Morphological variations of the vermiform appendix in Iranian cadavers: a study from developing countries
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Background: The vermiform appendix is a worm-like tube containing a large amount of lymphoid follicles. In our knowledge, there is a little standard data about the vermiform appendix in Iranian population. Therefore, the objective of this study was to investigate the normal appendix size in Iranian cadavers.

Materials and methods: A cross-sectional study was undertaken between June 2014 and July 2015, in the autopsy laboratory, Legal Medicine Organisation, Razavi Khorasan province, Iran. A total of 693 cadavers with the mean age of 40.46 ± 20.99 years were divided into 10 groups. After writing down position of the appendix, the length, diameter and weight of the appendix were measured. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS software.

Results: The mean values of the demographic characteristics included — age: 40.46 ± 20.99 years; weight: 63.47 ± 17.84 kg; height: 159.95 ± 28.23 cm. The mean values of the appendix length, diameter, weight and index in the cadavers were 8.52 ± 2.99 cm, 12.17 ± 4.53 mm, 6.43 ± 3.26 g and 0.013 ± 0.01, respectively. The most common position of the appendix was retrocaecal in 71.7% of cases. Significant correlations were evident between the value of demographic data and appendix size (p < 0.05). The diameter (p = 0.002) and index of the appendix (p = 0.003) showed significant difference between males and females.

Conclusions: Having standard data on the vermiform appendix is useful for clinicians as well as anthropologists. The findings of the present study can provide information about morphologic variations of the appendix in Iranian population.
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INTRODUCTION

The vermiform appendix is a worm-shaped diverticulum that extends from the posteromedial surface of the caecum [23]. It contains abundant lymphoid nodules in its wall [23]. The base of appendix is fairly constant whereas its apex can be found in the following situations: retrocaecal, pelvic, subcaecal, preileal, postileal, and paracolic [28]. The appendix is suspended by a short triangular mesoappendix containing the appendicular nerves and vessels [23].

Mc Burney’s point is the surface landmark for the base of the appendix that it is situated in the middle third of the line joining the umbilicus to the right anterior superior iliac spine. The vermiform appendix appears at about the sixth week of gestation as a small diverticulum of the distal limb of the primitive midgut loop [21]. The primary intestinal loop rotates 270° counterclockwise around the axis of the superior mesenteric artery [21]. Since the vermiform appendix forms during descent of the caecum, the most common position of the appendix is retrocaecal [21]. There are some different findings about appendix size in Asian population. The length of the appendix in the Indian cadavers ranges between 5.9 and 10.21 cm, while the range of the appendix thickness was from 0.46 cm to 0.7 cm [2, 3, 8, 22]. The mean length of appendix was reported 6.03 cm in Thailand [5]. This value obtained for weight ranges from 6.33 cm to 8.57 cm in Iranian population [9, 10, 24]. The common position of the appendix was retrocaecal in India [3, 15, 22, 25], retroileal in Thailand and pelvic in Iran [9, 10, 24].

Appendicitis has been identified as “the most common acute abdominal surgical emergency”. Knowledge of anatomical positions of the vermiform appendix is essential for appropriate treatment and management of appendicitis. Its variable positions may cause surgeons to make a wrong diagnosis. In addition, variation in anatomical location of the appendix causes different clinical presentation which may mimic other diseases such as torsion of ovarian cyst, biliary colic, colitis, pelvic inflammatory diseases etc. There is little data regarding the anthropometric values of the vermiform appendix in Iranian populations. Hence, the aim of this study was to determine standard size of the vermiform appendix among Iranian population and to compare them with the available literature.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A cross-sectional study was carried out in the autopsy laboratory of the Forensic Medicine Organisation, Razavi Khorasan province, from June 2014 to July 2015. The protocol of the research was approved by the Ethics Research Committee of Mashhad Legal Medicine Organisation.

Sixty hundred and ninety-three cadavers (541 males/152 females) with the mean age of 40.46 ± 20.99 years were divided into 10 different age groups: Group A (0–9 years), Group B (10–19 years), Group C (20–29 years), Group D (30–39 years), Group E (40–49 years), Group F (50–59 years), Group G (60–69 years), Group H (70–79 years), Group I (80–89 years) and Group J (90–99 years).

