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ABSTRACT

Background: Neural tube defects are congenital malformations of the central nervous system. Genetic predisposition and some environmental factors play an important role in the development of neural tube defects. This study aimed to investigate the effects of Diclofenac sodium on the neural tube development in a chick embryo model that corresponds to the first month of vertebral development in mammals.

Methods: Seventy-five fertile, specific pathogen-free eggs were incubated for 28 hours and were divided into five groups of 15 eggs each. Diclofenac sodium was administered via the sub-blastodermic route at this stage. Incubation was continued till the end of the 48th hour. All eggs were then opened and embryos were dissected from embryonic membranes and evaluated morphologically and histopathologically.
**Results:** It was determined that the use of increasing doses of Diclofenac sodium led to defects of midline closure in early chicken embryos. There were statistically significant differences in neural tube positions (open or close) among the groups. In addition, crown-rump length, somite number were significantly decreased in high dose experimental groups compared with control group.

**Conclusions:** This study showed that development of neurons are affected in chicken embryos after administration of diclofenac sodium. the exact teratogenic mechanism of diclofenac sodium is not clear; therefore it should be investigated.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) are important chemicals in the human body and have been widely used for alleviation of pain, inflammation, myocardial infarction and stroke [22]. NSAIDs have different side effects, such as gastrointestinal damage, platelet dysfunction and convulsions when co-administered with quinolone-derivative antibacterial drugs [11, 36, 42]. Diclofenac sodium (sodium-(O-((2,6-dichlorophenyl)-amino)-phenyl)-acetate) (DS) is a NSAID characterized by a relatively low molecular weight, having potent anti-inflammatory, analgesic, and antipyretic effects on tissues [35, 37] and used commonly by women of reproductive age for treatment of dysmenorrhoea and menorrhagia [12]. Up to 20% of women consume prescribed medicine during the first trimester of pregnancy; of these medications, 3% are NSAIDs [28]. DS acts by inhibiting the enzyme cyclooxygenase (COX), reducing the arachidonic acid release and enhancing its uptake. The COX reaction is the rate-limiting step in the formation of prostaglandins from arachidonic acid. COX-2, the inducible isoform of COX family, is selectively expressed in neurons of the cerebral cortex, hippocampus, and amygdale. Prostaglandins are important chemical mediators in the human body, being involved in both normal and abnormal function of virtually every organ and system [2, 37].

It was determined that DS crosses from the human placenta during the first and second trimesters to fetus [30, 37]. The fact that DS cross the placental barrier to prevent the biosynthesis of prostanoids and passes into the fetal circulation causes important side effects and sometimes malformations in newborns [12, 29, 40]. Some assays determined that the DS using throughout the perinatal period may cause teratogenic effects on some organs [3, 6, 16, 19]. In addition; the use of DS during embryonic development of the central nervous system
(CNS) can produce a wide array of neurological dysfunctions and neuroanatomical anomalies in animal models [9, 22]. However, very little information is available respecting DS effects on neurological structures especially with regard to prenatal development.

Congenital CNS anomalies are the second most prevalent anomalies following congenital cardiovascular anomalies [24]. Neural tube defects (NTD) are an important area of congenital malformations. Genetic predisposition and some environmental factors play a significant role in the development of neural tube defects. NTDs, which occur in approximately 6/10000 newborns, create a heterogeneous and complex group of congenital anomalies [43].

Neuronal and spinal development stages of chick embryo are closely similar to the development stages of human embryos. To the best of our knowledge, there was no study in the literature that investigates the toxic effects of DS on neural tube development by using chick embryo model. This study was designed to fill this gap in knowledge and to determine the probable toxic effect of DS with respect to different doses. Thereby, it was also aimed to determine potential results with its use during pregnancy.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was conducted in Afyon Kocatepe University, Department of Anatomy Laboratory. All the experiments were performed following ethical guidelines established for animal usage by Institutional Animal Ethics Committee (IAEC) Afyon Kocatepe University. The 75 eggs were procured from the Veterinarian Control and Research Institute, Bornova, Izmir, Turkey, 65 ± 5 g in weight, specific pathogen-free and day 0 fertilized eggs of white chickens. The eggs were placed in the incubator with sharp ends pointing down in order to ensure the continuity of the embryos and to have them available at the times desired. The incubator was kept at a constant temperature range of 37.8 ± 0.2°C and humidity of around 60–70%. The eggs were automatically rolled every 2 h at a 45° angle to the vertical axis during the incubation period.

