Splenomesenteric vein: formally recognising a clinically relevant section of the portal venous drainage system

B. Benninger¹, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8

¹Department of Medical Anatomical Sciences, Western University of Health Sciences, COMP-Northwest, Lebanon, United States
²Department of Neuromuscular Medicine, Western University of Health Sciences, COMP-Northwest, Lebanon, United States
³Department of Family Practice, Western University of Health Sciences, COMP-Northwest, Lebanon, United States
⁴Samaritan Health Services Orthopaedics, Residency Faculty, Corvallis, United States
⁵Samaritan Health Services General Surgery, Residency Faculty, Corvallis, United States
⁶Department of Oral Maxillofacial Surgery, Oregon Health and Science University, Portland, United States
⁷Department of Surgery, Oregon Health and Science University, Portland, United States
⁸Department of Orthopaedics and Rehabilitation, Oregon Health and Science University, Portland, United States

[Received 3 May 2012; Accepted 19 June 2012]

Background and aims: The objective of this study was to identify and examine a common vein in the portal system that is not consistently named, and when named, to assess whether fundamental concepts were applied in the process of naming. Essentially, the portal venous system drains 3 regions of the gastrointestinal system into 3 major veins (superior mesenteric — SMV, splenic — SV, and inferior mesenteric — IMV) ultimately forming the portal vein (PV). The SMV is formed from midgut veins generally representing the right side of the abdomen. The IMV is formed from hindgut veins generally representing the left side of the lower abdomen and pelvis, classically draining into the SV. The SV is formed from the foregut veins and generally accepts the IMV. The SV then joins the superior mesenteric vein to become the PV.

Materials and methods: Sixty cadavers were dissected to observe the frequency of this morphology. Current anatomy and atlas texts were reviewed to identify the morphology and nomenclature.

Results: Observations from this study identified a vein being formed from the convergence of the SV and IMV the author named “splenomesenteric vein”, which joins the superior mesenteric to form the PV.

Conclusions: These findings suggest re-evaluating the morphology and nomenclature of this structure because of the pathology and clinical relevance of this area. (Folia Morphol 2013; 72, 1: 63–66)
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INTRODUCTION

Classically, when a named vein joins or merges with another named vein, the newly combined structure takes on a separate name. Often if right and left similar named veins are involved, the joining is renamed the ‘common’ or is given its own isolated name of the two structures (e.g. right hepatic vein and left hepatic vein form the common hepatic or
simply hepatic vein). An overwhelming number of surgical and clinical anatomy textbooks and atlases describe and illustrate two very distinct named veins: the inferior mesenteric vein (IMV) and the splenic vein (SV) \[1–3, 5, 6, 8, 9, 11, 12, 14, 16–28\]. Apart from an anomaly, the inferior mesenteric joins or merges with the SV on a relatively consistent basis. After the IMV joins the SV, there is up to three inches of vein before it joins the superior mesenteric vein (SMV) to form the portal vein. If one was to apply fundamental concepts to the venous structure formed from the union of these two distinctly different named veins, the new venous structure should be given separate names especially if there is clinical relevance. There are several clinical conditions and imaging modalities affecting and revealing the anatomy of the portal venous system. Following two decades of identifying the morphology of the portal venous region, the author investigated this unnamed venous structure. The objective of this study is to identify and formally recognise a vein, which is part of the portal venous system that is associated with clinical procedures, in order to improve clinical and anatomical description.

Pancreatitis may lead to vascular complications including venous occlusion. The SV is particularly vulnerable. Pancreatic cancer and cholangiocarcinoma can affect the ‘splenomesenteric’ vein due to tumour extension and metastasis. Septic thrombosis may occur in people with appendicitis, diverticulitis, cholecystitis, and inflammatory bowel disease. Hepatocellular carcinomas are usually not resectable when they initially present \[4, 15\]. The portal vein is involved via invasion in approximately 70% of cases. The portal venous system can be highlighted using varied imaging modalities, allowing evaluation of the portal venous pathology, aiding diagnosis, and providing treatment options \[7, 10, 13\]. To make a definitive diagnosis, the architecture of the anatomy must be detailed and understood (Fig. 1).

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

A literature search was conducted using surgical and anatomical textbooks, atlases, specialist texts, and journals to investigate whether or not there was a consistent naming of the vein formed from the union of the SMV and SV prior to the formation of the portal vein.

This study dissected 60 human embalmed cadavers (ages 45–89, avg. 82.4) to analyse the portal venous system, with attention to the union of the SMV and SV forming another vein before it unites with the SMV to form the portal vein. There must be at least 3 cm length beyond the union of the IMV and SV prior to joining the SMV to be considered the ‘splenomesenteric’ vein. Exclusion factors included previous abdominal surgery involving the venous architecture (Fig. 2).

**RESULTS**

The literature search did not reveal a separate named vein for the structure formed from the union of the IMV and SV; 53 out of 60 cadavers revealed a portal venous system. Seven cadavers had previous surgery or pathology with grossly distorted portal venous system architecture. This study revealed, in 53 cadaver dissections, a consistent pattern of a venous structure forming from the union of the IMV and SV. The incidence of the IMV joining the SV was 71.7% (length greater than 3 cm). The IMV directly joined the SMV in 18.9% (failing to join the SV at all) of cases to form the ‘common mesenteric’ vein. The IMV joined the junction (or ‘crotch’) of the SMV and SV in 9.4% of cases to form the portal vein (Fig. 3).

**DISCUSSION**

Anatomical textbooks, atlases, and journals describe and illustrate the union of the IMV and SV \[1–3, 5, 6, 8, 9, 11, 12, 14, 16–28\]. No name is given to the venous structure formed from the union of the above two veins. This is strongly out of character if one follows fundamental concepts in anatomy. Due to the clinical relevance to pro-

![Figure 1. Animated drawing of the portal venous system representing classic morphology; displaying the union of the inferior mesenteric and splenic veins to form the splenomesenteric vein.](image-url)
procedures (surgery, embolisation, etc.) and the importance of describing pathology using accurate anatomy, the naming of a vein in a dynamic area of the body is paramount. This study suggests that the structure formed from the union of the IMV and SV could be given the formal name of the ‘sple-nomesenteric’ vein due to its high percentage of incidence and clinical relevance, or informally Benninger’s vein.

CONCLUSIONS
These findings suggest re-evaluating the morphology and nomenclature of this structure because of the pathology and clinical relevance of this area.
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