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ABSTRACT
The aim of this study was to analyse the prevalence and morphometric parameters of idiopathic osteosclerosis (IO) in a Chilean population. IO is an intraosseous growth of compact, benign, unilocular, non-expandable bone that is referred to as an anatomical variation. A cross-sectional study was performed using 1000 digital panoramic radiographs of adults in which data on the location (maxillary/mandibular, right/left hemiarcades), shape, position to the dental apex, and the prevalence of IOs were observed in relation to gender and age. The morphometric parameters evaluated were area, height, width, and the linear distances of the IO up to the midline and at the base of the mandible. The overall prevalence was 2.8% (27 individuals), with the majority of cases in women (66.7%) in the second, third, and fifth decades of life, but without significant
differences. All cases were present in the mandible (100%), usually in the left hemiarcade (59.3%), molar (48.2%) and premolar (44.4%) regions; at the height of the dental apices (65.5%), with an irregular shape (40.7%) and round (37%). The area of the IOs was 33.9 (± 20.1) mm², with a height of 7.7 (± 3.1) mm, width of 6.6 (± 3.1) mm, and the distance from the IO to the mandible median line was 26.6 (± 10.7) mm and 9.7 (± 3.7) mm to the mandibular base. All the data observed corroborate with previous studies; the IO does not present a large difference in the Chilean population evaluated compared to previous studies carried out in other populations.
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INTRODUCTION

Idiopathic osteosclerosis (IO) also called enostosis, bone islets, or periapical osteopetrosis, [2] is an intraosseous and localised increment in the production of compact bone tissue located in both the maxillary and mandibular bone but preferring the premolar-molar area [11]. In the twentieth century, Stieda and Fischer [5] began to document this lesion, referring to it as an anatomical variant. IO is considered a benign, unilocular, and non-expansive lesion, with unknown aetiology and not attributable to any inflammatory process, dysplasia, neoplasia, or systemic disorder in its main characteristic, [10] including the fact that it cannot be clearly attributed to identifiable sources of osteogenesis [4].

In terms of prevalence, it varies between 2.3% [12] and 11.8% [13], observed in schoolchildren, adolescents, and adults; there is no gender preference. However, some studies show a marked inclination in females [8, 10, 11]. Diagnosis of these lesions requires a radiographic and histopathological analysis to differentiate it from mainly radicular rest, condensing osteitis, cemental apical dysplasia, ossifying fibromas, osteomas, osteoblastomas, and cementoblastomas [2].

Digital panoramic radiography is a routine examination instrument for the clinician that possesses a high reliability to investigate and differentiate IOs from other radiopacities. Facing a real diagnostic doubt, cone-beam computed tomography represents
the best method for confirming the location and state of radiopaque lesions of the jaw, [1] but its cost is much higher and its disponibility in the clinic is lower. In dental radiography, the IO is observed as a radiopaque and localised area, with sizes ranging from 2 mm to 30 mm, with defined or diffuse limits, with round, elliptical, and irregular shape [2]. The objective of the present study was to determine the prevalence of IO and its morphometric characteristics in a digital panoramic radiograph sample obtained from a Chilean population.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A cross-sectional descriptive study was carried out in 1000 digital panoramic radiographies (ratio 1:1) obtained from January to December of 2015 at the Dental Clinic of the School of Dentistry of the Universidad de La Frontera, Temuco, Chile. The digital radiographs were taken with a standard technique using the PAX-400C orthopantomograph (VATECH, Korea, 2010). This study was approved by the Scientific Ethics Committee (CEC) of Universidad de La Frontera (Folio no. 015/2014).