The eggs were opened using window procedure after 28-hour incubation (the embryos reached stage eight of development according to Hamburger and Hamilton), and were divided into five groups of 15 eggs each (with one control and four experimental groups) [20]. Firstly; the egg shell was sterilized with povidone-iodine (10%) and then ethanol (70%). A small window (1 – 2 cm) was made aseptically with a specific technique in the eggshell. The round-shaped embryonic disc became visible after the surrounding membranes were cut. At this stage; under sterile conditions, DS (Voltaren, 75 mg/3 ml ampoule, Novartis, Kartal, Istanbul,
Turkey) was diluted in saline and prepared in the selected dosages. In humans; the recommended daily dose is 50 mg/day. The daily dose can be increased to a total of 200 mg/day according to the severity of the symptoms. The maximum daily dose is 200 mg/day[14, 41]. For animals; 1-18 mg/kg DS doses were used in teratogenic studies related to pregnancy [3, 6, 19, 44]. A toxic dose of DS was 5 mg/kg in avian species [1, 33]. Four doses were tested: first dose, 1/20 of the toxic dose = 0.25 mg/kg; second dose, 1/10 of the toxic dose = 0.5 mg/kg; third dose, 1/5 of the toxic dose = 1 mg/kg; and fourth dose, 1/2.5 of the toxic dose = 2 mg/kg.

DS was administered via the sub-blastodermic route in a volume of 10 µl in Groups B, C, D and E by Hamilton microinjector (0.25 mg/kg, 0.5 mg/kg, 1 mg/kg and 2 mg/kg in Groups B, C, D and E respectively). Group A served as the control group and was administered 10 µl 0.9% NaCl via the sub-blastodermic route. After treatment, small window was sealed with cellophane tape. Then the eggs were hand-turned 180° and placed in the incubator. All eggs were opened at the 48th hour (Hamburger – Hamilton stage 12, and the morphological features of each embryo were evaluated under under stereomicroscope to assess any gross developmental abnormalities [20]. Embryos were classified as with defect, normal or undeveloped. The samples were then transferred to Petri dishes containing 10% formaldehyde solutions for histopathological study. The embryos from each group were fixed in 10% formaldehyde, dehydrated through a graded alcohol series, cleaned in xylene and then embedded in parafin wax. Serial sections of four micron thickness were taken from the parafin blocks and stained with haematoxylin–eosin dye. The sections were examined using a light microscope.

Analysis of all findings was performed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) 22.0 program. The data related to neural tube (open or closed) were analysed by using chi square test. The somite number, crown-rump length and protein contents were analysed by using non-parametric Kruskal–Wallis tests. Dunn test were employed as post-hoc tests and p<0.001 were considered significant.

RESULTS

In our study, we investigated the effect of DS at different dosages on neural tube (NT) development.

Group A: 15 embryos (100%) in group A were expected to be according to Hamburger–Hamilton embryonic classification stage 12 and their NTs were closed. No malformation or developmental retardation was observed. The tissue samples observed
under the light microscope after staining with H-E were found to be consistent with the stereomicroscopic examination (Figure 1).

**Group B:** 7 embryos (46.7%) had NTD and 8 embryos (53.3%) were intact and these embryos sustained their normal development and were in the embryonic stage 12 where they were expected to be according to Hamburger-Hamilton classification (Figure 2).

**Group C:** 8 embryos (53.3%) had NTD, 7 embryos (46.7%) were intact and their neural tube were closed (Figure 3).

**Group D:** 13 embryos had NTD (86%) and 2 embryos (14%) were intact and their neural tube were closed.

**Group E:** 15 embryos (100%) had NTD and one of these embryos was underdeveloped and its development stage was 9 according to Hamburger-Hamilton embryonic classification (Figure 4).

There were statistically significant differences (p<0.001) in neural tube positions (open or close) among the groups. The crown-rump length and mean somite numbers were diminished in experimental groups according to used dosages compared to control group. These decreases were determined statistically significant (p<0.001), (Table 1).

