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Abstract

Background: Celiac disease (CD) is a common disorder that usually originates from calcium malabsorption. Thus, it is accepted that patients with CD have lower bone mineral density than that of healthy individuals. The aim of this study was to assess condylar height, width, area, and perimeter on digital panoramic radiographs in patients with CD.

Materials and methods: Panoramic radiographs obtained from 44 patients with CD were age- and sex-matched with 44 Class 1 (ANB: 2 ± 2°) patients, and outcomes were analyzed. Radiographs were digitized using Image J software, and condylar height, width, area, and perimeter were compared.

Results: Condylar area (cm²) (3.66±1.02), perimeter (cm) (9.29 ± 1.38), and height (cm) (2.69 ± 0.46) values were significantly higher (P < 0.05) in the control group than those in the patient group (area (cm²): 2.52 ± 0.63, perimeter (cm): 8.47 ± 1.42, height (cm): 2.51 ± 0.37), whereas width (cm) (celiac: 2.83 ± 0.63, control: 3.00 ± 0.59) did not differ between the groups (P > 0.05).
Conclusions: These outcomes may be due to the low bone density of patients with CD. A controlled trial conducted using a larger sample is needed to support and extend these data.
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Introduction

Celiac disease (CD) is a chronic digestive disorder due to permanent intolerance to gluten [20]. CD is described by total or sub-total atrophy of the proximal small intestinal mucosa villous, resulting in nutrient and vitamin deficiencies, particularly in infants and children [4]. It is mediated by an inflammatory T cell response to the storage proteins in some grains, particularly wheat (gliadin), rye (secalin), barley (hordein), and oats [5].

Many authors have reported oral problems in patients with CD, such as oral ulcers [3], delayed tooth eruption [30] salivary and oral ecosystem changes [21] and dental enamel defects. The low calcium concentration may cause hypoplasia of the enamel in patients with CD [19, 27].

Early diagnosis and treatment are crucial, as the mucous membranes will normalize with a gluten-free diet (GFD) [22]. Treatment with a GFD results in quite a rapid improvement in symptoms [11, 22], but it also reduces bone mineral density (BMD) [4,18] which is related to decreased calcium and vitamin D intake and absorption as well as magnesium deficiency [12,13]. There is no consensus in the literature regarding bone mineralization in children with CD. Exner et al [10] suggested that forearm BMD does not change in children > 3 years of age with CD. Mora et al. [24, 25] showed a significant reduction in forearm BMD and a remarkable reduction in lumbar spine BMD in children diagnosed with CD. These clues indicate a risk of malfunction in children’s facial skeletal development having CD. However, no studies were noticed directly about the failures of growth and development of maxilla-mandibular complex in young individuals suffering CD.

The condyle is the key anatomic section of mandible that is responsible of sagittal and vertical growth of the whole mandibular bone [9]. The changes in these osseous structures may directly effect on facial skeletal profiles. Therefore, it would be favorable to investigate the changes in condylar anatomy for the initial step.
The aim of this study was to compare mandibular condylar height, width, area, and perimeter on digital panoramic radiographs from children with CD versus healthy controls.

**Materials and methods**

In total, 88 individual were included in this study. The study group consisted of 44 (24 girls and 20 boys) randomly selected, untreated patients ranging in age from 12 to 16 years (mean ± SD: 13.51 ± 1.98 years) with CD from Gaziantep University Faculty of Medicine Department of Pediatric Gastroenterology (Table 1). Serological tests, a small bowel biopsy during upper endoscopy, histological evidence of villous atrophy with hyperplasia of the crypts, and an increased number of intraepithelial lymphocytes were used to diagnose CD. This study was approved by the Clinical Research Ethical Committee of Gaziantep University (date: 18.12.2012, no. 449).

The control group consisted of 44 (25 girls and 19 boys) patients ranging in age from 12 to 16 (mean ± SD: 13.49 ± 1.98 years) with skeletal Class 1 dental crowding who received basic orthodontic treatment at the Gaziantep University School of Dentistry Department of Orthodontics (Table 1). Each patient with CD was age- and sex-matched with one of these 44 control patients (ANB: 2 ± 2°). Panoramic radiographs were taken of all study participants. The radiographs were taken with a Planmeca Promax device (Planmeca Oy, Helsinki, Finland) and operated at 64 kV, 6 mA and 16 seconds by the same technician. Pre-treatment panoramic radiographs were used for the control group.

The area, perimeter, width, and height values of the mandibular condyle were calculated using image analysis software (Image J 1.33u; National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA) using a 15.6” laptop computer (HP Pavillon G6, Palo Alto, California, USA) and a monitor (32 bit real color, 1366×768 resolution, intel (R) HD Graphics 3000 adapter) by the same operator and were compared between the groups. The radiological landmarks used were based on the method of Momjian et al. [23] for condylar measurements on panoramic radiographs as shown in Figure 1.

