

What can anthropometric measurements tell us about obstructive sleep apnoea?

A. Yılmaz¹, M. Akcaalan²

¹Department of Anatomy, Faculty of Medicine, Trakya University, Edirne, Turkey

²Sakarya University Department of Anatomy, Faculty of Medicine, Sakarya University, Turkey

[Received: 1 July 2016; Accepted: 14 August 2016]

Background: Clinical detection of anatomic narrowing of the upper airway may facilitate early recognition of obstructive sleep apnoea (OSA). The aim of this study was to investigate whether anthropometric measurement can be used to predict OSA.

Materials and methods: One hundred forty-seven subject were included from those patients who were referred to our sleep laboratory with suspected sleep apnoea. All patients were divided two groups with respect to the apnoea-hypopnoea index (AHI). The first group was diagnosed as OSA, AHI greater than 5. The second group was not diagnosed with OSA, AHI less than 5 (non-OSA control). Anthropometric measurements such as lower face height (LFH), interincisal distance, nose height, anterior neck height (ANH), lateral neck height, posterior neck height (PNH), ramus mandible height, corpus mandible height (CML), bigonial distance (BGD), neck width, and neck depth were assessed.

Results: Patients with OSA had higher body mass index (BMI) and larger LFH, ANH, thyromental distance, CML, BGD, and neck circumference than those without OSA ($p < 0.0001$, $p < 0.0001$, $p < 0.0001$, $p < 0.0001$, $p < 0.0001$, $p = 0.023$, $p < 0.0001$, respectively). There was no difference between the two groups in terms of other parameters.

Conclusions: In this study, it was determined that BMI, lower face height, neck height, mandible length, bigonial width, thyromental distance and neck circumference are in significant relationship with sleep disordered breathing. Thus, these measurements may be used in clinical practice for prediction of OSA. (Folia Morphol 2017; 76, 2: 301–306)

Key words: obstructive sleep apnoea, anthropometry, neck, head, airway

INTRODUCTION

A spectrum of pathological changes in the form of abnormal respiration pattern during sleep, causing increased morbidity and mortality, is referred as sleep disordered breathing (SDB). Obstructive sleep apnoea (OSA) is the most important SDB. OSA is a common disease worldwide, affecting over 4% of men and 2%

of women [31]. OSA is associated with a variety of symptoms and conditions such as fatigue, daytime somnolence, headache, myocardial infarction, arrhythmias, stroke, and an increased incidence of motor vehicle accidents. Therefore, accurate diagnosis and treatment of OSA is very important to improve quality of life and to reduce the risk of associated morbidity and mortality [5].

Previous studies have shown that subjects with moderate to severe OSA have an elevated risk of death [19, 39]. Therefore, early detection and appropriate intervention in OSA is important to prevent the development of serious complications. The gold-standard diagnosis of OSA is polysomnography [40]. But polysomnography is an expensive test, and is not feasible for some patients. Because of this, anthropometric data that could be correlated with OSA have been investigated although mainly reported in populations [4, 7, 23, 33, 35]. Numerous studies report that OSA is closely related with anthropometric measurements obtained by diagnostic imaging techniques such as computed tomography (CT), magnetic resonance imaging, ultrasound imaging and lateral cephalography. [7, 12, 18, 24, 29].

To our knowledge, non-radiological measurements such as lower face height (LFH), interincisal distance (IID), nose height (NoH), anterior neck height (ANH), lateral neck height (LNH), posterior neck height (PNH), ramus mandible height (RMH), corpus mandible height (CML), bigonial distance (BGD), neck width (NW), and neck depth (NDpt), have not been investigated before to predict OSA.

