Bilateral bifid mandibular canal: a case report using cone beam computed tomography
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The mandibular canal (MC) originates in the mandibular foramen and runs bilaterally through the mandibular ramus and body, ending in the mental foramen. One of the most common anatomical variations is bifid MC, the configurations of which have been classified into four categories and sub-categories. The prevalence of these variations depends on the imaging method used. Studies carried out in panoramic X-rays and cone beam computed tomography (CBCT) show prevalences varying between 1% and 20%. In this case report we present the finding of a bilateral bifid MC by CBCT examination; we describe its location and morphological characteristics. The variation found was a type 1 bilateral bifid MC, which consists in an accessory canal originating from a single mandibular foramen and extending to the third molar or its immediate surroundings. In this report we discuss the importance of detecting these anatomical variations, as well as their implications in clinical practice.
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INTRODUCTION

The mandibular canal (MC) is a bilateral structure which originates in the mandibular foramen and runs longitudinally along the mandible towards the medial face of the ramus, relating in its course with the roots of the inferior molars and premolars and ending at the mental foramen [11, 22, 27]. It contains the inferior alveolar vasculo-nervous bundle [12], which is responsible for the somatosensory activity and the irrigation of the mandibular teeth, interdental papilla, lip and osseous alveolar tissue [1, 14]. One of the most studied variants of the MC is the bifid MC. Like the trifid variant, its formation is explained by embryonic development around the seventh week of gestation, when the inferior alveolar nerve presents as three independent nerve branches surrounded by osseous tissue [7, 16]. If fusion of one or more of these nerve branches during development is incomplete, and the surrounding tissue becomes ossified, an accessory MC results [5, 7, 16]. It has been reported that the presence of this new MC may be accompanied by one or more accessory foramina, depending on where the accessory canal terminates, with cases being found close to the mental foramen [9], vestibular and/or lingual of the bone plates or in a retromolar position [15].

Bifid MC is classified into four types: type I or retromolar, type II or dental, type III or anterior, type IV or buccolingual [3, 14]. Type I or retromolar is a branch extending from the principal MC under the third molar, ending in a retromolar foramen [19]. Histological studies in cadavers have reported this
variant, describing the presence of a neurovascular bundle in its interior which would innervate the buccinator muscle, retromolar trigone, inferior third molars, bone, mucus and jaw in the zone [2, 3, 8]. Thus knowledge of the location and morphology of this variant is essential for planning treatments like mandibular osteotomies, dental implants and extractions of the third molar [4, 20, 23]; in the latter treatment the reported incidence of damage to the inferior alveolar nerve is 0.4–13.4% [6]. This report describes the finding of a bilateral type I bifid MC, which was explored using digital panoramic X-ray and in-depth analysis by cone beam computed tomography (CBCT). The use of this three-dimensional (3D) examination produced morphometric data which we could compare with those reported in the literature.

**CASE REPORT**

Female patient aged 31 years attended a private dental clinic in Temuco, Chile, reporting pain related with tooth 4.6 (right mandibular first molar) in which a single fixed prosthesis had been installed a few months earlier. During clinical examination, the patient reported no current systemic diseases, and no history of serious disease. When the digital panoramic X-ray was examined, a radiolucent area was observed with the dental apices of tooth 4.6, compatible with apical granuloma and incomplete endodontic treatment of the mesial root (Fig. 1). Furthermore, indices of the presence of a bifid MC were observed in the right retromolar zone. After clinical and radiological examination it was determined that the treatment prognosis for the tooth was bad, and it was therefore decided to carry out an extraction and subsequently install a dental implant.

For surgery planning purposes and to confirm the suspicion of a bifid MC in the right retromolar zone, CBCT of the mandibular area was requested with viewing field 12 × 9 cm and voxel size 0.2 mm (120 kV, 10 mA, 24 s acquisition time). The examination was carried out in the Imaging Service of the Clínica Odontológica Docente Asistencial (CODA), Universidad de La Frontera, using a PAX Zenith 3D machine (Vatech Co., Gyeonggi-Do, Korea). The examination confirmed the presence of a bilateral bifid MC (Fig. 2), with bifurcations in the retromolar zone in an area immediate to the vestibular cortical layer of the bone, while the emergence of the accessory canal was between the internal and external oblique lines of the mandible (Fig. 3). The approximate length of the accessory canal was 8.8 mm on the right side and 12.5 mm on the left.

