Anatomical variations of knee ligaments in magnetic resonance imaging: pictorial essay
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Evaluation with magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is currently a gold standard for comprehensive posttraumatic assessment of the knee joint. Increasing availability of MR systems with stronger magnetic fields and new sequences results in higher resolution of images and thus allows imaging smaller and finer anatomical details, including different anatomical variations.

This article focuses on anatomical variations of knee ligaments, which can mimic pathological structures. Well-known and less common ligaments that are sporadically observed and may raise the most doubt will be discussed. Familiarity with those variations of ligaments is indispensable for precise MRI reporting to avoid misinterpretation as meniscal tears, loose bodies or mass lesions especially in cases. This paper is addressed to both radiology and orthopaedics specialists.

Illustrations show discussed ligaments in standard planes while, for less known ligaments, we add information on how to adjust planes to properly visualise a particular structure, which will hopefully facilitate finding and differentiating those structures in clinical practice. (Folia Morphol 2019; 78, 3: 467–475)
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INTRODUCTION

Technological advancement of magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) makes it the most precise method of assessing the knee joint. Availability of more powerful magnets and new sequences allows imaging very small anatomical details.

The knee, as the most weight-bearing joint in the human body, is also the most commonly injured one. Evaluation with MRI is currently a gold standard for comprehensive posttraumatic assessment of the knee joint.

Increasing availability of devices with stronger magnets and new sequences results in higher resolution of images and thus allows imaging smaller and smaller anatomical details, including different anatomical variations.

An anatomical variation is defined as a physiological structure that is not always present, or as a structure present in all cases but in different morphological forms.

Thorough knowledge of possible anatomical variations within the knee is essential to avoid misdiag-
This article reviews both well-known and less common ligamentous anatomical variations within the knee and focuses on those, which can be confused with pathological conditions or may simply cause uncertainty.

**OBLIQUE MENISCO-MENISCAL LIGAMENT**

The oblique menisco-meniscal ligament (OMML) (Fig. 1) is a relatively uncommon ligament occurring in 2–4% of knees [8, 28]. OMML runs from the anterior horn of one meniscus to the posterior horn of the other, passing along the tibial plateau between anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) and posterior cruciate ligament (PCL). There are two types of OMML — medial and lateral — classified depending on the anterior horn of the meniscus from which they originate. On sagittal and coronal images, it can be misinterpreted as either a centrally displaced meniscal tear or collapsed fibres from an ACL tear. In the absence of any other meniscal or cruciate abnormality, it is relatively straightforward to recognise as an anatomical variant, but in the presence of an ACL or meniscal injury it can be confusing. Tracing the well-defined ligament from medial to lateral compartments where it unites smoothly with the two menisci confirms the diagnosis.

**ANTERIOR OR TRANSVERSE INTERMENISCAL LIGAMENT**

The anterior (transverse) intermeniscal ligament (Fig. 2) is identified in about 94% of knees on arthroscopy and is noticeable in about 58% of knees subjected to MRI [21, 30]. It runs from the anterior part of the convex edge of the lateral meniscus to the anterior horn of the medial meniscus. The ligament varies in thickness and may be absent in a few percent of knees. When the thickness of the attachments to the menisci is 3 mm or more, it is called the “cord-like” variation [27]. A sagittal section through the anterior horn at this position, where the anterior horn is typically reducing in size, can give the impression of a high signal cleft, or pseudo-tear, particularly with the “cord-like” variation [2].

In about 2% of knees there is also a similar posterior intermeniscal ligament connecting posterior horns of both the menisci [35].

The literature also describes cases of unilateral menisco-mensical ligaments, which means that they run between the anterior and posterior horns of the same meniscus [6].

**MENISCO-FIBULAR LIGAMENT**

In cadaver studies the menisco-fibular ligament (MFL) (Fig. 3) was identified in 100% of specimens [4].