The aim of this study was to investigate whether anthropometric measurement can predict OSA. We hypothesised that a simple head-neck physical examination using anthropometric measurement would predict the presence of OSA.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Institutional ethics committee has approved the study protocol and all patients have provided written informed consent. One hundred forty-seven subject were included from those patients who were referred to our sleep laboratory with suspected sleep apnoea. They all underwent an overnight polysomnographic evaluation. The apnoea-hypopnea index (AHI) was defined as the number of apnoea and hypopnea events that occurred per hour of sleep. All patients were divided two groups with respect to the AHI. The first group was diagnosed as OSA, AHI greater than 5. The second group was not diagnosed with OSA, AHI less than 5 (non-OSA control) (Table 1). Exclusion criteria were to refuse to be in the study, unable to sit, previous head or neck surgery, dental prosthesis, age below 18 or above 70 years. In both groups a detailed medical history was collected and physical examination, including anthropometric measurements such as LFH, IID, NoH, ANH, LNH, PNH, RMH, CML, BGD, NW, and NDpt, was performed.

Table 1. Demographic and anthropometric characteristics of patient groups* based on apnoea-hypopnea index (AHI) score

| | OSA (n = 74, AHI score \geq 5) | Non-OSA control group (n = 73, AHI score \leq 4) |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|
| Age [year] | 47.5 \pm 9.5 | 48.1 \pm 8.4 |
| Gender (male/female) | 40/34 | 37/36 |
| Height [cm ²] | 168.21 \pm 6.6 | 162.1 \pm 5.7 |

*These two groups were comparable in terms of age, height, and gender distribution ($p > 0.05$ for all comparisons). OSA — obstructive sleep apnoea

Anthropometric measurements

Anthropometric measurements were made with the patients seated upright in chair in the neutral head position. The following measurements were performed:

- lower face height (LFH): the distance between gnathion and subnasale;
- interincisal distance (IID): the distance between upper incisors and lower incisors when mouth is wide open;
- nose height (NoH): the distance between subnasal and nasion;
- anterior neck height (ANH): the distance between gnathion and sternale;
- lateral neck height (LNH): the distance between acromion and mastoid;
- posterior neck height (PNH): The distance between inion and vertebrae;
- thyromental distance (TMD): the distance between thyroid and gnathion;
- ramus mandible height (RMH): the distance between gonion and tragus;
- corpus mandible length (LML): the distance between gonion and gnathion;
- bigonial distance (BGD): the distance between right-left gonion;
- neck width (NW): the distance between right-left prominentia sternocleidomastoidea muscle;
- neck depth (NDpt): the distance between cricoid point and spinous process of cervical vertebra that is the same level;
- neck circumference (NC): it was measured with the help of tape measure at the level of prominentia laryngea.

Statistical analysis

The results were demonstrated as mean \pm standard deviation. Normal range conformity of quantitative data was analysed by the use of one sample

Table 2. Comparisons of anthropometric measurements between patients with obstructive sleep apnoea (OSA) and non-OSA control group

| | OSA | | Non-OSA control group | | P |
|------------------------|--------------|--------------|-----------------------|--------------|---------------|
| | Mean [mm] | SD | Mean [mm] | SD | |
| Body mass index | 29.7 | 3.16 | 24.12 | 2.64 | 0.0001 |
| Lower face height | 78.16 | 10.09 | 105.06 | 15.4 | 0.0001 |
| Interincisial distance | 56.74 | 13.08 | 58.13 | 10.55 | 0.479 |
| Nose height | 75.81 | 8.07 | 73.74 | 8.08 | 0.121 |
| Anterior neck height | 79.86 | 10.75 | 133.61 | 22.25 | 0.0001 |
| Lateral neck height | 153.9 | 13.9 | 156.74 | 13.17 | 0.211 |
| Posterior neck height | 114.5 | 19.14 | 110.93 | 16.05 | 0.220 |
| Thyromental distance | 69.78 | 8.25 | 103.53 | 10.61 | 0.0001 |
| Ramus mandible height | 74.7 | 9.32 | 73.08 | 11.17 | 0.341 |
| Corpus mandible length | 88.73 | 7.79 | 117.87 | 12.97 | 0.0001 |
| Bigonial distance | 95 | 8.83 | 126.38 | 9.99 | 0.023 |
| Neck width | 87.78 | 6.85 | 88.83 | 9.99 | 0.457 |
| Neck depth | 126.2 | 12 | 126.89 | 12.78 | 0.732 |
| Neck circumference | 42.64 | 1.73 | 35.58 | 1.65 | 0.0001 |

Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Because the variables were distributed normally, ranged from the ones who had OSA to the ones who did not have OSA, Student t test was applied to compare these two groups. Chi-square test was used in order to compare gender distribution in patients who had obstructive sleep apnoea or not. Graphical demonstration of anthropometric measurements according to OSA was applied with the use of mean \pm 95% confidence interval.