The use of the radiographs was allowed by the patients to whom the purpose of the research was explained, and they were asked to sign an informed consent. The authors would like to emphasise that the identity of these individuals was respected and protected. The radiographs analysed in this study corresponded to patients of known age and gender. The following exclusion criteria were applied to the total radiograph sample:

- Radiographs with poor image quality (distortion or alteration of contrasts)
- Evidence of intraosseous pathologies that affect the visibility of the area under study
- Presence of titanium plates and orthognathic surgery

To correctly identify IOs, the following radiopacities were specifically excluded:

- Radiopaque lesions associated with tooth apices with large restorations or deep caries lesions due to being a chronic inflammation process that can be associated with condensing osteitis
- Areas with mixed radiopacities located in relation to the tooth apex, where no periodontal space is observed, because of the association with periapical cementum dysplasia or benign fibrous lesions
- Dental remnants of a primary or permanent tooth
Radiopacities associated with exostosis or torus (maxillar and/or mandibular)

Isolated radiopacities in edentulous areas that may be associated with excessive ossification at a post-surgical site

All digital panoramic radiographs were projected onto a 29-inch LED monitor (LG, model 29UM67, resolution of 2560 x 1080 pixels). Two examiners previously calibrated with a dentomaxillofacial radiology specialist performed the examination. The calibration process was based on the calculation of the kappa coefficient (k) to identify the IOs and on the calculation of the intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) for the measurements of distances. To perform the measurements, ImageJ software (version 1.45) was used to draw lines and to measure areas, distances, and angles. First, the area of the lesion was measured using the polygonal selection tool and through straight lines, variables such as width and length of the lesion were analysed (Figure 1A) to apply classification criteria. Second, a reference median line (L1) was drawn from the anterior nasal spine to the inferior border of the mandible, and a second perpendicular line (L2) was drawn to measure the shortest distance from the IO to the median line or L1 (Figure 1B). Finally, the distance between the IO and the lower edge of the mandible was measured through a third perpendicular line (L3) (Figure 1C).

The following variables were analysed:

- The relationship of IOs with the teeth was based on Geist and Katz’s [4] criteria. The IOs were classified as (1) “interradicular” if the lesion was limited to the area between the roots, (2) “apical and interradicular” if the radiopacities were detected at the apices and exhibited significant extension between the roots, (3) “apical” if the lesions were predominately located around the root apices, and (4) “separate” if the radiopacities were apical to and clearly separated from the teeth and lamina dura.

- The shape of the IOs (round, elliptical, or irregular) [2].

The prevalence of IOs between different genders and age groups (decades) were compared using the chi-square test \( (P = 0.05) \) through the statistical software SigmaPlot 12.0. The morphometric data were presented using boxplot graphs to better visualise the distribution of the values obtained in each analysed morphometric parameter. In addition, the mean ± SD, median (Q1-25%; Q3-75%), and the total range of variation were also presented in the present study.
RESULTS

Once the exclusion criteria had been applied, 957 digital panoramic radiographs were included. The ICC in the interoperator concordance analysis was 99% (very good concordance), while the k coefficient was 100%. The prevalence of IO was 29 cases in 27 individuals (2.82%) in a population aged 13 to 57 years, with an average age of 30.9 years. In women, 18 cases (66.7%) were observed between 13 and 52 years of age and 9 cases (33.3%) in men between 15 to 57 years of age.

Even with a large majority of cases in females, there was no significant difference when comparing the prevalence between genders ($P = 0.219$). The distribution of cases by age group showed that 25.9% of the cases were in people in the second decade of life (11-20 years), 33.3% in the third decade (21-30 years), 7.4% in the fourth (31-40 years) and sixth decades (51-60 years), and 25.9% in the fifth decade (41-50 years) (Figure 2A). The statistical evaluation of the age groups showed no differences ($P = 0.483$), even with a large majority of cases in the second and third decades of life.

All 27 cases (100%) were observed in the mandible; 11 (40.7%) were in the right and 16 (59.3%) were in the left hemiarch. One case was observed in the incisor tooth region (3.7%), 1 case in the canine region (3.7%), 12 in the premolar region (44.4%), and 13 in the molar region (48.2%). Regarding their position in relation to the tooth, 1 (3.4%) case was in the apical and interradicular position, 1 (3.4%) was in the interradicular position, 8 (27.6%) were separate from the tooth, and 19 (65.5%) were in the apical position to the dental apices. According to their classification by the shape of the structure, 10 cases were round (37%), 6 elliptical (22.2%), and 11 irregular (40.7%).

