Immunohistochemical study on survivin in sinonasal tumors and its relationship with the immunoexpression of Ki67 and Bcl-2
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Abstract: The immunoexpression of the inhibitor of apoptosis protein survivin has been shown to be a significant prognostic factor in various human cancers. Immunohistochemical method was used to examine the expression of survivin, Ki67 and Bcl-2 in 20 cases of sinonasal inverted papillomas (IPs), 12 cases of sinonasal squamous cell carcinoma (SNCs) and 19 cases of nasal chronic sinusitis as a control. Nuclear immunostaining for survivin was observed in 14 of 20 (70%) cases of sinonasal IPs and 10 of 12 (83.4%) cases of SNCs. Apart from nuclear, also weak cytoplasmic immunoexpression of survivin was detected in 2 of 20 cases (10%) of sinonasal IP and moderate intense staining in 9 of 12 cases (75%) of SNC. There was no immunostaining for survivin in 19 control cases. The immunoexpression of survivin, Ki67 and Bcl-2 was significantly higher in SNCs than in sinonasal IPs and control group. Moreover, nuclear survivin and Ki67 antigen immunostaining were significantly higher in sinonasal IPs group as compared to control group. There were statistically significant positive correlations between nuclear (but not cytoplasmic) immunoexpression of survivin and Ki67 antigen, as well as Bcl-2 oncoprotein in both tested tumors. In conclusion, our findings suggest that survivin, Ki67 and Bcl-2 may be involved in sinonasal tumor progression. (Folia Histochemica et Cytobiologica 2013, Vol. 51, No. 3, 225–231)

Key words: survivin, inverted papilloma, sinonasal cancer, Ki67, Bcl-2

Introduction

Cancers arising in the sinonasal cavity and surrounding tissues are extremely rare. It has been estimated that the incidence of sinonasal cancers is approximately 1/500,000 to 1/1000, 000. These epithelial tumors occur most commonly in Caucasian race, in the fifth and sixth decades of life [1–4]. In comparison to other head and neck cancers, no predominant risk factors were described. There are some rare occupational and industrial exposures which may account for the development of these cancers. These include exposure to fumes, dusts from wood and leather and exposure to cadmium, nickel or chromium dusts and other rare minerals. As a consequence of industrial exposure, these malignancies appear to occur more commonly in males than females (2:1).

Sinonasal inverted papilloma (IP) is a benign epithelial neoplasm that arises from the outlining Schneiderian respiratory membrane. It is a rare sinonasal tumor accounting for only 0.5–4% of all nasal tumors. IPs generate considerable interest, because they have features of local invasiveness, tendency for recurrence and malignant transformation. The incidence of malignant transformation of IPs ranges from 2 to 27%. It was reported that nearly 10% of IPs are associated with squamous cell carcinoma [5, 6]. However, the nature and pathogenesis of IPs as premalignant lesions are still debated.