RESULTS

Demographic and anthropometric characteristics of patients are shown in Table 1. The two study groups were comparable in terms of age, gender, and height (Table 1). The results of anthropometric measurements were given in Table 2. Patients with OSA had higher body mass index (BMI) and larger LFH, ANH, TMD, CML, BGD, and NC than those without OSA ($p < 0.0001$, $p < 0.0001$, $p < 0.0001$, $p < 0.0001$, $p < 0.0001$, $p = 0.023$, $p < 0.0001$, respectively). There was no difference between the two groups in terms of other parameters.

DISCUSSION

In the present study, the presence of OSA was significantly related with measurements of BMI, LFH, ANH, TMD, CML, BGD and NC. In some studies, the usage of anthropometric measurements has been in-

dicated as a predictor of OSA diagnosis. Studies under the heading of anthropometric measurements are generally analysis of radiographic data [2, 27–29, 34, 37]. These studies shed light on the anthropometric analysis of OSA physiopathology. However, it is not beneficial for the use in the differential diagnosis. This study was performed to resolve this gap in the literature and we believe it will help the scientific world to gain a different perspective.

Clinically BMI and NC have been known to be strong predictors of OSA [15, 18, 22, 26]. In this study, patients with OSA had greater BMI than control group. However, some studies have demonstrated that the relation between BMI and OSA is unclear. BMI is the traditional marker for obesity, but there has been disagreement about whether it is risk factor for OSA [6, 14, 17, 20]. BMI was increased especially in females with OSA, but it was not correlated with AHI in polysomnography [17].

Upper airway obstruction in patients with OSA can improve as a result of an aberrant ratio between the forces of collapse and patency and may happen at several levels including the pharynx [17]. The increased NC could induce and aggravate OSA by further narrowing the upper airway anatomy and increasing its tendency to collapse. A radiologic imaging study as magnetic resonance showed the greater soft tissue loading on the airway in men [38]. However, other

study, a dual energy X-ray absorptiometry, reported a direct influence of neck fat on upper airway patency in women [32]. A recent study in Turkey reported that NC was of greater value than waist circumference in men but not in women [23]. We also determined that NC was a risk factor for OSA. Our findings suggest that the neck anatomy may be a very important to airway obstruction during sleep and a major site for fatty tissue in obese patients. To clarify the relation between OSA and NC, further studies involving more patient populations and measurement of neck fat using various methods will be needed.

Lower face height is one of the important parameters for normal anatomical structure of oral cavity. It was found that there were significant differences between lower face height between patients with OSA and control group. We believe that the increase of this distance allows more oxygen transmission capacity by increasing the oral cavity. In prior radiological studies of these distances, the existence of relations with OSA has been reported. However, in this study, these parameters have been measured in living subjects and can be used in prediction of OSA

It is possible to categorise neck height as anterior, lateral and posterior. Neck height comprises of the bottom of upper respiratory tract and beginning of lower respiratory tract. As high neck height increases the air quantity in respiratory tract, it increases respiration reserve as well. It was found that there is a relationship between anterior neck height and sleep disordered breathing but there is no relationship between lateral-posterior neck height and sleep disordered breathing as a result of the measurements in the current research. The reason of this situation is that upper extremity and some parts of head are included in the measurements of lateral and posterior neck heights.