Fresh cadavers with no gross evidence of abdominal trauma, adhesions, peritonitis, fibrosis, and history of poisoning were included in the study. Non-Iranian cadavers with any pathologic abnormality or injury to the colon, caecum and appendix were excluded from the study. Demographic data, including age, sex, body weight and height were collected from cadaver’s file. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as body weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared (kg/m²). The index of appendix was also calculated as appendix weight/body weight.

Dissection was performed by making a long midline incision on the abdomen by an expert anatomist. The base of the appendix was identified by following the anterior caecal taenia coli and then, position of the appendix was determined by careful observations an expert anatomist. Positions of the appendices were categorised into retrocaecal, retropelvic, retrocolic, retroileal, colic, pelvic and free groups (Fig. 1). Calliper calibration was performed previously based on ISO guidelines. The length of the appendix was measured from the base to the apex using a Vernier calliper. Diameter of the appendix was evaluated at three different zones using a Vernier calliper: base, midpoint and apex (Fig. 2). In addition, the appendix weight was noted on an electronic weighing machine (Pand Azma 3100, Iran). All the measurements were done by a single anatomist. Photographs were captured using a Canon digital camera.

Statistical analysis

Values were expressed as means ± standard deviations (SDs). Data were analysed using SPSS 20.0
software. P values less than 0.05 were considered significant. The normality of data was assessed using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. The correlation between anthropometric parameters and variances such as the appendix length and the appendix thickness was evaluated using the Spearman correlation. Comparisons between groups were carried out on independent sample t-tests (for two groups) and analysis of variance (for more than two groups).

RESULTS

Demographic data are summarised in Table 1. A total of 693 Iranian cadavers (152 females/541 males) with a mean age of 40.46 ± 20.99 years were included in the study. The height of the cadavers ranges between 31 and 190, with an average of 159.95 cm. The values obtained for weight ranged from 1 to 120, with an average of 63.47 g. The mean BMI was 25.20 ± 26.41 kg/m².
Table 1. Demographic data of Iranian cadavers (n = 693) in Razavi Khorasan province, Iran

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age groups</th>
<th>Age [years]</th>
<th>Gender (female/male)</th>
<th>Height [m]</th>
<th>Weight [kg]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 10</td>
<td>1.80 ± 2.70</td>
<td>21/26</td>
<td>75 ± 38.97</td>
<td>9.80 ± 15.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10–19</td>
<td>15.85 ± 2.66</td>
<td>10/47</td>
<td>160.82 ± 16.42</td>
<td>60.47 ± 16.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20–29</td>
<td>24.65 ± 2.69</td>
<td>32/88</td>
<td>168.03 ± 7.60</td>
<td>67.84 ± 8.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30–39</td>
<td>34.33 ± 2.68</td>
<td>24/95</td>
<td>166.59 ± 16.83</td>
<td>69.08 ± 9.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40–49</td>
<td>44.51 ± 2.55</td>
<td>19/118</td>
<td>166.67 ± 11.20</td>
<td>68.59 ± 9.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50–59</td>
<td>53.78 ± 2.87</td>
<td>13/66</td>
<td>166.38 ± 17.01</td>
<td>68.97 ± 6.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60–69</td>
<td>63.45 ± 2.68</td>
<td>12/48</td>
<td>165.63 ± 12.37</td>
<td>68.10 ± 5.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70–79</td>
<td>74.07 ± 2.56</td>
<td>11/31</td>
<td>167.48 ± 7.69</td>
<td>65.64 ± 11.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80–89</td>
<td>83.75 ± 3.35</td>
<td>9/15</td>
<td>162.50 ± 16.48</td>
<td>63.88 ± 6.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90–99</td>
<td>91.25 ± 2.18</td>
<td>1/7</td>
<td>168.75 ± 6.73</td>
<td>61.88 ± 14.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are presented as mean ± standard deviation.