In studies based on MRI MFL was found in 42–63% of knees [23]. MFL runs between one-third of the posterior lateral meniscus and the head of the fibula (anteriorly to the tendon of the popliteus muscle). The ligament is often better visible if fluid is present in the posterolateral joint compartment.
Similar to other ligaments with attachments at the meniscus, MFL may mimic meniscal tear. The menisco-fibular ligament is considered to be of key importance in positioning the lateral meniscus.

**MENISCO-FEMORAL LIGAMENT**

The menisco-femoral ligament (MfemL) (Fig. 4) runs from the anterior horn of the lateral meniscus to the lateral part of the medial condyle of the femur or to the posterior cruciate ligament [11].

There are two types of MfemL called, depending on their position in relation to the posterior cruciate ligament (PCL), Humphrey’s ligament, running anteriorly to PCL, and Wrisberg’s ligament, running posteriorly to PCL [1]. The attachments of those two
ligaments are separated, which supports the theory that those are two separate structures and not, as was previously thought, two branches of the same ligament [15].

Depending on the location of the attachment, MfemL is classified into three types:
— type I (46%) — the medial condyle of the femur;
— type II (31%) — the proximal half of the posterior cruciate ligament (PCL);
— type III (21%) — the distal half of the posterior cruciate ligament (PCL) [7].

In the cadaver study by Gupte at al. of 2002 [11], at least one MfemL was found in 93% of the studied knee joints. The presence of Humphrey’s (anterior menisco-femoral) ligament was established in 74% specimens while Wrisberg’s (posterior menisco-femoral) ligament was found in 69% of specimens. Both the ligaments were present in 50% of specimens with the statistically significant prevalence of specimens derived from young individuals. The data may suggest that the absence or presence of only one ligament is associated with degenerative changes or sustained injuries.

Menisco-femoral ligament may mimic PCL damage or lateral meniscus rupture [5, 25].

The literature describes very rare cases of the anteromedial variation of the MfemL running from the anterior horn of the medial meniscus to the posterolateral part of the intercondylar fossa (parallel to the anterior cruciate ligament) [32]. The ligament may mimic the suprapatellar plica.

**ARCUATE LIGAMENT**

The arcuate ligament (Fig. 5) is part of the posterolateral ligamentous complex of the knee. It is a Y shaped thickening of the posterolateral part of the joint capsule. It begins at the styloid process of the fibula and divides into two branches: the medial branch running along a curve above the popliteus muscle and attaching to the posterior part of the joint capsule to join the oblique popliteal ligament; the lateral branch running along the lateral part of the joint capsule and attaching to the lateral condyle of the femur.

In the study by Munshi et al. [20] correlating MR arthrography, MRI and cadaver specimen examination, at least one branch of the arcuate ligament was found in 71% of cases.

The lateral branch was visible in 57% of specimens (present in 50% of cases with the fabella and 67% of
cases without the fabella). The medial branch was visible in 57% of specimens (present in 75% of cases with the fabella and 33% of cases without the fabella).

**FABELLO-FIBULAR LIGAMENT**

The fabella is an additional bone typically occurring in the tendon or lateral head of the gastrocnemius muscle of the lower leg. It is present in around 13–20% of the population [27, 31].

The fabello-fibular ligament (FFL) (Fig. 6) runs from the fabella to the styloid process of the fibular head (it attaches laterally related to the popliteo-fibular ligament). It is worth emphasising that the ligament may still be present in the absence of the fabella and attach to the lateral condyle of the femur.

Fabello-fibular ligament was found in 24–80% of specimens in cadaver studies and in 48% of examined knees in papers using MR diagnostics [26, 29].

The study by Minowa et al. [19] proved that a bony well-calcified fabella correlates with thicker FFL variations. Moreover, the presence of a thinner FFL variation was statistically significantly correlated with the occurrence of a well-developed arcuate ligament. In turn, the arcuate ligament may be absent in the presence of FFL thicker than 5 mm.

**POPLITEO-FIBULAR LIGAMENT**

The popliteo-fibular ligament (PFL) (Fig. 7) is part of the posterolateral ligamentous complex of the knee.