Thyromental distance, which is very important parameter for the anaesthesiologists in terms of intubation, gives information about any possible problems related to intubation. Therefore, this parameter has been investigated many times by the anaesthesiologists. TMD provides information about mandible morphology. A smaller TMD may show the tendency for micrognathia which is a well-known risk factor for difficult intubation. However, TMD may provide more realistic information about vertical axis of supraglottic area than neck height. The TMD has been shown to correlate with OSA [11, 13, 30]. The shorter TMD may reflect abnormalities of craniofacial anatomy

that contribute to structural narrowing of the upper airway independent of obesity. Corpus mandible length is another parameter that was investigated in our study. This parameter is an important factor which gives important information about protrusion of mandible. It was found that this distance was examined through radiographic studies.

Pharynx structure is crucial in terms of obstructive sleep disordered breathing. There are many researches about pharynx anatomy. Muller's manoeuvre has been the most investigated research subject till now. Different length and angular values were examined for the analyses of pharyngeal structure in radiographic studies [3, 8, 16, 24, 25]. It is believed in this study that bigonial width is one of the important parameters which determine pharyngeal entrance of human. Therefore, in this study, it is aimed to create a different study than other available researches with a different point of view. It is thought that high value of this parameter demonstrates the size of pharynx entrance and so it makes the air stream easier. Statistical analysis showed that there is a significant difference between the two groups [3, 16, 24].

When we examined the studies related to the OSA, we found many studies which used CT results. In the aetiology of OSA, some of the most important parameters are the airway volume, airway length and various anthropometric measurements [1, 9, 10, 21, 36]. According to the CT study performed by Enciso et al. [10], men older than 57 years and individuals having narrow upper airway measurement have been identified as a high risk group for OSA. Mayer et al. [21] has also reported an increase in the width of the oropharynx in OSA patients. The long and narrow airway had increased the frequency of airway collapse [10, 21, 36].

The relationship between mouth patency and tongue morphology is another important area that should be investigated. Because morphological size of tongue narrows down the oral cavity, it is clear that necessity of oxygen increases. Moreover, a big tongue affects the airway patency. Tongue and uvula are tied each other through the plicae. Uvuloplasty is one of the most important methods utilised for operational treatments of sleep disordered breathing. Anatomical connections between soft palate of uvula and tongue gain importance this kind of operational treatments. In other words, location and movements of the tongue should be investigated by the researchers.

CONCLUSIONS

Obstructive sleep disordered breathing is a complex illness and characterised as upper respiratory tract obstruction that repeats during sleep. Its aetiology is multifactorial. Pharyngeal structure and function are crucial in terms of pathogenesis of OSA.

In the present study, the presence of OSA was significantly related to measurements of BMI, LFH, ANH, TMD, CML, BGD and NC. In some studies, the usage of anthropometric measurements has been indicated as a predictor of OSA diagnosis. Studies under the heading of anthropometric measurements are generally analysis of radiographic data. These studies shed light on the anthropometric analysis of OSA physiopathology. However, it is not beneficial for the use in the differential diagnosis. This study was performed to resolve this gap in the literature and we believe it will help the scientific world to gain a different perspective.

The current investigation determines the objective criteria which are usable for idea generation about sleep disordered breathing without imposing to any ray or invasive procedure on human. We believe that the conclusions from this study will lead the next researches aimed at determining parameters to be used to diagnose and treat this kind of illnesses.

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by grant No. 2012-194 of Trakya Universitesi Bilimsel Araştırma Projeler Birimi (TUBAP-2012-194).