**DISCUSSION**

NTDs are serious birth defects of the CNS that occur during embryonic development when the neural tube fails to close completely, leading to brain and spine anomalies that can lead to death or lifelong disability [5]. The period from appearance of neural plaque up to closure of palate, i.e. the period between 18th day and 60th day of pregnancy, is the period where the possibility of congenital anomaly is highest, often before women are aware they are pregnant. These anomalies originate from insufficiency in neural tube formation or reopening after formation of neural tube [15, 40]. Genetic and environmental factors (geographical factors, socio-economic factors, alchol and drug use) can be specified in etiology of neural tube closure defects [21, 26, 38].

The primary experimental methods of NTD include amphibian, mammalian, poultry, and computer modeling. These models have advantages and disadvantages compared to each other. The early chick embryo model that corresponds to the first month of embryonal development in mammals is an ideal modeling [13].

In addition, the studies have been demonstrated that cytochalasins, papaverine, diazepam, caffeine, ethanol, folic acid antagonists such as methotrexate and aminopterin,
antiepileptic drugs such as phenytoin and lacosamide, and local anesthetics cause neural tube closure defects in early stage chick embryos [4, 18, 23, 25, 39].

It is known that NSAIDs are one of the commonest drugs prescribed by general practitioners worldwide, and they have been taken during the early conception period [37]. DS may trigger alterations in the CNS morphology having long-term teratogenic effects on neuronal development [10]. The developing CNS is also the most vulnerable to insufficient and harmful conditions such as drug exposure during the gestational stage [17]; since different parts of the CNS form at different stages of development, there is not one critical period but many critical periods. Some neurons are formed around the time of closure of the neural tube [34].

In this study; possible adverse effect of DS on the neural tube was investigated in chick embryo model. All embryos in the control group that were monitored under the microscope after 48 h had reached the appropriate embryonic stage according to the Hamburger – Hamilton method. The effects of DS on the embryo were correlated with the dose of DS. It was determined that the use of increasing doses of DS led to defects of midline closure in early chicken embryos. All embryos had NTD in the high dose group. In addition; crown- rump length, somite number were significantly decreased in high dose experimental groups (Groups C-D) compared with control group.

Assays related to DS have reported that its teratogenic effect risk is low in case it is used in pregnancy, but they are limited. However, the mechanism of embryonic damage related to DS use is uncertain [6, 9].

Researchers showed that diclofenac inhibited implantation and embryonic development in rats when given on gestation day 5. In this study; rat blastocysts were cultured in diclofenac in vitro, then implanted to host mothers on day 5 of pseudopregnancy. Large doses of diclofenac (75 µg/ml) in culture were toxic. Smaller doses (40 µg/ml) had a profound effect on implantation. Another group of host mothers received diclofenac i.p., one hour prior to transfer of untreated blastocysts. Control animals had a 72% implantation rate, whereas there was only a 35-41% implantation rate after in vitro diclofenac treatment. They determined that prostaglandin appears to be essential during the process of implantation and placentation. When this process is disturbed by DS the number of growth-retarded embryos increases. If DS is such a potent inhibitor of prostaglandin synthetase it should be expected that decidualization would also be defective. In the treated host mothers only 7% of embryos were normal, while 34% were growth-retarded [7]. Similar to above study; another assay was
reported a positive correlation between use of NSAID during pregnancy and miscarriages [27].

The effect of DS on the developing embryo during the critical period of organogenesis was investigated by using a whole rat embryo culture model. They exposed to embryos various concentrations of DS and scored for growth and differentiation at the end of the culture period. It was found that although caudal neural tube, flexion and hind limb were significantly lower in embryos exposed high concentration of DS (7.5 and 15.0 microg/ml), there were no effect low doses of it. Based on their results it was suggested that high concentration of DS has a teratogenic effect [9]. Same researchers thought that the teratogenic effects of NSAIDs might be mediated through free oxygen radical production. The influence of DS on cellular reactive oxygen species production in embryos was evaluated by measuring 8 isoprostaglandin F2α level. Their results showed that 8-isoprostaglandin F2α level was significantly elevated in embryos exposed to high concentration of DS (7.5 and 15.0 µg/ml) but no significant difference was detected between the control and low concentration group (1.5 µg/ml). Embryos exposed to the high concentration of DS (15.0 µg/ml) had a significantly lower total morphology scores for caudal neural tube, hind limb, flexion, and brain. There was no significant difference in yolk sac diameter, crown-rump length or number of somite between experimental and control groups [8]. Free oxygen radicals are highly reactive and unstable. In the event of oxidative stress, free radicals are in excess, resulting in cellular damage. Embryotoxic effects of reactive oxygen species may be related to oxidative damage to DNA and other cellular macromolecules [31].

