Simulation techniques in the anatomy curriculum: review of literature
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Modern medical education faces a problem of combining the latest technology, procedures and information with classic teaching methods. Simulation is a technique, which replaces or amplifies doctor–patient experiences in controlled conditions and therefore evokes or replicates substantial aspects of the real world in a fully interactive manner. The basic course of anatomy in medical education could be recognised as the best example of implementing new educational techniques such as simulation, into the traditional medical curriculum. The PubMed database was searched using specific key words. Finally 72 articles were accepted and were divided into 3 basic categories of teaching methods: Category 1 — cadaveric dissection, Category 2 — simulator based education and Category 3 — other.

A state of the art anatomical curriculum offers numerous possibilities and solutions including the oldest like cadaveric dissection and newest like simulators. Different simulation techniques are used with different intensity; however cadaveric dissection is still the most popular method. The second most frequent method is simulation-based training, in which North America is the leading country. The identification of anatomical structures during virtual surgical procedures or laparoscopic robotic procedures can be integrated into the traditional anatomy course. New technologies are supportive and beneficial in anatomy teaching however each excitement of new technologies sometimes should be tempered and evaluated for its usefulness in making the learning process constructive for students and their future practice. (Folia Morphol 2014; 73, 1: 1–6)

Key words: anatomy, simulation, cadaver, dissection, medical students

INTRODUCTION

Modern medical education faces a problem of combining the latest technology, procedures and information with classic teaching methods. The goal is to prepare students to become physicians equipped with the latest conceptions in medicine. Another challenge is the linking of theoretical and practical knowledge without forgetting the socio-practical aspect of medical care. Training, from the very beginning, taking place in a reality-reflected environment (e.g. simulation-based training) seems to be a beneficial solution.

Simulation is a technique, which replaces or amplifies doctor–patient experiences in controlled conditions and therefore evokes or replicates substantial aspects of the real world in a fully interactive manner [20]. Simulation techniques improve both cognitive and practical aspects of the education process in predictable and stress free conditions.
The basic course of anatomy in medical education could be recognised as the best example of implementing new educational techniques such as simulation, into the traditional medical curriculum. According to the Association of American Medical Colleges (AAMC) survey, 84% of medical schools during the first year and 91% during the second year declared usage of simulation techniques in clinical skills and physical diagnosis teachings. Moreover, 86 of 90 participating medical schools reported the usage of simulation elements in the preclinical courses and 45% declared its usage in anatomy teachings [36].

The aim of the study was to present various teaching methods in the education of anatomy by reviewing literature using the PubMed database.

The PubMed database was searched in September 2012 using the key words: ‘medical simulation anatomical education’; ‘pre-clinical medical simulation’; ‘medical simulation education’; ‘medical simulation in anatomy’; ‘medical simulation visualisation student education’; ‘medical simulation cadaver education’; ‘simulation-based medical teaching and learning’; ‘anatomy curriculum undergraduate medical students’; and ‘anatomy curriculum preclinical students surgery’. For further work only full articles fulfilling the criteria such as: ‘medical students’; ‘preclinical students’; or ‘anatomy education’ were studied.

The initial search produced 9,287 results from which 1,454 papers were selected. The second reviewing excluded 1,382 papers. Finally 72 articles were accepted and were divided into three basic categories of teaching methods: Category 1 — cadaveric dissection, Category 2 — simulator based education and Category 3 — other.

### TEACHING TECHNIQUES

Different simulation techniques are used with different intensity; however cadaveric dissection is still the most popular method. The second most frequent method is simulation-based training, in which North America is the leading country. The number of articles reporting the three studied categories of teaching methods is presented in Table 1.

#### Table 1. Number of articles divided in three studied categories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category 1. Cadaveric dissection</th>
<th>Category 2. Simulator based education</th>
<th>Category 3. Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Europe</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North America</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South America</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asia</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The role of the classical educational method in the curriculum of anatomy has changed nowadays. The students’ role has changed from passive observers to active participants, who want to know more than ‘where is it’ or ‘what is it relation to other structures’. The main aim of cadaveric dissection is to show that anatomical knowledge can be useful in the practice of real medicine.