Many studies have shown that the occurrence and development of malignant tumor are closely related to the overexpression of oncogenes and apoptosis inhibitory factors. Regulation of apoptosis is finely balanced by signaling pathways including apoptosis -promoting factors such as p53, Bax and caspases, and
antiapoptotic factors such as Bcl-2 and MDM2 [7, 8]. A group of apoptosis inhibitor molecules, called inhibitor of apoptosis proteins (IAP), constitutes a family of evolutionarily conserved apoptosis suppressors; one member of the IAP family is survivin [9]. Survivin is a 16.5 kDa protein, also called baculoviral inhibitor of apoptosis repeat-containing 5 (BIRCS). It contains only one baculovirus IAP repeat and lacks a carboxyl-terminal RING finger, which makes survivin different from other IAP proteins [9]. Survivin is strongly expressed during embryonic and fetal development. It is expressed in human fetal lung, heart, kidney, liver and gastrointestinal tract, and may contribute to tissue homeostasis and differentiation [10]. Survivin is rarely expressed in normal adult tissues except for the thymus, placenta and CD34+ stem cells [11]. The levels of survivin in normal adult cells are low in resting endothelial cells and could be up-regulated on activation to proliferation [9, 12]. Molecular mechanisms of the regulation of survivin expression in cancer are not clearly understood, but disruption of the survivin induction pathway has resulted in increased apoptosis and inhibition of tumor growth [12]. Numerous data demonstrate that survivin is highly expressed by the most common human neoplasms, including cancers of the lung, pancreas, stomach, colon, malignant melanoma, neuroblastoma, genito-urinary, hepatocellular and breast cancers, and soft tissue sarcomas [13, 14]. Survivin is expressed in human cancer cells at a frequency of 34–100% [15–17]. Survivin exists in two subcellular compartments — cytoplasmic and nuclear and in three splice variants: wild-type survivin, survivin-2B and survivin delta Ex3. Wild-type and survivin-2B variants are more often found in the cytoplasm, whereas the delta Ex3 is more frequent in the nucleus [18]. Survivin plays a pro-mitotic and anti-apoptotic role [19, 20]. Survivin is able to inhibit factors favorable to apoptosis for example, caspases. Survivin can also partially inhibit the cell death induced by Fas and Bax [15, 21]. Importantly, it is recognized that survivin not only inhibits apoptosis, but also, as a component of the chromosomal passenger complex, favors cancer cell proliferation [22–24]. During mitosis, survivin binds to and stabilizes mitotic spindles [25]. In the absence of survivin, cycling cells undergo mitotic collapse and caspase 9-mediated apoptosis [26, 27]. Upon the completion of mitosis, survivin is efficiently removed from the cell, so that cycling cells harvested in G1 no longer show survivin expression [28]. Little is known about the distribution of survivin in sinonasal lesions and how it correlates with other markers of tumorigenesis. Therefore, the objectives of this study were to evaluate the immunoeexpression of survivin, Ki67 and Bcl-2 in cases with sinonasal inverted papillomas and sinonasal carcinomas. Another purpose was to find whether the immunoeexpression of survivin could correlate with the immunoeexpression of Ki67 and Bcl-2.

Material and methods

Patients. Twenty cases of sinonasal inverted papillomas, twelve cases of sinonasal squamous cell carcinomas (GII grade) and nineteen cases of chronic sinusitis as a control were retrieved from archival material (Chair of Pathomorphology, Medical University of Lodz, Poland). Tissue sections taken from postoperative material were diagnosed using a standard hematoxylin and eosin staining and the histological diagnoses were established according to the current standards [29]. A representative block of formalin fixed paraffin-embedded tissue from each case was selected and used for the study. The main criteria for patient’s selection were histopathological similarities within the group and the same anatomical localization of lesions. The age range for sinonasal inverted papillomas was from 29 to 77 years (55.8 ± 12.82, mean and SD), for sinonasal cancer was from 47 to 71 years (61.1 ± 9.94) and for chronic sinusitis was from 20 to 75 (44.8 ± 17.65) years.

Immunohistochemistry. 5 µm sections were cut from blocks of formalin fixed paraffin-embedded tissue and mounted on microscope slides (SuperFrost Plus, Gerhord Menzel GmbH, Braunschweig, Germany), deparaffinized, rehydrated, then treated in a microwave oven in a solution of TRS (Target Retrieval Solution, Dako, Glostrup, Denmark) for 30 minutes (2 × 6 minutes 360W, 2 × 4 minutes 90W) and transferred to distilled water. The endogenous peroxidase activity was blocked by incubation in 0.3% hydrogen peroxide in distilled water for 30 minutes, and then sections were rinsed with Tris-buffered saline (TBS, Dako) and incubated overnight with monoclonal anti-human survivin antibody (dilution 1:300, Abcam, Cambridge, UK). 30 minutes with mouse monoclonal anti-human antibodies: Ki67 (dilution 1:100, Dako) and Bcl-2 (dilution 1:50, Dako). Immunoreactive proteins were visualized using EnVision-HRP kit (Dako) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Visualization was performed by incubating the sections in a solution of 3,3′-diaminobenzidine (Dako). After washing, the sections were counter-stained with hematoxylin and coverslipped. For each antibody and for each sample negative controls were processed. Negative controls were carried out by incubation in the absence of the primary antibody and always yielded negative results.

The immunohistochemical expression of cytoplasmic survivin was evaluated semiquantitatively. Two independent observers scored immunolabeled sections using a scale ranging from 0 to 3 (0 — reaction not detectable, 1 — weak, 2 — moderate, 3 — intense reaction) in 7–10 high power fields. The mean grade was calculated by averaging grades assigned by the two observers and the mean approximated to the nearest unity.
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Morphometry. The immunohistochemical reactions for nuclear survivin, Ki67 and Bcl-2 were analyzed quantitatively using computer image analysis system consisting of a PC computer equipped with a Pentagram graphic tablet, Indeo Fast card (frame grabber, true-color, real-time), produced by Indeo (Taipei, Taiwan), and color TV camera Panasonic (Tokyo, Japan) coupled with Jenaval Carl Zeiss microscope (Carl Zeiss, Jena, Germany). This system was programmed (MultiScan 8.08 software, produced by Computer Scanning Systems, Warsaw, Poland) to calculate the number of objects (semiautomatic function).

