The effect of chronic alcohol intoxication and smoking on the activity of oral peroxidase
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Abstract: Peroxidase is the most important antioxidant enzyme in saliva. Through peroxidation of thiocyanate in the presence of H2O2, peroxidase catalyses the formation of bacteriocidic compounds such as hypothiocyanate. The purpose of this study was to evaluate the effect of chronic alcohol intoxication and smoking on the activity of oral peroxidase (OPO). A total of 37 volunteers participated in the study. This cohort consisted of 17 male alcohol-dependent smoking patients after chronic alcohol intoxication (AS group, alcohol + smoking) (mean age: 42 years; range: 26–55) (100–700 g/day of alcohol; 10–20 cigarettes/day) and 20 control male social drinkers (CNS group, control non-smokers) with no history of alcohol abuse or smoking (mean age: 42 years; range: 30–53). Salivary peroxidase activity was measured by the colorimetric method. The differences between groups were evaluated using the Mann–Whitney U test. There was significantly higher activity of OPO (p = 0.00001) and significantly lower salivary flow (SF) (p = 0.007) in alcohol-dependent smokers after chronic alcohol intoxication compared to the control group. OPO activity significantly correlated with the number of days of alcohol intoxication, but not with smoking. Gingival index (GI) was significantly higher in smoking alcohol-dependent persons than in the control group, and correlated with OPO activity. The sensitivity of the OPO test was 70% in smoking alcoholics, while specificity was 95%. The increased activity of OPO suggests chronic oxidative stress is more likely due to ethanol action than to smoking. Smoking alcohol-dependent persons have a worse periodontal status than controls. OPO activity as a marker of chronic alcohol abuse may help in the diagnosis of alcoholism. (Folia Histochemica et Cytobiologica 2012, Vol. 50, No. 3, 450–455)
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Introduction

It is estimated that 3.8% of all global deaths, 4.6% of the global burden of disease and injury, and 30% of all hospital admissions and healthcare costs are at-
Alcohol, smoking, and oral peroxidase

Alcohol, smoking, and oral peroxidase

Wojciech Sadowski, Józef Glinski

©Polish Society for Histochemistry and Cytochemistry
Folia Histochem Cytobiol. 2012
10.5603/FHC.2012.0062
www.fhc.viamedica.pl

A check-up of the oral cavity was done by one qualified dentist in artificial light, using a dental mirror and a probe. Following the World Health

tributable to alcohol abuse [1]. About 2% of the population suffers from alcohol dependence. During drinking, ethanol diffuses rapidly into saliva, and immediately after drinking its salivary concentration is temporarily much higher than in plasma. Within 30 minutes, salivary ethanol concentration equilibrates with the plasma level, thus suggesting that ethanol penetrates the whole body, including the salivary glands. After alcohol intake, the level of acetaldehyde in saliva strikingly exceeds the level in systemic blood. From saliva, acetaldehyde and ethanol easily reach all local tissues. Damage to the oral tissues seems to be mostly attributable to the action of acetaldehyde, although some acute effects depend on the direct action of ethanol, the formation of reactive oxygen species (ROS), non-oxidative metabolites of ethanol (e.g. fatty acid ethyl esters — FAEEs), and the ethanol-water competition mechanism [2–9].

Acetaldehyde, the main metabolite of ethanol, is also a component of tobacco smoke and has been identified as an inducer of carcinogenesis [10]. In addition to acetaldehyde, tobacco smoke contains as many as 3,000 toxic substances such as nicotine, nitrosamines, carbon monoxide, etc. [11]. Cigarette smoking and alcohol drinking often co-occur [12], and are highly correlated even if they occur occasionally [13]. Acetaldehyde destroys enzymes involved in the defense of oral tissues such as peroxidase [14]. Even in persons who use snuff or chew tobacco, decreases in the levels of lysozyme and lactoferrin, and an increase in the salivary IgA, have been found [15]. Chronic alcohol abuse and cigarette smoking have been found to decrease protein secretion with accompanying reduction in the level of amylase in saliva [6, 15].