REFERENCES

1. Abramson Z, Susarla S, August M, et al. Three-dimensional computed tomographic analysis of airway anatomy in patients with obstructive sleep apnea. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg.* 2010; 68(2): 354–362, doi: [10.1016/j.joms.2009.09.087](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joms.2009.09.087), indexed in Pubmed: [20116708](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/20116708/).
2. Banabilh SM, Samsudin AR, Suzina AH, et al. Facial profile shape, malocclusion and palatal morphology in Malay obstructive sleep apnea patients. *Angle Orthod.* 2010; 80(1): 37–42, doi: [10.2319/011509-26.1](https://doi.org/10.2319/011509-26.1), indexed in Pubmed: [19852637](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19852637/).
3. Bingol Z, Pıhtılı A, Cagatay P, et al. Clinical predictors of obesity hypoventilation syndrome in obese subjects with obstructive sleep apnea. *Respir Care.* 2015; 60(5): 666–672, doi: [10.4187/respcare.03733](https://doi.org/10.4187/respcare.03733), indexed in Pubmed: [25587164](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25587164/).
4. Bouloukaki I, Kapsimalis F, Mermigkis C, et al. Prediction of obstructive sleep apnea syndrome in a large Greek population. *Sleep Breath.* 2011; 15(4): 657–664, doi: [10.1007/s11325-010-0416-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11325-010-0416-6), indexed in Pubmed: [20872180](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/20872180/).
5. Cho JH, Choi JiHo, Suh JD, et al. Comparison of anthropometric data between asian and caucasian patients with obstructive sleep apnea: a meta-analysis. *Clin Exp Otorhinolaryngol.* 2016; 9(1): 1–7, doi: [10.21053/ceo.2016.9.1.1](https://doi.org/10.21053/ceo.2016.9.1.1), indexed in Pubmed: [26976019](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26976019/).
6. Chung S, Yoon IY, Shin YK, et al. Endothelial dysfunction and C-reactive protein in relation with the severity of obstructive sleep apnea syndrome. *Sleep.* 2007; 30(8): 997–1001, indexed in Pubmed: [17702269](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/17702269/).
7. Dobrowolska-Zarzycka M, Dunin-Wilczyńska I, Szymańska J. Craniofacial structure in patients with obstructive sleep apnoea. *Folia Morphol.* 2016; 75(3): 311–315, doi: [10.5603/FM.a2016.0003](https://doi.org/10.5603/FM.a2016.0003), indexed in Pubmed: [26806432](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26806432/).
8. Emara TA, Hassan MH, Mohamad AS, et al. Anterolateral Advancement Pharyngoplasty: A New Technique for Treatment of Obstructive Sleep Apnea. *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg.* 2016; 155(4): 702–707, doi: [10.1177/0194599816648127](https://doi.org/10.1177/0194599816648127), indexed in Pubmed: [27221568](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27221568/).
9. Enciso R, Nguyen M, Shigeta Y, et al. Comparison of cone-beam CT parameters and sleep questionnaires in sleep apnea patients and control subjects. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod.* 2010; 109(2): 285–293, doi: [10.1016/j.tripleo.2009.09.033](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tripleo.2009.09.033), indexed in Pubmed: [20123412](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/20123412/).
10. Enciso R, Shigeta Y, Nguyen M, et al. Comparison of cone-beam computed tomography incidental findings between patients with moderate/severe obstructive sleep apnea and mild obstructive sleep apnea/healthy patients. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol.* 2012; 114(3): 373–381, doi: [10.1016/j.oooo.2012.03.014](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oooo.2012.03.014), indexed in Pubmed: [22862979](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22862979/).
11. Friedman M, Tanyeri H, La Rosa M, et al. Clinical predictors of obstructive sleep apnea. *Laryngoscope.* 1999; 109(12): 1901–1907, doi: [10.1097/00005537-199912000-00002](https://doi.org/10.1097/00005537-199912000-00002), indexed in Pubmed: [10591345](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/10591345/).
12. Gulati A, Chate RAC, Howes TQ. Can a single cephalometric measurement predict obstructive sleep apnea severity? *J Clin Sleep Med.* 2010; 6(1): 64–68, indexed in Pubmed: [20191940](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/20191940/).
13. Hiremath AS, Hillman DR, James AL, et al. Relationship between difficult tracheal intubation and obstructive sleep apnoea. *Br J Anaesth.* 1998; 80(5): 606–611, indexed in Pubmed: [9691863](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/9691863/).
14. Hora F, Nápolis LM, Daltro C, et al. Clinical, anthropometric and upper airway anatomic characteristics of obese patients with obstructive sleep apnea syndrome. *Respiration.* 2007; 74(5): 517–524, doi: [10.1159/000097790](https://doi.org/10.1159/000097790), indexed in Pubmed: [17148934](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/17148934/).
15. Kawaguchi Y, Fukumoto S, Inaba M, et al. Different impacts of neck circumference and visceral obesity on the severity of obstructive sleep apnea syndrome. *Obesity (Silver Spring).* 2011; 19(2): 276–282, doi: [10.1038/oby.2010.170](https://doi.org/10.1038/oby.2010.170), indexed in Pubmed: [20706203](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/20706203/).
16. Kum RO, Ozcan M, Yilmaz YF, et al. The Relation of the Obstruction Site on Muller's Maneuver with BMI, Neck Circumference and PSG Findings in OSAS. *Indian J Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg.* 2014; 66(2): 167–172, doi: [10.1007/s12070-014-0699-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12070-014-0699-1), indexed in Pubmed: [24822156](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24822156/).
17. Lim YH, Choi J, Kim KR, et al. Sex-specific characteristics of anthropometry in patients with obstructive sleep apnea: neck circumference and waist-hip ratio. *Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol.* 2014; 123(7): 517–523, doi: [10.1177/0003489414526134](https://doi.org/10.1177/0003489414526134), indexed in Pubmed: [24668052](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24668052/).