The percentage of surviving positive (nuclear), Ki67 positive cells and Bcl-2 positive epithelial cells were estimated by counting 100 cells in five monitor fields (0.029 mm² each), marking immunopositive cells (semiautomatic function), so that in each case 500 cells were analyzed.

Statistical methods. The differences between groups were tested using unpaired Student’s t-test preceded by evaluation of normality and Levene’s test. The Mann-Whitney U-test was used for comparison of means between groups. Correlation coefficients were calculated using Spearman’s method. Results were considered statistically significant if p < 0.05.

Results

The immunoexpression of survivin in epithelial tumor cells in patients with sinonasal IP and SNC was predominantly nuclear, although cytoplasmic expression was also noted. Nuclear immunoexpression of survivin was detected in 14 of 20 cases (70%) with sinonasal IPs (Figure 1) and in 10 of 12 cases (83.4%) of SNCs (Figure 2). Weak cytoplasmic immunexpression of survivin was detected in 2 of 20 cases (10%) with sinonasal IPs and moderate staining in 9 of 12 cases (75%) of SNCs (Figure 3). In control patients there was no nuclear and no cytoplasmic immunostaining for survivin visible.

The results of morphometric analyses of the immunoexpression of survivin, Ki67 antigen and Bcl-2 oncoprotein in patients with sinonasal IP, SNC and in control cases are shown in Table 1. The percentages of epithelial tumor cells with nuclear survivin immunexpression and the mean score of cytoplasmic immunexpression of survivin in the SNC group were significantly increased as compared to sinonasal IP and control cases; in the latter the immunexpression of survivin was entirely negative. The immunexpression of epithelial tumor cells with nuclear survivin immunexpression and the mean score of cytoplasmic immunexpression of survivin in the SNC group were significantly increased as compared to sinonasal IP and control cases; in the latter the immunexpression of survivin was entirely negative.

![Figure 1. Nuclear and cytoplasmic immunoexpression of survivin in sinonasal inverted papilloma. Total magnification × 200](image1.png)

![Figure 2. Nuclear immunoexpression of survivin in sinonasal squamous cell carcinoma. Immunohistochemistry. Total magnification × 200](image2.png)

Table 1. The immunoexpression of survivin, Ki67 antigen, and Bcl-2 oncoprotein in patients with sinonasal IP, sinonasal carcinoma (SNC) and controls

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Nuclear survivin (%)</th>
<th>Cytoplasmic survivin (mean score)</th>
<th>Ki67 (%)</th>
<th>Bcl-2 (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IP (n = 20)</td>
<td>1.08 ± 0.81</td>
<td>0.13 ± 0.11</td>
<td>17.62 ± 6.27</td>
<td>1.76 ± 0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNC (n = 12)</td>
<td>3.75 ± 2.01</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.9</td>
<td>45.24 ± 9.97</td>
<td>4.18 ± 1.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control (n = 19)</td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.00</td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.00</td>
<td>2.85 ± 1.57</td>
<td>1.23 ± 1.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IP vs. SNC</td>
<td>p &lt; 0.001</td>
<td>p &lt; 0.001</td>
<td>p &lt; 0.001</td>
<td>p &lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IP vs. control</td>
<td>p &lt; 0.001</td>
<td>p = 0.56 (NS)</td>
<td>p &lt; 0.001</td>
<td>p = 0.09 (NS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNC vs. control</td>
<td>p &lt; 0.001</td>
<td>p &lt; 0.001</td>
<td>p &lt; 0.001</td>
<td>p &lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NS — not significant
of survivin was also higher in sinonasal IPs group as compared to control group, but only nuclear survivin immunoreexpression was significantly higher. The percentages of epithelial tumor cells with Ki67 immunoreactivity (Figures 4, 5) and Bcl-2 positive immunostaining (Figures 6, 7) in the SNC group were significantly increased as compared to both sinonasal IPs and control cases. The percentages of Ki67 positive epithelial tumor cells were also significantly higher in sinonasal IPs group as compared with control group. No statistically significant difference in Bcl-2 immunoreexpression was noted between sinonasal IPs and control cases.