Popliteo-fibular ligament runs from the tendon of the popliteus muscle (proximally to the myotendi-

![Figure 5. Arcuate ligament in frontal plane in proton-density-weighted turbo spin-echo sequence.](image1)

![Figure 6. Fabello-fibular ligament; A. In sagittal plane; B. In frontal plane in proton-density-weighted turbo spin-echo sequence with fat saturation. Arrows indicate the ligament running from the fabella to the styloid process of the fibular head.](image2)
ANTERIOR CRUCIATE LIGAMENT

The anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) (Fig. 8) runs between the posteromedial part of the lateral condyle of the femur and the anterior intercondylar area of the tibia.

There are two bundles of ACL: the anteromedial bundle is tight in knee flexion and the posterolateral bundle remains tight in knee extension. Both the bundles attach independently to the femur and tibia.

The anterior cruciate ligament is best seen in the sagittal section. The attachments to the femur and tibia are clearly visible in the frontal section although the attachment to the femur is best seen in the cross section.

Anterior cruciate ligament bundles differ in signal intensity in individual sequences. The anteromedial bundle is hypointense in all sequences. In turn, the posterolateral bundle shows intermediate signal intensity in T1-weighted sequences and is moderately hyperintense in proton-density (PD)-weighted, T2-weighted sequences with fat saturation and short TI inversion-recovery (STIR).

There are several deviations from the above-described normal anterior cruciate ligament, which are typically associated with meniscal structure variations. Min et al. [18] reported a case of coalescence between ACL and the lateral part of the discoid medial meniscus. The literature also describes a case of ACL attachment to the anterior horn of the normal medial meniscus — a variation co-occurring in 5.5% of knees with the discoid lateral meniscus [17].
An ACL comprising three separate bundles has been described in several arthroscopic and cadaveric studies where an additional intermediate (IM) bundle has been identified [16, 22, 24].

Anterior cruciate ligament may also be hypoplastic, absent, replaced by a fibrous band or fatty degenerated [13].

Agenesis of ACL is typically associated with other lower limb anomalies such as, among others, retained meniscus, complete congenital absence of menisci, concomitant absence of PCL, shortening of the femur, fibular hemimelia, Humphrey’s ligament hypertrophy, tibial hypoplasia [10].

**POSTERIOR CRUCIATE LIGAMENT**

The posterior cruciate ligament (PCL) (Fig. 9) runs from the posterolateral part of the medial condyle of the femur to the posterior intercondylar area of the tibia.

Similar to ACL, PCL also has two bundles: the anterolateral bundle and the posteromedial bundle. Each of them has a different degree of tightness depending...
on the degree of knee flexion [9]. In MRI the ligament has a hypointense signal and is clearly visible in the sagittal section while its attachments are best seen in the frontal and cross sections. In short echo-time sequences PCL may have intermediate signal intensity. PCL bundles may be visible as two separate structures, thus forming a double PCL [34], which should be differentiated from a “double PCL sign”. The double PCL sign consists in the occurrence of a linear hypointense structure parallel to PCL, secondarily to a bucket handle tear of the medial meniscus. A false “double PCL” may also result from the presence of such anatomical structures as menisco-meniscal and menisco-femoral ligaments. Posterior oblique fibres of PCL were identified, which can be misinterpreted as pMFemL if their distal attachments are not properly identified [2].

Deviations from the above-described normal PCL are rare. On very rare occasions, centrally displaced tears of both menisci can produce a triple PCL sign [14]. These should not be confused with a true double-bundle PCL. This is where the PCL comprises two clearly separate bundles that both take origin from the posterior tibial plateau and insert side-by-side on the medial femoral condyle [33, 34].

Hypoplasia or agenesis of PCL are typically associated with lower extremity length abnormalities [13].

**SUMMARY**

Anatomical variations are quite commonly encountered on MRI and may be present in as many as 25% of all patients. Progressive improvements in spatial resolution in MRI of the knee mean that smaller anatomical details, and therefore, normal anatomical variations, are more frequently identified. These variants provide an extra challenge to accurate interpretation. Sound knowledge of both normal and variation anatomy is required to avoid misdiagnoses and overdiaognoses.
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