18. Liu KH, Chu WCW, To KW, et al. Sonographic measurement of lateral parapharyngeal wall thickness in patients with obstructive sleep apnea. *Sleep*. 2007; 30(11): 1503–1508, indexed in Pubmed: [18041482](#).
19. Marshall NS, Wong KKH, Liu PY, et al. Sleep apnea as an independent risk factor for all-cause mortality: the Busselton Health Study. *Sleep*. 2008; 31(8): 1079–1085, indexed in Pubmed: [18714779](#).
20. Martinez-Rivera C, Abad J, Fiz JA, et al. Usefulness of truncal obesity indices as predictive factors for obstructive sleep apnea syndrome. *Obesity (Silver Spring)*. 2008; 16(1): 113–118, doi: [10.1038/oby.2007.20](#), indexed in Pubmed: [18223622](#).
21. Mayer P, Pépin JL, Bettega G, et al. Relationship between body mass index, age and upper airway measurements in snorers and sleep apnoea patients. *Eur Respir J*. 1996; 9(9): 1801–1809, indexed in Pubmed: [8880094](#).
22. Neligan PJ, Porter S, Max B, et al. Obstructive sleep apnea is not a risk factor for difficult intubation in morbidly obese patients. *Anesth Analg*. 2009; 109(4): 1182–1186, doi: [10.1213/ane.0b013e3181b12a0c](#), indexed in Pubmed: [19762747](#).
23. Onat A, Hergenç G, Yüksel H, et al. Neck circumference as a measure of central obesity: associations with metabolic syndrome and obstructive sleep apnea syndrome beyond waist circumference. *Clin Nutr*. 2009; 28(1): 46–51, doi: [10.1016/j.clnu.2008.10.006](#), indexed in Pubmed: [19010573](#).
24. Ozbek MM, Miyamoto K, Lowe AA, et al. Natural head posture, upper airway morphology and obstructive sleep apnoea severity in adults. *Eur J Orthod*. 1998; 20(2): 133–143, indexed in Pubmed: [9633167](#).
25. Pae EK, Lowe AA, Fleetham JA. A role of pharyngeal length in obstructive sleep apnea patients. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop*. 1997; 111(1): 12–17, indexed in Pubmed: [9009918](#).
26. Pamidi S, Knutson KL, Ghods F, et al. Depressive symptoms and obesity as predictors of sleepiness and quality of life in patients with REM-related obstructive sleep apnea: cross-sectional analysis of a large clinical population. *Sleep Med*. 2011; 12(9): 827–831, doi: [10.1016/j.sleep.2011.08.003](#), indexed in Pubmed: [21978724](#).
27. Prachartam N, Nelson S, Hans MG, et al. Cephalometric assessment in obstructive sleep apnea. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop*. 1996; 109(4): 410–419, indexed in Pubmed: [8638583](#).
28. Raskin S, Gilon Y, Limme M. [Cephalometric assessment in obstructive sleep apnea and hypopnea syndrome]. *Rev Stomatol Chir Maxillofac*. 2002; 103(3): 158–163, indexed in Pubmed: [12486889](#).
29. Ryu HH, Kim CH, Cheon SM, et al. The usefulness of cephalometric measurement as a diagnostic tool for obstructive sleep apnea syndrome: a retrospective study. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol*. 2015; 119(1): 20–31, doi: [10.1016/j.oooo.2014.07.537](#), indexed in Pubmed: [25446503](#).