The correlations between the immunoreexpression of survivin and Ki67 antigen and between survivin and Bcl-2 oncoprotein in patients with sinonasal IP and SNC are presented in Table 2. In the SNC and sinonasal IP groups there were statistically significant positive correlations between nuclear immunoreexpression of survivin and Ki67 immunoreactivity, as well as Bcl-2 oncoprotein positivity, whereas in the SNC group the correlations between cytoplasmic immunoreexpression of survivin and these parameters were not significant.
In the present study, in all control cases of nasal chronic sinusitis the staining for survivin was totally negative (no nuclear and no cytoplasmic immunoreactivity). Other investigators also reported differential immunoeexpression of survivin in cancer and normal tissues. For instance, survivin was expressed in 48% of sinonasal cancers, but no staining was present in normal tissue adjacent to the tumor [34]. Wang et al. [35] found survivin immunoeexpression in laryngeal squamous cell carcinoma, but also did not reveal immunoeexpression in normal laryngeal mucosa.

Literature data suggests higher expression of survivin (nuclear or cytoplasmic or both), as an apoptotic marker which correlates significantly with tumor grade, stage, and patient outcome including recurrence rate, and disease-free survival rate [12, 36–38]. Current reports in these research areas are inconsistent and propose opposing conclusions regarding the significance and prognostic value of nuclear and cytoplasmic immunoeexpression of survivin [30]. Full understanding of whether the opposite effects seen with nuclear vs. cytoplasmic survivin are due to the subcellular localization or the differential functions of the splice variants remains to be elucidated. Possible explanation for part of these discordant results are histopathological differences between studied tumors, the low number of studied cases and methodological issues. We speculate, that differences concerning survivin immunoeexpression described in our study may indicate that mechanisms responsible for the regulation of apoptosis in various tumors are different and not fully explored.

In this study, we have also observed that Bcl-2 immunoeexpression was statistically significantly increased in SNCs in comparison with sinonasal IPs and control group. Similar to our results, Liang et al. [31] showed significantly higher expression of Bcl-2 in cancers than in normal tissue. Katori et al. [39] demonstrated increased immunoeexpression of Bcl-2 in sinonasal IPs with severe dysplasia and invasive cancer compared with control but also with sinonasal IP containing mild dysplasia. Our study did not reveal statistically significant differences of Bcl-2 immunoeexpression between sinonasal IPs and control group. Surprising results were provided by Fan et al. [40] who found no differences regarding immunoeexpression of Bcl-2 among SNC, sinonasal IP and sinonasal IP with dysplasia. In our study the high, nuclear survivin immunoeexpression in SNC and sinonasal IP tissues was correlated with high immunoeexpression of Bcl-2 oncoprotein. These results seem to be consistent with other studies [31, 35, 37, 41]. In contrary to above-mentioned results, Sun et al. [42] did not reveal correlation between survivin and

### Table 2. The correlations between immunoeexpression of survivin and Ki67 antigen and Bcl-2 oncoprotein in patients with sinonasal inverted papilloma (IP) and sinonasal carcinoma (SNC)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Correlation between</th>
<th>IP (n = 20)</th>
<th>SNC (n = 12)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nuclear survivin vs. Ki-67</td>
<td>r = 0.57, p &lt; 0.009</td>
<td>r = 0.63, p &lt; 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nuclear survivin vs. Bcl-2</td>
<td>r = 0.84, p &lt; 0.001</td>
<td>r = 0.72, p &lt; 0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cytoplasmic survivin vs. Ki-67</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>r = 0.35, p = 0.26 (NS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cytoplasmic survivin vs. Bcl-2</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>r = 0.27, p = 0.39 (NS)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NS — not significant