Academics highlight the fact that dissection may impart anatomical knowledge as well as offer other relevant, positive learning opportunities to enhance skills and attitude of future physicians [28]. Specifically, the anatomy course has a strong emotional influence on young people who want to be physicians. Knowledge and skills like: teamwork, stress coping strategies, empathy, respect for the human body, theory and practice integration with preparation for clinical studies are taught during the dissection course [9, 28].

It has been reported that during undergraduate medical education, the trainee should not only gain theoretical knowledge but also acquire essential skills [39]. What is more, the students’ interest rate level in studying anatomy increased substantially when the clinical aspects were introduced into the course [25]. Clinical cadaveric anatomy seems to be helpful to the surgeons [4]. The surgical procedures shown to the undergraduates provide a purposeful and memorable way of learning anatomy, in comparison with conventional teaching methods [34]. In most of the surgical-based anatomy curricula the clinically relevant procedures on cadavers were shown and different surgical procedures like pancreaticoduodenectomy or...
shoulder hemi-arthroplasty were performed with or without a student’s help [3, 34]. Some programs go
to one step further and teach preclinical students how to behave in a sterile surgical environment [10]. In
other programs pathological conditions, e.g. pleural or pericardial effusions, were simulated and treated.
According to Wilson and Nava [52] collaborative dialogues between Departments of Anatomy and Surgery
is significant. Thanks to this cooperation, medical students get to know the patient’s history, the medical
procedures being performed during the treatment process and it makes them more interested in the
anatomy course.

Another way to improve anatomical curriculum is to make laboratory time more interesting and to
encourage discussion. Some universities create specially designed aim-focused tasks which are given to
students during dissection. These tasks were assigned to students throughout dissection or built dissection
teams which aim is to identify lists of structures given on the day of dissection [22, 26].

The cadaveric specimens may be replaced by the plastinated prosections. This technique shows with
details all structures and relations between them [19]. The last branch of modern anatomical curricu-
num is radiological anatomy using images based on cadavers. The leaders of this procedure claimed that
radiological images show anatomical structures in multiple planes and may be effective in the teaching
of anatomical spatial relationships, which happens to be the most difficult for students [30]. This tool not
only enables the scanning of the whole body but also creates virtual patient imaging and develops interactive simulation programs for clinical practice [47].

Category 2. Simulator based education

According to the AAMC survey, simulation is probably the most prominent innovation in medical edu-
cation over the past 15 years [36]. Simulators used in the teaching of anatomy may be classified into
different categories. The division depends on the level of reality, partial or holistic view on human’s body or interactions with surroundings [31, 42]. An example of these are the high-fidelity parts of the body mannikins with very basic application to demonstrate or indicate anatomical structures and the Human Patient Simulator which is one of the most impressive high-
fidelity whole body mannikins [2, 43].

Simulators may assist in preclinical medical skills, teaching to enhance the knowledge and increase
experience. Training in surgical procedures during anatomical classes should be the basic objective
of the anatomy curriculum. The identification of anatomical structures during virtual surgical procedures
or laparoscopic robotic procedures can be integrated into the traditional anatomy course [21, 41, 53].

Category 3. Other (problem-based learning and visualisation)

One of the integrating methods of anatomical knowledge with clinical information and skills is the
course with problem-based learning (PBL). This method uses different techniques, e.g.: classical clin-
cal PBL, clinical cases for medical students based on computed tomography scans, real patient clinical
cases based on real patients’ problems or documented case presentation of the tutorials in the form of
patient/physician history taking and physical examination videos [11, 14, 15, 46, 49]. However, the
interactive lectures seem to be the most sophisticated variants of the PBL method during which small-group
tutorial sessions are integrated into each anatomical section and use anatomical models, bones, radiogra-
phs, and interactive 3-dimensional (3D) images [18].

Interactive programs visualising anatomy are becoming more popular. Implementation of radiology
basics and new learning opportunities involving such techniques as image labelling, 3D reconstruction, and
multiplanar reformatting appear to be relevant [48]. The virtual human dissector or the 3D stereo-
copic images are significant elements of the modern anatomical curriculum making the study of anatomy more
effective [12, 16, 35, 40].