Peroxidase is the most important antioxidant enzyme in saliva. Peroxidase is a glycoprotein, the activity of which has been found in many exocrine secretions such as tears, milk, vaginal secretions and saliva [5, 16]. Oral peroxidase (OPO) has a dual origin: salivary peroxidase (SPO) is produced and secreted by salivary gland cells, and myeloperoxidase (MPO) is produced by polymorphic neutrophils (PMN) that migrate into the oral cavity with gingival crevicular fluid [17]. Salivary peroxidase (SPO) represents 60–80% of the total OPO activity [18]. Myeloperoxidase forms 20 to 40% of the total OPO activity, gaining in importance in inflammatory conditions (30–70%) [17, 18]. OPO has a dual role: it controls the level of toxicity of hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) excreted by bacteria and leukocytes, and it also exhibits a specific antibacterial activity by inhibiting the metabolism and proliferation of G(−) and G(+) bacteria in the mouth [19, 20]. Through peroxidation of thiocyanate in the presence of H₂O₂, peroxidase catalyzes the formation of bacteriocidic compounds, e.g. hypothiocyanite, which inhibit bacterial metabolism (i.e. react with sulfhydryl groups of the enzymes involved in glycolysis) [15, 19, 21, 22]. The use of H₂O₂ by peroxidase inhibits the toxic accumulation of H₂O₂ in the tissues of the oral cavity [19]. Particularly sensitive to the toxicity of H₂O₂ are fibroblasts and epithelial cells, where H₂O₂ is converted to hydroxyl radicals, damaging DNA and lipids [16]. H₂O₂ can cause oxidative decarboxylation of sialic acid, which interferes with salivary glycoproteins agglutination. Adhering to hydroxypatite, peroxidase may in turn inhibit bacterial adhesion to it and its subsequent destruction [15, 23]. Other mechanisms of antibacterial OPO are: inhibiting the production of acids by bacteria, inhibiting the transport of glucose and amino acids into the bacteria, and bacterial cell wall damage [22]. Peroxidase catalyzes the oxidation of organic compounds, particularly phenols, which have antibacterial activity (by inhibiting the growth, uptake of glucose and fatty acid production), antifungal and anti-viral [16]. By the oxidation of organic compounds such as phenols, OPO prevents their mutagenic effect in the tissues [24].

The aim of this study was to determine the effect of chronic alcohol intoxication and smoking on the activity of oral peroxidase in saliva.

Material and methods

Subjects. A total of 37 volunteers participated in the study. This cohort consisted of 17 male alcohol-dependent smoking patients admitted to the Detoxification Unit in Choroszcz after chronic alcohol intoxication (the ‘AS’ group, standing for alcohol + smoking) (mean age: 42 years; range: 26–55) (100–700 g/day of alcohol; 10–20 cigarettes/day) and 20 control male social drinkers (the ‘CNS’ group, standing for control non-smokers) with no history of alcohol abuse or smoking (mean age: 42 years; range: 30–53). Alcoholics met criteria for alcohol and nicotine dependence according to ICD-10 (the average time of dependence was 15 ± 7 years for alcohol and 20 ± 8 years for smoking). The length of the alcohol intoxication ranged from three to 90 days (mean ±30). The interview about smoking habit was conducted during a dental examination. Material from persons admitted to the Detoxification Unit was collected on the second day of the abstinence period.

Ethical issues. This study was approved by the Bioethical Committee of the Medical University of Bialystok, Poland (RI-003/289/2005). Informed written consent was obtained from all the subjects after explanation of the nature, purpose, and potential risks of the study.

Data and sample collection. A check-up of the oral cavity was done by one qualified dentist in artificial light, using a dental mirror and a probe. Following the World Health
Organization criteria, the level of dental caries in subjects were determined using the DMFT index (decayed, missing or filled teeth; [5]). Gingival status was assessed using the gingival index (GI; Löe and Silness [25]) and the papilla bleeding index (PBI; [26]). The DMFT, GI, and PBI indices of our subjects were: in alcohol-dependent subjects (19.5 ± 5.7, 0.99 ± 0.76, 0.57 ± 0.27, respectively), in controls (18.8 ± 5.70, 0.30 ± 0.47, 0.35 ± ± 0.48, respectively). The subjects were instructed to refrain from smoking, food and beverages, except water, for two hours before saliva collection. All salivary samples (3 ml of resting whole saliva) were collected to plastic tubes on ice by the spitting method, under standardized conditions [27, 28], between 8.00 am and 9.00 am to minimize the influence of circadian rhythms. The samples were centrifuged at 3,000 × g for 20 minutes at 4°C, to remove cells and debris. The resulting supernatants were divided into 200 μl portions, frozen and kept at −80°C, until analyzed. Salivary flow (SF) was calculated by dividing the volume of saliva by the time of its collection. In all the samples, peroxidase activity was assayed in duplicate, and the means of the duplicate results were used as final values.