Morphometric Analysis

Data from the morphometric analysis of the IOs obtained from digital panoramic radiographs are presented below. The mean area of the IOs was 33.9 mm$^2$ ($\pm$ 20.1 mm$^2$), with a non-normal distribution whose median value was 29.5 mm$^2$ (Q1 = 19.7, Q3 = 40.1 mm$^2$). The values of this parameter presented a variation from 11.5 mm$^2$ to 93.2 mm$^2$ among the 29 cases analysed from digital panoramic radiographs (Figure 2B).

The morphometric data regarding IO height showed values from 3.3 mm to 15.6 mm, with a mean of 7.7 mm ($\pm$ 3.1 mm); these values presented a non-normal distribu-
tion with a median of 7.4 mm (Q1 = 5.4, Q3 = 8.9 mm) (Figure 2C). The width of the IOs revealed a mean of 6.6 mm (± 3.1 mm), with normal distribution and values ranging from 3.3 mm to 10.6 mm and median 6.2 mm (Q1 = 5.34, Q3 = 8 mm) (Figure 2D).

The linear distance data revealed values of 10.5 mm to 45.9 mm from the IO to the mandibular midline; for data with normal distribution, the mean value was 26.6 mm (± 10.7 mm) and the median was 24.7 mm (Q1 = 16.8, Q3 = 36.5 mm) (Figure 2E). The distance from the lesion to the inferior border of the mandible presented values ranging from 0.04 mm to 18.8 mm with a normal distribution whose mean was 9.7 mm (± 3.7 mm) and a median of 8.8 mm (Q1= 7.3, Q3 = 12.2 mm) (Figure 2F).

**DISCUSSION**

Many studies have evaluated the prevalence of OIs in different populations, which varies between 11.8% and 2.3% (Table 1). Our results revealed 29 cases of radiographic findings in 27 individuals, corresponding to a prevalence of 2.8% of IO, a relatively low percentage in the literature. Although there are studies that reported prevalence values similar to those revealed in our study, a wide variation in this data is noted. This variation may be due to genetic and environmental factors of each population; however, we cannot disregard that this disagreement may be due to difficulties of definition and identification of this anatomical alteration by these research groups, or even the quality of the radiographic examinations.

In general terms, Eastern populations have a higher prevalence of OIs compared to Western populations [7, 8, 11, 12, 13, 17], with a female predilection but without significant differences compared to men [3, 4, 6, 7, 10, 11, 12, 17, 18]. Contrary to these results, Mcdonnel et al. [9] showed a preference in women of this radiopacity with 67.3%; however, it is a controversial study due to mistakenly encompassing other radioactivities of clearly different origin than the IOs. In addition, MacDonald-Jankowski [8] revealed a different prevalence by gender in 2 populations, Chinese women and British men. According to our results, the prevalence of IO cases was higher in women (66.7%) than in men (33.3%), but without significant differences; thus, our results are in agreement with most of the previous studies in the literature. In addition, our results showed a higher prevalence in individuals in the second, third, and fifth decades of life, just like the previous study by Kawai et al., [7], but without significant difference.
Only a few recent studies have evaluated the IO shape, [3, 10, 11] reporting that the round and irregular shapes were the most predominant, which agreed with the observations of our study, where the irregular (40.74%) and round (37.04%) shapes were more frequent. All the IO cases reported in our study were located in the mandible; 59.3% were located in the left and 40.7% in the right hemiarchs. The most frequent location was observed at the molar (48.15%) and premolar (44.43%) regions. The data found corroborates with other studies where IO cases are usually found in the mandible, above 90% [3, 4, 7, 9, 11, 18]. Only one study [9] reported the IO prevalence in the hemiarchs, also showing a predominance in the left side (53.2%). The middle and posterior regions of the mandible have been the most affected by IOs, and the molar region remains the most prevalent [7, 9, 18] followed by the premolar region [3, 4, 10, 11, 17]; these data also agreed with our study data.

The literature documents that IOs are located more frequently at the separate or apical level of the teeth [3, 4, 7, 10, 11]. The relationship observed in our study was "apical" (65.51%), similar to results by Geist and Katz [4] and Farhadi et al. [3], followed by the "separated" position of the teeth (27.58%), similar to Kawai et al. [7], Miloglu et al. [10] and Moshfeghi et al. [11] which were the most prevalent relationships. The "interradicular" and "apical and interradicular" positions were the least frequent, different from data reported by Williams et al. [17] in which the interradicular position was the most prevalent of all at 42%.