Tables 2 and 3 show the anthropometric parameters of the vermiform appendix in different gender and age groups. The mean length of the appendix was 8.52 cm (range, 0.5–16 cm). The average diameter of the tip, midpoint and base of the appendix measured 4.16 mm, 4.01 mm and 4.01 mm, respectively. The minimum weight of the appendix was 1 g and its maximum weight was 18 g. The index of the appendix varied from 0.001 to 0.18 with a mean value of 0.013. The longest appendix was observed in Group B, while the shortest was in Group A. The lowest diameter of the appendix was seen in cadavers 0–9 years old, while the highest diameter of the vermiform appendix was found in cadavers 40–49 years old. Weight of appendix was the largest in 9th decade, and it was the least in 1st decade of life. Index of the appendix was the largest in Group A, and the least index was observed in Group I.

The length and weight of the appendix were higher in males than females, although this difference did not reach statistical significance (p = 0.11). There was a significant difference in diameter (p = 0.002) and index of appendix (p = 0.003) between females and males. A significant difference was found in the appendix size between Group A and Group B (p < 0.05).

The positions of the vermiform appendix were as follows: retrocaecal (71.7%), pelvic (14.7%), retroileal (6.5%), retropelvic (3.5%), colic (1.2%) and subcaecal (1.2%). The most common location of the vermiform appendix in all age groups was retrocaecal except Group A (Fig. 1). The retroileal
position was predominant in Group A. The most common position of the appendix was retrocaecal for both genders. Appendectomy was performed in 128 (18.5%) cadavers.

Table 4 shows correlation between the anthropometric parameters of the appendix and demographic characteristics. Demographic data were strongly correlates with appendix size (p < 0.05).

**DISCUSSION**

The main finding of the present study was that the appendix length and width were 8.52 cm and 1.21 cm, respectively. The weight of the appendix ranges between 1 and 18, with an average of 6.43 g. The retrocaecal position of the appendix was highest (71.7%) followed by pelvic (14.7%) and retroileal (6.5%). Rate of appendectomy was 18.5%, with a higher rate in males than females.

‘Gray’s Anatomy’ has been mentioned the length of the appendix ranges between 2 and 20, with an average of 6.43 cm. Our findings are similar to the textbook’s data, as mean length of the appendix ranged from 0.5 to 16; with a mean of 8.52 cm. The mean length of appendix ranges from 5.3 to 6.9 cm in western countries that is less than that seen in our study [13, 20]. In a study in Germany, the mean length of the appendix was 6.3 cm in females and 7.5 cm in males [20]. In African studies the appendix length varies from 7.65 cm in the Kenian population to 11.7 cm in Zambian people [14, 17, 18]. In Senegal, the mean length of appendix was 10.64 cm and its diameter was 67.7 cm [18].

There are various reports on the appendix size in Asian population. In a laparoscopic study by Gupta et al. [11] in New Delhi, the mean length and width of the appendix were reported 6.8 cm and 0.87 cm in children, while these values were 5.25 cm and 0.72 cm in adult. The length of the Indian cadavers ranges between 5.9 and 10.21 and the values obtained for thickness ranges from 0.46 cm to 0.7 cm [2, 3, 8, 22]. In the present study, the appendix length and width were longer than those in Indian population (12.17 mm and 0.46 mm, respectively).

The mean length of the appendix was 6.03 cm in Thailand [5]. The average weight of the appendix was 6.33 g in males and 6.46 g in females. This was less than values seen in another Asian study [19]. The average length of appendix was 6.61 cm in males and 6.06 cm in females in Gorgan [10]. Tofighi in Tehran [24] and Ghorbani et al. [9] in Zanjan have reported that the mean length of appendix was 9.12 cm in males and 8.03 cm in females. In addition, significant correlation was found between appendix weight and age, which was consistent with our finding [11]. Our sample size was more than this study (693 vs. 200) and similar to other studies by Raschka et al. [20] and Tofighi et al. [24], the vermiform appendix was shorter in females than males. In addition, similar to finding of Raschka et al. [20] appendix length significantly correlates with height, weight, and BMI. However, our

### Table 3. Length, weight, index and external diameter of the appendix of Iranian cadavers of different genders

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Length [cm]</th>
<th>External diameter of the appendix [mm]</th>
<th>Weight [g]</th>
<th>Index [%]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>At the apex</td>
<td>At the midpoint</td>
<td>At the base</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>8.09 ± 3.59</td>
<td>3.65 ± 1.82*</td>
<td>3.72 ± 1.86**</td>
<td>3.67 ± 2.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>8.64 ± 2.79</td>
<td>4.30 ± 1.99*</td>
<td>4.10 ± 1.60</td>
<td>4.10 ± 1.94</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are presented as mean ± standard deviation. The t-test for independent samples was used to compare; *p = 0.001, **p = 0.041; ***p = 0.003 between gender in cadavers.