**Peroxidase activity assay.** Salivary peroxidase activity was measured by colorimetric 2-nitrobenzoic acid-thiocyanate assay [29]. 5,5'-dithiobis-2-nitrobenzoic acid in phosphate buffer (pH 5.6) was reduced to nitrobenzoic acid by the addition of mercaptoethanol. The disappearance of nitrobenzoic acid while reacting with OSCN-, the product of peroxidase activity, was analyzed spectrophotometrically at a wavelength of 412 nm. Results were analyzed using the KC Junior from Bio-Tek Instruments.

**Statistical analysis.** Statistical analysis was performed with Statistica version 8.0 (Statsoft, Krakow, Poland). Results are expressed as means ± SD. The differences between groups were evaluated using the Mann–Whitney U test. Statistical significance was assumed when p < 0.05.

**Results**

Compared to the activity of OPO in the control group (102.4 ± 29.9 IU/l; mean ± SD), the activity of OPO in the saliva of smoking alcohol-dependent persons (182.4 ± 54.0) was significantly higher (p = 0.00001) (Figure 1). Salivary flow (SF) in the control group (0.43 ± 0.10 ml/min) was significantly higher than in smoking alcohol-dependent persons (0.31 ± 0.31) (p = 0.007). We found significantly higher GI in smoking alcohol-dependent persons (0.99 ± 0.76) than in the control group (0.30 ± 0.47) (p = 0.003) but didn’t find significant differences between controls and alcoholics in the PBI or DMFT indices. There were no significant correlations between SF or OPO activity and the number of cigarettes smoked per day or the duration of nicotine dependence. A correlation was found between OPO activity and the number of days of the last alcohol intoxication (r² = 0.55, p = 0.01) (Figure 2), as well as between OPO activity and gingival index (r = 0.37, p = 0.04). There was a correlation between the duration of alcohol dependence and the number of cigarettes smoked per day (r² = 0.54, p = 0.04). OPO salivary values have 70% sensitivity and 95% specificity in terms of differentiating between smoking alcoholics and the control group.

**Discussion**

It has been reported that chronic ethanol consumption leads to fat accumulation in salivary glands, acinar cell swelling, gland atrophy, as well as to reduced...
salivary flow rate, and markedly decreased salivary protein and glycoprotein levels [6, 30, 31]. A diffuse infiltration of salivary gland stroma by mononuclear inflammatory cells or their concentration around the salivary ducts has also been reported [32]. Ethanol with its own water competition mechanism, acetaldehyde, generated ROS, and non-oxidative metabolites of ethanol (e.g. FAEEs), may all be involved in the toxic effects of alcohol on the salivary glands [2]. In particular, the highly reactive acetaldehyde is capable of forming adducts with (glyco)proteins. It causes morphological changes of the Golgi complex and leads to a general reduction in the efficiency of the secretory process by inhibiting tubulin polymerization. Induced CYP2E1 generates reactive oxygen species (ROS) such as superoxide radical, hydrogen peroxide, etc. ROS can promote conformational changes of proteins by protein oxidation; they can also inactivate enzymes, degrade proteoglycans, cause oxidative damage to the DNA, and damage cellular membranes. The markedly limited cell energy metabolism and ATP availability, while ethanol oxidates to acetaldehyde, are due to the elevated NADH/NAD ratio. It reduces carbohydrate and lipid metabolism and finally causes fatty acids synthesis. Incorporated into membranes, FAEEs have been shown to cause an increase in membrane fluidity. Thus, a disorder in the membrane bilayer and an increase in the lysosomal fragility develop. Membranes are an immediate site of ethanol action. Ethanol and water compete with each other on target membrane molecules. Glycoproteins attract a large volume of water (up to 95%). Thus displacement of water by ethanol from hydrogen-bonded sites creates the opportunity for allosteric changes that lead to conformational changes of salivary glycoconjugates [33–36].