In addition to data on the prevalence, shape, and location of IOs, our study also performed morphometric evaluations of these anatomical variants. It was observed that the area of these lesions presented a wide variation, with a mean value of 33.9 mm² (± 20.1 mm²). The linear distance data of IOs to the midline revealed a mean value of 26.6 mm (± 10.8 mm), considering the distortion of the panoramic radiographs, which corroborates with the previous observations of this study regarding the location of the lesions in the posterior areas of the premolars and molars. The distance from these lesions to the lower border of the mandible revealed an average value of 9.7 mm (± 3.7 mm). In addition, measurements of height and width were performed with mean values of 7.7 mm (± 3.1 mm) and 6.6 mm (± 3.1 mm), respectively, showing a predominance of height over width. The previous studies that performed morphometric evaluations of IOs [8, 15, 17] analysed parameters of linear measures called "size" or "diameter."
values found ranged from 1 mm to 22 mm,[17] 3.2 mm to 8.8 mm,[8] and 1.5 mm to 18 mm [15], and mean values of 6.4 mm ± 2.8 mm, [16] and 6.84 mm ± 3.1 mm [14]. The values of height and width in our study presented averages similar to those reported in these studies, besides the amplitude of variation (height of 3.3 mm to 15.6 mm, width of 3.3 mm to 10.6 mm) within the aforementioned variation. A study of the height and width of these anatomical variations [17] with a mean of 5.8 mm in height and 5.5 mm in width also shows a predominance of height. Other morphometric data could not be compared since no previous reports were found in the literature. However, these morphometric parameters served to improve the description and understanding of these anatomical variations.

The main limitation of this study is related to the use of panoramic radiographs to evaluate the IOs, mainly with respect to the morphometric analyses due to the possible distortions and the two-dimensional analysis. However, we justify the use of this modality of analysis due to the greater availability of cases compared to other methods of evaluation.

CONCLUSIONS

The prevalence of IO was 2.8% among the patients evaluated, presenting most frequently in the mandible in the area of the premolars and molars. Without significant difference by gender and age, but with preference in women and individuals, all these data along with the morphometric parameters evaluated corroborate with most of the previous studies. Thus, this anatomical variation does not present large differences between the Chilean population evaluated as compared to the other populations previously described in the literature.
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**Table I.** Summary of prevalence of OIs reported in different populations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Prevalence of OIs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Solanki et al. 2015 [13]</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>750 panoramic radiographs</td>
<td>11.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moshfeghi et al. 2013 [11]</td>
<td>Iran</td>
<td>787 radiographs</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Halse and Molven, 2002 [6]</td>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>210 radiographs</td>
<td>7.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Country</td>
<td>Number of panoramic radiographs</td>
<td>Prevalence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farhadi et al. 2016 [3]</td>
<td>Iran</td>
<td>411</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yonetsu et al. 1997 [18]</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>1047</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Williams and Brooks, 1998 [17]</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>1585</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>China (Hong Kong, 1990)</td>
<td>977</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MacDonald-Jankowski, 1999 [8]</td>
<td>United Kingdom (London, 1990)</td>
<td>985</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>United Kingdom (Edinburgh, 1993)</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miloglu et al. 2009 [10]</td>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>6154</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petrikowski and Peters, 1997 [12]</td>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>2991</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FIGURE LEGENDS**

**Figure 1.** Morphometric analysis of IO using ImageJ. **A.** IO area, width, and height. **B.** L2 represents the shortest distance from the lesion to the midline (L1). **C.** L3 represents the shortest distance from the lesion to the base of the mandible (perpendicular).

**Figure 2.** Prevalence of IO cases. **A.** Distribution of IO cases in different genders and age groups (decades of life). Morphometric analysis. **B.** Distribution of the area of IO cases. **C.** Height distribution of IO cases. **D.** Width distribution of IO cases. **E.** Distribution of the linear distance from the IO to the mandibular midline. **F.** Distribution of the linear distance from the IO to the base of the mandible.