**Statistical analysis**

The statistical analysis was performed using SPSS V.11.5 (SPPS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Sample size was estimated using a power calculation based on an increase of one unit...
in ANB in the celiac group. It was estimated that at least 20 patients would be required to detect a significant difference between the control and celiac groups at a power level of 80% and an alpha error of 5%. A P-value < 0.05 was considered significant. The Kolmogrov–Smirnov test was used to determine normality of the continuous variable distribution. The Student’s t-test was used to compare the data of normally distributed variables. Frequencies, percentages, and means ± standard deviation are provided as descriptive statistics.

**Results**

Age and gender characteristics of celiac and control groups were given in Table 1. There were no significant differences regarding of age (P=0.958) and sex (P=0.832) characteristics between groups. The results of the condylar measurements in the two groups are shown in Table 2. The area (P=0.001), perimeter (P=0.008), and height measurements (P=0.044) were significantly (P<0.05) higher in the control group than CD group. No significant difference in width was detected between the groups (P=0.194) as shown in Table 2.

**Discussion**

Low skeletal BMD is prevalent in patients with untreated CD and it could result in growth retardation of maxillary and mandibular bones in growth period [4, 22, 31]. The overall bone indexes in children with this disease may commonly have risk of low bone density formation accompanying with skeletal porosity, malformation, deficiency in growth and maturation [28].

Ouda et al. [26] showed that mandibular radiomorphometric measurements, such as the cortical index, mental index, and panoramic mandibular index, which are used to assess bone morphology and quality. Studies indicated that diseases progressing with bone loss such as osteoporosis may manifest lower mandibular morphometric measurements in panoramic radiographs [2, 8, 14, 17, 32]. Therefore, in this study, digital panoramic radiographs were used to detect differences in condylar morphology between children that suffered from CD and healthy controls.

According to our results, the patients with CD were significantly associated with lower mandibular condyle area, perimeter, and height values compared with the control group. These findings are in agreement with Horner et al. [14] that suggested a correlation between mandibular bone mass and overall skeletal bone mass. Our findings may be arised from some biochemical alterations, such as vitamin D deficiency, secondary hyperparathyroidism,
intestinal calcium malabsorption, and hypocalciuria which may influence condylar growth [6, 16, 29]. It could be mentioned that reduced condylar growth decreases the size of the mandible [9, 23]. However, no differences in condylar width were found between the groups. The reason of this could be the attachments of medial pterygoid muscle that has a transversal direction of force vectors to the condyle.

Findings of the present study are in accordance with BMD studies that performed bone absorptiometry in children with CD [15, 24]. (Kalayci, Kansu, Girgin et al. 2001; Mora, Barera, Ricotti et al. 1998). Mora et al. [24, 25] showed a significant reduction in forearm BMD and a remarkable reduction in lumbar spine BMD in children diagnosed with CD. Kalayci et al. [15] reported that BMD and bone mineral content values in Celiac patients were significantly lower than the healthy controls.

Although no specific studies were found on relationship between CD and maxilla-mandibular structures in the literature, our findings are compatible with the studies showing mineralization disturbances of teeth that may be caused by low calcium concentrations in CD [1, 7, 27]. Aine et al. [1] suggested that in %96 of 76 Finnish children have mineralization disturbances and in %29 of them have poor enamel formations. Paez et al. [27] indicated that 30 children diagnosed with CD have statistically more systematic enamel defects compared with healthy controls in the same phase of dentition.

The first limitation of this study was that the measurements were taken from two-dimensional radiographs reflecting three-dimensional (3D) structures. Future studies using 3D radiographs are needed to achieve more accurate results. Another limitation was not evaluating the sagittal relationship. Sagittal positioning of the mandible according to the cranial base in patients with CD may help aid mandible morphology and size analyses.

Conclusions

In conclusion, patients with CD exhibited lower condylar area, perimeter and height values, which could be better to be taken into account in skeletal diagnosis of adolescents having CD. Further studies with larger sample sizes and with computer program which calculate condylar measurements automatically on panoramic radiographs are needed to achieve more accurate results.
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Table 1: Age and gender characteristics of Control and CD groups.
There was no statistically significant difference between groups ($P > 0.05$).

SD: Standard deviation, F: Female, M: Male. Celiac disease- CD.

**Table 2:** Condylar measurements in the CD and control groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Standard Deviation</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Area (cm$^2$)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>3.66</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perimeter (cm)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.008*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>9.29</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD</td>
<td>8.47</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.044*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>2.69</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD</td>
<td>2.51</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width (cm)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD</td>
<td>2.83</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Statistically significant difference between groups ($p < 0.05$). Celiac disease- CD.

**Figure 1.** Condylar measurement system.
Illustration of mandibular condylar height, width, area, and perimeter on digital panoramic radiographs.