As with alcohol, cigarette smoke is a source of oxidative stress and high concentrations of acetaldehyde in the oral cavity [10, 37]. It has been proven that concomitant alcohol abuse and cigarette smoking cause a synergistic increase in the concentration of acetaldehyde in saliva [10]. The level of acetaldehyde in the saliva of smokers is double the level in non-smokers [38]. Alcohol abuse and smoking have a synergistic effect on damage to the oral tissues and on tumor development [10, 38]. However, alcohol abuse carries a more than three times greater risk of developing cancer than smoking [38]. Alcohol abuse has been found to swell secretory cells of the salivary glands, decrease the secretion of proteins, and impair immune mechanisms such as phagocytosis and chemotaxis, as well as increase the production of ROS in smokers [11, 39–41]. Acetaldehyde of cigarette smoke may inactivate peroxidase [14]. Even in people chewing tobacco and using snuff, decreased levels of lysozyme and lactoferrin, and increased secretion of immunoglobulin A in the saliva, have been found [15]. In smoking chronic alcohol abusers, lower salivary protein secretion was accompanied by a reduction in the level of amylase, compared to the control group [15]. The significantly higher stimulated secretion of saliva in smokers proves the irritating effect of tobacco smoke on the oral mucosa [6, 39].

In chronically intoxicated with alcohol smokers, unstimulated salivary flow (SF) was significantly lower than SF in the control group. Our results confirm the data in the literature [42, 43], in which chronic alcohol consumption caused a reduction in SF. Morphological changes of salivary glands, such as those described above, are considered to be involved in SF reduction in people addicted to alcohol. Ethanol, by replacing water, increases the liquidity of cell membranes of the salivary gland, thus impairing the activation of cAMP in the membrane and the mobilization of Ca²⁺, and leading to a subsequent decrease in secretory cell function. Other mechanisms that may be involved in the SF decrease during abstinence syndrome are: severe stress with sympathetic system arousal, hypercortisolemia, a fluid diet and a smaller number of meals (by reducing the chewing that stimulates saliva secretion), a reduction in the amount of muscarinic receptors, or changes in the secretion of paracrine transmitters and gastrointestinal hormones such as somatostatin, PP peptide, or cholecystokinin [44–49]. As significantly higher secretion of stimulated saliva has been found in smokers [39], the reduction of SF in alcohol-dependent smokers in our study seems to confirm the greater impact of alcohol poisoning than tobacco smoking on the secretion of saliva. The increased activity of OPO does not seem to be the effect of reducing SF alone, because we noticed no inverse correlation between these parameters.

Oxidative stress is very harmful to the tissues and occurs in acute [50] as well as in chronic alcohol intoxication [51]. Oxidative stress is accompanied by the generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and increased activity of antioxidant enzymes e.g. glutathione peroxidase [50, 52, 53]. In acute poisoning, ethanol and its metabolites, such as cetaldehyde, may contribute to the inactivation of OPO [5, 14, 54], a significant oxidative stress in chronic alcohol intoxication is supposed to be compensated by adequate overproduction of antioxidant enzymes. In our study, we observed a significant increase in the activity of OPO after chronic alcohol intoxication in relation to the control group (Figure 1). The increase in the activity of OPO in chronic ethanol intoxication may also be a result of an influx of leukocytes into damaged oral
mucosa. The involvement of oral tissue damage in OPO increase seems to be confirmed by a significantly higher gingival index (GI) of smoking alcoholics than that of controls, and a significant correlation between the activity of OPO and GI. It is highly likely that the increased activity of OPO that is due to the damage to the oral cavity is mainly due to ethanol intoxication.

In our study, the activity of OPO significantly correlated with the number of days of alcohol intoxication ($r^2 = 0.55, p = 0.01$) (Figure 2). There were no significant correlations between the number of cigarettes smoked per day or the duration of nicotine dependence, indicators of the oral damage (DMFT, PBI, GI), and the activity of OPO.

In conclusion, the increased activity of oral peroxidase in alcohol-dependent smoking patients suggests chronic oxidative stress likely due to ethanol action. Chronically intoxicated alcoholics have a worse gingival status than controls. There is no significant influence of smoking on OPO activity. As OPO has quite good sensitivity and high specificity, it may help in the diagnosis of chronic alcohol abuse.

References