Prenatally exposed DS had a neurotoxicity effect in the CNS, namely the pyramidal and granular cells of hippocampus [17] and Purkinje cells of cerebellum [32]. NSAIDs suppress cell proliferation in the spinal cord and dorsal root ganglia by affecting cell cycle regulators since it has been shown that DS, in contrast to other NSAIDs including aspirin, naproxen, indomethacin and ibuprofen, restrains the differentiation of neuronal stem cells into neurons and also suppresses cell proliferation via the induction of apoptosis. Therefore, DS appears to have some negative effect on both development and differentiation nerve cells [2, 22].

**CONCLUSIONS**

Consequently, our study has demonstrated that DS exerts direct teratogenic effect on the process of neural tube formation of chick embryo in a dose-dependent manner. It has also been shown that DS significantly decreases the crown-rump length and somite number in
high dose experimental groups compared with control group. The chosen model does not
directly reflect the environment and conditions of a developing human embryo. Therefore, it
is not possible to simply extend and apply the results observed in chick embryos to humans.
However, the chick embryo model has the advantage of allowing the investigation of
potentially hazardous substances directly on the embryo. In the present study, we did not use
specific markers of neurons to see the toxicity induced by diclofenac. We interpreted our
results based on the light of histopathological findings. It is clear that improved technical
materials and studies with larger sample sizes would be useful to confirm the toxic effects of
DS in prenatal period. Further investigation on the exact mechanism of diclofenac toxicity
would be valuable. Present findings cannot serve as definitive evidence of the use of DS for
embryo-toxicity but it does provide an experimental basis for the caution of DS use
prescription in pregnancy.
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**Table 1.** The statistical analyses embryonic development in control and experimental groups (with DS)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Group A</th>
<th>Group B</th>
<th>Group C</th>
<th>Group D</th>
<th>Group E</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Open NT/Close NT</td>
<td>0/15</td>
<td>7/8</td>
<td>8/7</td>
<td>13/2</td>
<td>15/0</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crown-rump length (µm)</td>
<td>639.06±105.48</td>
<td>559.42±118.61</td>
<td>540.02±113.86</td>
<td>498.02±83.94</td>
<td>497.62±76.52</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somite Number</td>
<td>17.73±1.98</td>
<td>14.73±3.03</td>
<td>14.60±3.73</td>
<td>12.86±3.22</td>
<td>12.86±2.55</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ω: Chi square test  
α: Kruskal–Wallis test  
*: The difference was determined between group A and Group D, Group A and Group E

**FIGURE LEGENDS**

**Figure 1.** A: Normal appearance of post-incubation 2-day chick embryo of Group A.  
B: Histopahtologic views of normal chicken embryos under light microscope (H-E, X20). nt; neural tube, n; notochord, s; somites, h; heart.

**Figure 2.** The opening in the neural tube is seen in the light microscope view of Group B.  
B: A histologic section was obtained and stained with H-E. The neural tube is open in the light microscope image of the same group (H-E, X20). ont; open neural tube, n; notochord, s; somites, h; heart.

**Figure 3.** The neural tube is not closed in the light microscope image of the group C.  
B: A histologic section was obtained and stained with H-E. The neural tube is open in the light microscope image of the same group (H-E, X20). ont; open neural tube, n; notochord, s; somites, h; heart.

**Figure 4.** Developmental retardation seen in the light microscope view of Group E.  
B: The opening in the neural tube is seen in the cervical and caudal region of the chick embryo of group E. ont; open neural tube.