474 (69.71%) cases (300 cases on the right, 172 cases on the left and 2 cases were crossed) and bilaterally in 206 (30.29%) cases (Tables 1–3).

**Pectoralis quartus muscle incidence among studies**

A single cadaveric study (119 cadavers) encountering 3 (2.52%) Pq was found. All 3 muscles were detected unilaterally (2 right and 1 left sided) (Table 1).

**Pectoralis minimus muscle incidence among studies**

A single cadaveric study (65 cadavers) encountering 1 (1.54%) Pm was found (Table 1).

**Chondroepitrochlearis muscle incidence among studies**

Two cadaveric studies (319 cadavers) encountering 2 (0.63%) CE were found (Table 1).
DISCUSSION

The pectoral muscle mass develops from the hypaxial part of the associated somites and gives rise to PM and pm. An abnormal development of the pectoral muscle mass may result in partial or complete absence of a muscle or give rise to a variant or accessory one [20]. Pectoral muscles genesis from the panniculus carnosus is a plausible theory. Muscles' remnants were identified in between the superficial fascia and subcutaneous tissue. Pq may be a remnant of the ventral part of the subcutaneous trunci muscle in lower mammals, differing from the LAA which was derived from the dorsocranial part of the muscle [71]. CE is a remnant of the most inferior insertion part of PM [29].

It is evident that cadaveric studies have the higher sensitivity in calculating the total prevalence of the atypical muscles in the general population, while the sensitivity of studies with M and surgery is very low. Thus only the cadaveric and CT studies were included in the calculation of the incidence of the atypical muscles of the anterior thoracic wall and axilla.

Table 1. The incidence of accessory muscles among cadaveric studies (C)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variants</th>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>N (%)</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>U</th>
<th>Right</th>
<th>Left</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LAA</td>
<td>Turki and Adds [82]</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>3 (1.07%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Natsis et al. [57]</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>5 (4.67%)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bertone et al. [10]</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>9 (23.08%)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Georgiev et al. [31]</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>2 (3.57%)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kalaycioglu et al. [40]</td>
<td>1998</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1 (1.67%)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kasai et al. [43]</td>
<td>1977</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>46 (52.27%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Miguel et al. [55]</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>3 (6%)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Perrin [60]</td>
<td>1871</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>10 (17.24%)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rizk et al. [65]</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>3 (8.57%)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Takafuji et al. [76]</td>
<td>1991</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>5 (10.64%)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Merida-Velasco et al. [54]</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>3 (9.38%)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bharambh et al. [12]</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1 (6.67%)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Turgut et al. [81]</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1 (3.85%)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total LAA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>893</td>
<td>92 (10.3%)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sternalis muscle (S)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adachi [1]</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>27 (14.75%)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Locchi [52]</td>
<td>1930</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>303</td>
<td>30 (9.90%)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mori [56]</td>
<td>1964</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>375</td>
<td>39 (10.40%)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bergman et al. [7]</td>
<td>1988</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>74 (7.40%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>36</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jeng and Su [39]</td>
<td>1998</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>2 (0.97%)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Londhe et al. [53]</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1 (10%)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Raikos et al. [63]</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1 (2.22%)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chaijaroonkanarak et al. [17]</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>10 (8.55%)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Katara et al. [44]</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1 (3.33%)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Saeed et al. [67]</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>3 (4%)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2880</td>
<td>221 (7.67%)</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CE</td>
<td>Flaherty et al. [29]</td>
<td>1999</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>1 (0.50%)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Natsis et al. [58]</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>1 (0.84%)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total CE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>319</td>
<td>2 (0.63%)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PQ</td>
<td>Natsis et al. [57]</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>3 (2.52%)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total PQ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>319</td>
<td>2 (0.63%)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muscles variants — PM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Turgut et al. [80]</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>1 (1.54%)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LAA — Langer’s axillary arch; CE — chondroepitrochlearis; PQ — pectoralis quartus; PM — pectoralis minimus; B — bilaterally; U — unilaterally
Coexistence of the accessory thoracic wall muscles

The accessory muscles of the anterior thoracic wall and axilla may occur isolated or in combination with other abnormal muscles. Bonastre et al. [14] reported an interesting case of Pq occurring alongside a LAA, in which Pq inserted into the inferomedial aspect of LAA instead of the usual bicipital groove insertion. A similar case was reported by Bergman [8], where a double Pq inserted into a LAA. Fabrizio and Hardy [28] noted a case of Pq occurring along another accessory thoracic muscle on the left side of a 60-year-old male cadaver. The Pq arose from the 5th costal cartilage overlying pm origin and coursed superiorly to insert into CB fascia, while the accessory muscle arose from the EOM aponeurosis and inserted into the CB fascia, deep to Pq insertion. CE has a high predilection to occur simultaneously with a LAA [8, 19, 50, 51, 75], although it has been reported to exist isolated [29, 36, 68, 69, 87]. Chiba et al. [19] and Lama et al. [50] reported a rare case of CE occurring along with the LAA. In Chiba et al. [19] case, the CE arose via two slips from the lower costal cartilages. Bryce [16] reported a case with multiple supernumerary muscles (the CE, S, and sternoclavicularis) on the right hemithorax of a female cadaver and a deficit in the PM sternal portion.

Clinical significance

The clinical significance of the accessory muscles of the anterior thoracic wall remains controversial.
The controversy may be attributed to the rare occurrence of these anatomical variants, as well as to lack of clinician awareness often leading to failure reporting or identification. It has been well observed that intraoperative recognition of muscular variants in a given anatomical region can reflect awareness of the variant itself, but not necessarily its respective surgical significance [57]. Accessory muscles of the anterior thoracic wall are not routinely mentioned in descriptive or surgical anatomy textbooks. In this context, even experienced breast or thoracic surgeons may fail to recognise the variants in the operative field [66]. We contend that the purpose of a surgical dissection may be very different as compared to the fine descriptive nature of cadaveric dissections carried out for teaching or research [57].