### Table 4. Correlation (r) between morphological parameters of appendix and demographic characterizes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Length [cm]</th>
<th>External diameter of appendix [mm]</th>
<th>Weight [g]</th>
<th>Index [%]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>0.175*</td>
<td>0.144**</td>
<td>0.159*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height</td>
<td>0.160*</td>
<td>0.295*</td>
<td>0.269*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body weight</td>
<td>0.199*</td>
<td>0.235*</td>
<td>0.282*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index</td>
<td>0.220*</td>
<td>0.126***</td>
<td>0.185*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Correlations were assessed using Spearman correlation coefficients; *p = 0.000, **p = 0.001, ***p = 0.003, #p = 0.005, #p = 0.01

finding was inconsistent with the results of Bakar et al. [2], who found no correlation between age and appendix thickness.

In the textbook of ‘Gray’s Anatomy’, retrocaecal has been mentioned as the most common position of the vermiform appendix [4]. Similarly, in our study retrocaecal was the predominant position with frequency of 71.7%. The result of the present study is similar to finding in the United States that the incidence of retrocaecal position of appendix in adult group was the highest, while the highest position was retroileal in children group [13]. The various positions of the appendix were found among European population. Pelvic position has been reported as common position in Bosnia 57.7% [7] and United Kingdom 38% [1]. But in another study retrocaecal site was the most common location of the appendix [26].

Pelvic position was the most common site in Zambia (43.6%) and in Nigeria [14, 16]. In another study in Kenyan population the incidence of appendix position was noted retrocaecal in males and subileal in females [17]. Our findings were similar to African study in Ghana in which retrocaecal position was a predominant site of the appendix [6]. There was remarkable variation of appendix position in different regions of Asia. The most frequent position of the appendix was found retrocaecal in India [3, 15, 22, 25] ranging from 55.5% to 68%. The most common location of the vermiform appendix was retrocaecal in Thai population [5], while pelvic was a predominant position in Iranian cadavers [9, 10, 24], which was inconsistent with our finding. Geographical changes, life style, genetic, race and dietary factors are known to play important roles in determining the position of the appendix [1, 2, 5, 7, 9, 10, 17].

Clinical presentations of appendicitis are related to the anatomical location of the vermiform appendix. Hence, the knowledge of its position is important. In retrocaecal appendicitis is difficult to elicit abdomen tenderness on palpation in the right inguinal region. Retrocaecal appendicitis causes less pain in the right inferior region of the abdomen [2]. Besides, it has also been believed to have more complication than other anatomic positions [12, 14, 27]. Since the vermiform appendix buds during descent of the caecum, retrocaecal site is the predominant anatomical position of the appendix [21].

The strength of our study was that the measurement of the anthropometric parameters of the vermiform appendix in cadavers of Razavi Khorasan province was done for the first time. The limitation of this study was that the number of females was fewer than males in our samples, which may result in some sampling bias. The histology of appendix and distance between the appendix and spinoumbilical line were not examined in this study, and we recommend it in future studies. As a blind-ended pouch, ingested material can gain access to the lumen of the appendix and initiate inflammation and an infection (appendicitis). Of course, it also is a lymphatic organ and the lymphatic nodules tend to decrease with age. The size also may decrease with age, although that is not shown in this study. Clinically, it would be nice to see if the lumen was larger in the younger population and if that might correlate with the incidence of appendicitis in the younger population. Unfortunately, this was not done in the current study.

CONCLUSIONS

Having standard data on the vermiform appendix is useful for clinicians as well as anthropologists. The findings of the present study can provide information about morphologic variations of the appendix in Iranian population. However, further studies with a larger sample size are required to make better decision.
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