**DISCUSSION**

Anatomical variations in the mandible, both of the MC and its foramina, may cause complications in surgical procedures if they are not identified properly and in time. Various authors indicate the importance of CBCT for detecting these canals, and stress early identification to reduce complications such as haemorrhage, altered sensation, swelling and pain [12, 13] in medical and dental procedures [14, 26]. Furthermore, it must be remembered that when these canals present bilaterally, both the canals and the foramina are generally symmetrical [25, 28], which agrees with observations in our case.
The prevalence of bifid MC reported in the literature varies, since it depends on the imaging method used for identification. The first studies done using panoramic X-rays date from 1977 [17]; an average of 4000 X-rays per year were examined up to 2003 [24], with a reported incidence of around 1% [17, 18, 24, 29]. This figure has increased with technological improvements and the introduction of CBCT. In 2009, Naitoh et al. [14] carried out a study of 122 patients who needed dental implant, using computed tomography (CT) and CBCT, in which they observed the prevalence of bifid MC and its four variants. CBCT detected 65% prevalence of bifid MC in general, with type I accounting for 29.8%. CBCT proved to be more effective in detecting bifid MC, since of the 19 bifid canals found, 4 were not detected by CT. In 2014, Kang et al. [10] assessed the prevalence of bifid MC in Korea through an analysis of 1933 CBCT records, finding a prevalence of 10.2%. They also found that type I was the most recurrent with 52.5% of the cases. In 2014, Rashsuren et al. [21] using CBCT indicated a prevalence of 22.6% bifid MC, and as in the other studies, type I was the most frequent with 71.3% of cases. Although no consensus can be reached on the prevalence of bifid MC, all the studies agree that more cases are found when the analysis is done by CBCT than by panoramic X-ray, since the high resolution of the former method allows very narrow accessory canals and their bifurcations to be detected. However, the prevalence reported using this type of examination varies greatly, which can be explained by the sample size, the criteria used for determining the classification, calibration, number of examiners and ethnic group of subjects. When the ratios between the presence of bifid MC and variables such as the sex or age of the subjects are analysed, there is a certain degree of consensus in the literature that no significant differences exist [3, 17].

Various authors have studied the diameter and mean length of the accessory canal. In 2014, Kang et al. [10] analysed CBCT records of 1933 patients taken prior to the extraction of impacted third molars, of which 198 cases presented bifid MC. They reported that the mean diameter was 1.21 mm (1.36 mm in the case of type I). The mean length of the accessory canal was 14.97 mm, 16 mm for type I. Another study reported similar mean values, with diameter 2.2 mm, no significant differences between types, and mean length 16.9 mm [21]. A study using CT reported a diameter of 1 to 2 mm and length of 15 mm for the
accessory canal [11]. The measurements reported, which are slightly larger than the characteristics of the canal in our case, may vary according to the ethnic group of the study subjects.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Today there are very few reports being published on anatomical variations of the MC, and fewer still case reports of bilateral type I canals. This may be explained by the low prevalence and because the majority of the studies which exist are based on panoramic X-rays. There would appear to be a need for a larger number of CBCT studies reporting the prevalence and morphometric indicators of the accessory canals and their different types in Latin populations, since most of the studies reported are of Asian or North American populations. Of all the alternative imaging methods available to clinicians, CBCT appears to be the best for assessing the MC, since it provides a better view of the adjacent structures, allowing assessment of the morphology. This is very important for the planning of complex treatments such as dental implants and extraction of third molars; it is therefore recommended that procedures of this kind in the mandibular zone should not be carried out without establishing the position and possible anatomical variations of the MC.
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