30. Schellenberg JB, Maislin G, Schwab RJ. Physical findings and the risk for obstructive sleep apnea. The importance of oropharyngeal structures. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2000; 162(2 Pt 1): 740–748, doi: [10.1164/ajrccm.162.2.9908123](#), indexed in Pubmed: [10934114](#).
31. Seetho IW, Parker RJ, Craig S, et al. Obstructive sleep apnea is associated with increased arterial stiffness in severe obesity. *J Sleep Res*. 2014; 23(6): 700–708, doi: [10.1111/jsr.12156](#), indexed in Pubmed: [24731017](#).
32. Simpson L, Mukherjee S, Cooper MN, et al. Sex differences in the association of regional fat distribution with the severity of obstructive sleep apnea. *Sleep*. 2010; 33(4): 467–474, indexed in Pubmed: [20394315](#).
33. Soylu AC, Levent E, Sarıman N, et al. Obstructive sleep apnea syndrome and anthropometric obesity indexes. *Sleep Breath*. 2012; 16(4): 1151–1158, doi: [10.1007/s11325-011-0623-9](#), indexed in Pubmed: [22139137](#).
34. Sreedharan SE, Agrawal P, Rajith RS, et al. Clinical and polysomnographic predictors of severe obstructive sleep apnea in the South Indian population. *Ann Indian Acad Neurol*. 2016; 19(2): 216–220, doi: [10.4103/0972-2327.173315](#), indexed in Pubmed: [27293333](#).
35. Subramanian S, Jayaraman G, Majid H, et al. Influence of gender on continuous positive airway pressure requirements in patients with obstructive sleep apnea syndrome. *Sleep Breath*. 2011; 15(4): 781–784, doi: [10.1007/s11325-010-0436-2](#), indexed in Pubmed: [21076993](#).
36. Susarla SM, Thomas RJ, Abramson ZR, et al. Biomechanics of the upper airway: Changing concepts in the pathogenesis of obstructive sleep apnea. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg*. 2010; 39(12): 1149–1159, doi: [10.1016/j.ijom.2010.09.007](#), indexed in Pubmed: [21030210](#).
37. Takai Y, Yamashiro Y, Satoh D, et al. Cephalometric assessment of craniofacial morphology in Japanese male patients with obstructive sleep apnea-hypopnea syndrome. *Sleep Biol Rhythms*. 2012; 10(3): 162–168, doi: [10.1111/j.1479-8425.2012.00539.x](#), indexed in Pubmed: [23226092](#).
38. Whittle AT, Marshall I, Mortimore IL, et al. Neck soft tissue and fat distribution: comparison between normal men and women by magnetic resonance imaging. *Thorax*. 1999; 54(4): 323–328, indexed in Pubmed: [10092693](#).
39. Young T, Finn L, Peppard PE, et al. Sleep disordered breathing and mortality: eighteen-year follow-up of the Wisconsin sleep cohort. *Sleep*. 2008; 31(8): 1071–1078, indexed in Pubmed: [18714778](#).
40. Young T, Palta M, Dempsey J, et al. The occurrence of sleep-disordered breathing among middle-aged adults. *N Engl J Med*. 1993; 328(17): 1230–1235, doi: [10.1056/NEJM199304293281704](#), indexed in Pubmed: [8464434](#).