The number of hours of anatomy in the medical curricula decreases systematically. Drake et al. [17] esti-
ated that the mean number of total course hours was 149 while for lectures it was 43 and for laboratories
94. To compare, in 1966 it was almost 300 h of gross anatomy course while 7 years later it was 100 h less
[8, 24]. The next problem is the reduction of medically qualified anatomy teachers which has an influence on
the teaching process [49]. All of these components factor into the opinions that low levels of anatomical
knowledge amongst medical students are not enough for a good education and for the safety of patients [51].

It seems to be obvious that the aim of educating medical students is to teach them knowledge and skills. However, this process depends on both, student and teachers. To eliminate mistakes and also standardise
the teaching process universities introduced clinical
skills laboratories or centres of Objective Structured Clinical Examination [1, 29, 38].

What is more, expectations from doctors even those that have recently graduated are still rising, however according to the classical study program students are not being taught technical skills, leadership, teamwork, communication skills, situation awareness, and decision-making skills [1]. The best option for this situation seems to be well-prepared and long-lasting medical simulation training.

The next problem is the psychological aspect and the applicable skills in the medical curriculum. Swick [45] reported that it is crucial to introduce the elements of professionalism starting from basic science courses, especially anatomy, as well as in clinical sciences. Similar observations were made by Swartz [44], who postulated the need for introducing, monitoring and evaluating the aspects of professionalism from the very beginning — the first semester of medical school. According to the author, the best place for this is the “Gross Anatomy” course, during which students spent a lot of time with teachers who are professionals in their fields and may spread this wisdom and way of being to theirs students.

Dissection seems to be present in the anatomy curriculum from the beginning. However, cadaveric dissections have some limitations such as those connected with cadavers like colour, smell, inability to change the position or being auscultated and connected with ethics and legacy [32]. That is why simulation techniques seem to be helpful and partially may replace the cadavers.

In the future, the anatomy curriculum will be connected with increasing number of modern technologies such as audio-video, multi-stimuli PBL or visualisation techniques to give the best results. The best example of a combination of these technologies is the Surgical Anatomy Course (SAC) created by Surgeons and Faculty Members from the Human Anatomy Department of Medical University of Lublin. SAC consists of 12 h of classes divided into 4 modules (3 h each). Every module consists of a problem-based lecture, simulation-based training and cadaveric dissections. Classes describe 4 topics: Module A: surgical anatomy of liver and bile ducts; Module B: anatomy of the anterolateral abdominal wall; Module C: anatomy of the gastrointestinal tract, and Module D: presenting anatomy of the pelvis.

According to the Accreditation Council on Graduate Medical Education there are 6 core competencies: patient care, medical knowledge, interpersonal and communication skills, professionalism, practice-based learning and systems-based practice. Programs of medical studies and residency should be constructed based on these issues [13]. That is why simulation techniques for postgraduate doctors are adequate and necessary to improve competencies. Simulation can be used in training medical procedures, improve doctor-patient communication and teamwork skills to reduce medical mistakes and protect patients [23].

It can be simulation-based laparoscopic training program for surgeons and as well as training in lumbar puncture or central venous catheter insertion for internal medicine and emergency medicine residents [6, 7, 33].

A state of the art anatomical curriculum offers numerous possibilities and solutions including the oldest like cadaveric dissection and newest like simulators. Patel and Moxham [37] observed that the professional anatomical dissection is the most suitable method to achieve good anatomical learning outcomes. Similar opinion were expressed by Kerby et al. [27] claiming that dissection should remain a leading teaching method in modern anatomy courses in medical schools. Azer and Eizenberg [5] demonstrated that students reported that dissection increased understanding of anatomical structures, provided them with a 3D perspective and helped them recall what they learnt. On the other hand, there are publications indicating that videos or clinical PBL improved the quality of the anatomy course [14, 50].

CONCLUSIONS

To conclude it can be said that new technologies are supportive and beneficial in anatomy teaching however each excitement of new technologies sometimes should be tempered and evaluated for its usefulness in making the learning process constructive for students and their future practice.
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