### Discussion

Little is known about the biologic and prognostic significance of the survivin expression in sinonasal lesions. Moreover, data concerning correlation of survivin with Ki67 and Bcl-2 proteins in IPs and SNCs are notably scanty. Among many publications relevant to survivin localization in nuclei and cytoplasm in various cancers, part of them showed that the nuclear expression of survivin is an unfavorable prognostic marker, whereas the other proposed an opposing notion [30]. Our study showed significantly increased both nuclear and cytoplasmic immunoeexpression of survivin in SNC patients as compared to sinonasal IPs and the control cases. Moreover, nuclear survivin immunoeexpression was significantly increased in sinonasal IPs in comparison with the control group, in which the immunoeexpression was entirely negative. Similarly to our results, Liang et al. [31], demonstrated survivin immunoeexpression in 80% sinonasal squamous cell carcinoma and 73.3% of sinonasal IP and showed that survivin expression was significantly higher in squamous cell cancers and sinonasal IP than in control groups. Liang et al. [31] suggested that survivin may play an important role in the pathway of progression of sinonasal IP to SNC. In the study of cancer and precancerous lesions of oral cavity, it was shown that survivin was expressed in 33% of oral precancerous lesions and 94% of oral cancers [32]. The authors believed that strong nuclear and cytoplasmic immunoeexpression of survivin is an early event during oral carcinogenesis [32]. Grabowski et al. [33] showed nuclear expression of survivin in 80% of cases of esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. Moreover, the survival of patients with nuclear survivin expression was significantly lower than that of patients without nuclear immunoeexpression of survivin. The cytoplasmic staining for survivin had no prognostic significance.

Possible explanation for part of these discordant results are histopathological differences between studied tumors, the low number of studied cases and methodological issues. We speculate, that differences concerning survivin immunoeexpression described in our study may indicate that mechanisms responsible for the regulation of apoptosis in various tumors are different and not fully explored.

In this study, we have also observed that Bcl-2 immunoeexpression was statistically significantly increased in SNCs in comparison with sinonasal IPs and control group. Similar to our results, Liang et al. [31] showed significantly higher immunoeexpression of Bcl-2 in cancers than in normal tissue. Katori et al. [39] demonstrated increased immunoeexpression of Bcl-2 in sinonasal IPs with severe dysplasia and invasive cancer compared with control but also with sinonasal IP containing mild dysplasia. Our study did not reveal statistically significant differences of Bcl-2 immunoeexpression between sinonasal IPs and control group. Surprising results were provided by Fan et al. [40] who found no differences regarding immunoeexpression of Bcl-2 among SNC, sinonasal IP and sinonasal IP with dysplasia. In our study the high, nuclear survivin immunoeexpression in SNC and sinonasal IP tissues was correlated with high immunoeexpression of Bcl-2 oncoprotein. These results seem to be consistent with other studies [31, 35, 37, 41]. In contrary to above-mentioned results, Sun et al. [42] did not reveal correlation between survivin and...
Bcl-2 immunoeexpression in 40 cases of laryngeal and hypopharyngeal cancers.

The Ki67 protein is a cellular marker for proliferation, present during all active phases of the cell cycle. In our study, the immunoeexpression of Ki67 antigen was higher in SNC patients as compared to sinonasal IP and control group. This parameter was also significantly higher in sinonasal IP group in comparison with controls. Similarly to our study, other authors also demonstrated higher immunoeexpression of Ki67 in squamous cell carcinoma and nasal IPs with dysplasia, suggesting that a high proliferative rate is a characteristic of IP-associated malignant diseases [43–47]. Kawasaki et al. [47] postulated survivin as the strongest apoptosis inhibitory factor, involved in the regulation of cellular proliferation in colon cancer. In our study, higher nuclear (but not cytoplasmic) survivin immunoeexpression was correlated with higher Ki67 immunoeexpression in both SNC and sinonasal IP tissues. To our knowledge, the data concerning relationship between these parameters in sinonasal lesions are scanty. In model cancer cells, expression of the survivin gene was shown to occur exclusively in the G2/M phase in a strict cell cycle-regulated manner [48], thus potentially explaining a preferential expression of survivin in poorly differentiated and metastatic squamous cell cancers, likely to exhibit high proliferative potential. At a cellular level, survivin is localized to mitotic spindle microtubules of dividing cells [48], in a reaction required to preserve apoptosis inhibition. In this context, correlations described in our study may state logical consequence of the molecular events. Based on our results and literature data, we postulate that the nuclear survivin immunoeexpression can be involved in promoting cell proliferation in sinonasal tumors, whereas the cytoplasmic survivin immunoeexpression may be associated with clinicopathological parameters (not studied in this work).

In conclusion, our findings may suggest that relationship between survivin, Ki67 and Bcl-2 could potentially contribute to tumorigenesis in the sinonasal region. Profound analysis of molecular mechanism of action and subcellular localization of survivin and/or its presumptive variants may clarify the function of survivin in the regulation of cell viability and cell divisions. However, further studies are needed to better understand the molecular basis and the role of survivin in sinonasal tumorigenesis.
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