Failure to recognise the accessory muscles preoperatively or intraoperatively is not always complication-free. Most often though, division of the accessory muscles is carried out without functional deficit for the patient and in certain cases, may be advisable in order to manage symptoms presumably related to the variants presence [51, 57].

Evidence supporting the clinical significance of muscle variants in the anterior thoracic wall is quite sparse and does not allow drawing safe conclusions. LAA and S have been more widely described in literature than other variants. There is no clarity as to the clinical importance of the accessory muscles that appear less often than the aforementioned variants, given the paucity of data on their intraoperative recognition [57]. Nevertheless, most publications pertaining to the commonest accessory muscles are cadaveric studies, where clinical data regarding symptoms or surgical complications due to the presence of those muscles are unavailable. There are few studies to have included imaging or surgical data; however, these were mainly designed to investigate the prevalence of these muscles and not potential surgical implications related to their presence. The main body of the literature related to symptomatic presence of an accessory muscle is case reports. Most references regarding the clinical significance of the accessory muscles are usually based on assumptions, after taking into consideration published data, as well as the anatomical area where an accessory muscle lies, which consequently does not provide us with high quality data.

**LAA.** The accessory muscles that run through the axillary basin may alter the operative field boundaries and impact on surgical procedures in the axilla, such as axillary node clearance, sentinel lymph node biopsy and LD myocutaneous flap reconstruction [57]. The clinical implications of the occurrence of accessory muscles in the axilla have mainly been studied with regards to LAA [23, 72]. During sentinel node biopsy, LAA may cause delays by transposing the lymph nodes to a higher level, as it stretches in the hyper-abducted position [45]. It can also lead to modification of the axillary node clearance [57], as it can be mistaken for the lateral margin of LD, divert the dissection into a plane above the axillary vein and thus increase the risk of neurovascular injury [57].

It may also conceal the lateral group of level I axillary lymph nodes, whilst crossing over the axillary vein [57]. Consequently, the surgeon may overlook nodes sitting at the lateral edge of the axillary basin, leading to suboptimal nodal dissection, increased risk of axillary recurrence in breast cancer patients, as well as inaccurate staging due to less nodal retrieval [61]. LAA presence has also been correlated with increased risk of lymphoedema following LD reconstruction [45]. In view of the above complications, identification of the LAA during regional dissections may be crucial [23, 72] and division at the level of axillary vein is deemed necessary [2]. Division of the arch leads to clear identification of the anatomic landmarks of the axillary basin and prevents axillary vein compression and associated lymphoedema [45, 61]. Moreover, the LAA presence can obscure clinical examination when palpable, as it can misguide towards a diagnosis of an axillary mass or lymphadenopathy [41, 45]. Finally, arm movement in the presence of a LAA may cause entrapment of the neurovascular bundle, leading to circulatory deficiency, chronic pain and paraesthesia [38, 42].

**Sternalis muscle.** S presence on the abdominal wall imaging can reportedly complicate diagnosis of regional abnormalities, as it is depicted as focal density in the medial breast on mammograms, often mimicking a neoplasm. Understanding of this anatomical variant is clinically meaningful, as it may avoid unnecessary invasive procedures that can lead to complications, increase cost and impact on patient anxiety [15, 25, 67]. Moreover, S has been reported to impact on breast and thoracic surgery as it can cause delays if unrecognised [70]. When detected pre-surgery, it can be used as a muscle flap in sub-pectoral breast reconstruction and potentially improve aesthetic results or reduce the cost by avoiding the
use of acellular dermal matrix, in order to fully cover the prosthesis. It can also be used in breast augmentation by providing extra cover for the prosthesis and improve cosmesis [35]. Enlarged S may impact on sub-pectoral breast reconstruction, as it is often associated with a defect in the medial aspect of the ipsilateral PM, which exposes the costal cartilages medially [22, 34, 59, 83]. In such cases, provided the presence of S is known preoperatively, a pre-pectoral approach for the breast reconstruction might be more appropriate. Alternatively, lifting both PM and S, in order to provide implant cover and to be able to place the implant medially might be necessary, in cases where a subpectoral approach is decided. If S presence is not known preoperatively, it can lead to unwanted alterations of the surgical plan.

\textbf{Pm.} As thoracoacromial vessels run anteriorly and posteriorly to Pm, there is risk of compression or impingement during shoulder hyperabduction, leading to pain associated with movement and possible impact on the muscular dynamics of the joint [62, 80].

\textbf{Pq and Pi.} Pq presence may complicate the axillary node clearance, by limiting the surgical field [61, 78]. Failure to recognise the muscle may transpose the dissection to a lower level [57]. Once Pq is identified intraoperatively, it can be retracted along with the PM lateral margin. Pq should be divided, as it bears similar appearance to the CE [57]. Pm is believed to portend similar clinical significance.

\textbf{CE.} The need for surgical dissection and release of the CE has been reported [26, 87] and associated to complications during axillary node clearance [57, 58], as well as nerve impingement [75], shoulder contractures [51] and functional impairment by limiting abduction of the shoulder joint. Natsis et al. [57] recommended its division in order to prevent unwanted modifications in the course of the procedure during axillary node clearance.

\section*{CONCLUSIONS}

Despite the fact that accessory muscles of the anterior thoracic wall and axilla are considered to be rare, it is evident that the incidence of at least some of them is high enough to encounter them in clinical practice. It is therefore needless to say that it is crucial not only for anatomists but also for clinicians to be aware of these anatomical variants as they can affect their clinical practice.
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