Diagnostics of hypercortisolism — comparison between the clinical usefulness of salivary and serum cortisol measurements
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Abstract

Introduction: The aim of this study was the comparison of 24h urine free cortisol (UFC), serum cortisol at 11pm (SCM) and late-night salivary cortisol (LSC) in patients suspected for hypercortisolism, and an assessment of the usefulness of these measurements in diagnosing overt Cushing’s (OCS) syndrome, pseudo Cushing’s state (PCS) and subclinical Cushing’s syndrome (SCS).

Material and methods: The study group consisted of 82 patients, of whom four patients had SCS, three OCS and eight PCS. For measurements of LSC, the ELISA method was used, and for UFC and SCM determination, chemiluminescent microparticle immunoassay was used.

Results: The highest correlation coefficient characterised LSC and SCM (r = 0.72). Area under curve (AUC) for SCM and LSC in receiver operating characteristic (ROC) for OCS was: 0.86 v. 0.74; for PCS: 0.83 v. 0.70; and for SCS: 0.74 v. 0.79.

Conclusions: Our findings suggest that LSC is more useful compared to SCM in diagnosing subclinical Cushing’s syndrome. Moreover, LSC seems to be a valuable diagnostic criterion to distinguish pseudo Cushing’s state. However, to obtain reliable cut-offs for LSC values, a larger group of hypercortisolic patients is needed.
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Introduction

The most frequent indications to perform diagnostics of hypercortisolism are typical signs and symptoms for Cushing’s syndrome (CS) and/or adrenal incidentaloma (AI). Although the most common type of hypercortisolism is ACTH-dependent Cushing’s syndrome, there is increasing incidence of adrenal incidentaloma due to the widespread use of advanced imaging techniques like ultrasonography or computed tomography. The incidence of AI increases with a patient’s age and reaches over 7% in the general population aged over 70 years [1]. The 5-20% of AI cases that have been reported to show mild cortisol excess without any specific signs and symptoms of CS are termed subclinical Cushing’s syndrome (SCS) [2–6]. Overt CS usually develops demonstrative signs like reddish-purple striae, plethora, proximal muscle weakness, bruising with no obvious trauma, and unexplained osteoporosis [7]. In such patients,
confirmation of CS by biochemical tests is usually easy, while diagnosis of SCS remains controversial.

Another problem of clinical importance is to distinguish CS with pseudo Cushing’s states (PCS) related to overactivity of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis in patients complaining of depression, visceral obesity, polycystic ovaries syndrome, poorly controlled diabetes, anorexia, menstrual irregularity and chronic alcoholism [8]. On the one hand, depression, diabetes, obesity, hypertension, osteoporosis or menstrual irregularity may constitute symptoms of cortisol excess. On the other hand, these conditions are common in the general population [9, 10].

A challenge for the endocrinologist is to differentiate between SCS and PCS in a patient with AI. Dexamethasone-suppressed corticotropin-releasing hormone stimulation test and the desmopressin test performed in endocrinology departments do not guarantee absolute diagnostic accuracy, while the number of such patients continues to increase [11].

Thus, reliable, easy to perform and cheap methods are needed for hypercortisolism diagnostics that would be convenient in outpatients. Current clinical guidelines recommend the initial use of one of the following tests: 24-h urinary free cortisol (UFC), late-night salivary cortisol (LSC) and serum cortisol after 1 mg overnight dexamethasone suppression test (DST) [12]. Late-night salivary cortisol seems the best choice as an initial test, compared to DST, UFC and serum cortisol measurement (SCM) because of its highest sensitivity [13]. Moreover, LSC is a non-invasive procedure, free of stress and easy to collect and store. In addition, saliva contains stable cortisol and is unaffected by alterations in cortisol-binding globulin [14].

Thus, the aim of this study was a comparison of UFC, SCM and LSC in patients suspected for hypercortisolism and an assessment of their usefulness in diagnosing CS, PCS and SCS.

Material and methods

The study group consisted of 82 patients admitted to the Department of Endocrinology, Diabetology and Internal Diseases of the Medical University in Białystok between 2009 and 2011 who were diagnosed because of a suspicion of hypercortisolism. The most frequent indication for screening of Cushing’s syndrome was the presence of signs and symptoms suggestive of hypercortisolism: 43 of the 82 (52%). In 33 cases (40%), patients were diagnosed with AI, and six (7%) had pituitary adenoma.

Saliva and serum were collected at 8am and 11pm. 24-hour urine collection was used for UFC determination. DST was performed typically by measurement of serum cortisol in morning sample (8am) after administration of 1 mg dexamethasone at 11pm the previous night. Salivary cortisol was measured using a Lucio — Medical ELISA Salivary Cortisol HS kit (analytic sensitivity < 0.012 ng/mL, specificity for cortisol 100%, intra- and interassay CV was respectively < 4.94% and < 4.07%). Chemiluminescent microparticle immunoassay (CMIA) by ARCHITECT of Abbott Laboratories was used to determine cortisol in serum and in urine (functional sensitivity assay of < 1μg/dL, specificity for cortisol was 100% assay precision of < 10% total CV for serum samples > 3 to < 35 μg/dL and < 20% total CV for urine samples > 3 to < 35 μg/dL.)

In patients with AI, a 16-slice CT was performed with description of tissue density using Hounsfield’s scale.

Three types of hypercortisolism were distinguished. Subclinical Cushing’s syndrome was diagnosed in four cases who had cortisol in serum above 1.8 μg/dL in DST (the indication for screening in all SCS patients was AI), three patients with overt CS (two with pituitary adenoma and one with ectic ACTH-producing tumour) and eight patients with PCS. The PCS group consisted of four patients with depression (including one with poorly controlled diabetes), three obese (BMI > 30), and one with anorexia.

To evaluate relationships between LSC, SCM and UFC, Spearman’s test was performed using Statistica 10.0 (StatSoft, Tulsa, OK, USA). In each type of hypercortisolism, receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis for LSC and SCM was performed using STATA 11.

Results

We observed positive correlations in all variables. The highest R value (R = 0.72) characterised the correlation between LSC and SCM (Fig. 1). Relatively low R values characterised UFC in correlation with LSC and SCM (R = 0.37 and R = 0.47 respectively), thus ROC analysis for this variable was not performed. In ROC analysis for LSC and SCM, graphs indicate slightly higher area under the curve (AUC) for LSC (AUC = 0.79) compared to SCM (AUC = 0.74) for patients with SCS (Fig. 2). Cut-off point for LSC in this case was 0.82 μg/dL with sensitivity 75% and specificity 89%. On the other hand, in patients with overt Cushing’s syndrome (Fig. 3), AUC was significantly higher for cortisol in serum (AUC = 0.86) than in saliva (AUC = 0.74). Sensitivity and specificity reached 80% for 6.2 μg/dL. For LSC measurement, sensitivity reached 100% at specificity 49% with 0.16 μg/dL. In patients with PCS (Fig. 4), serum cortisol more often indicated patients with hypercortisolism: ROC area: 0.83 ± 0.70.
Discussion

Results of the present study have shown a satisfactory correlation between LSC and SCM, which does not agree with previous publications [15-17]. For this reason, this method is carefully investigated in patients suspected for hypercortisolism. Recently published studies have shown that LSC is a convenient screening test for overt Cushing’s syndrome [10,18,19]. The cut-off values for LSC in OCS reported in these studies varied from 0.13 to 0.55 μg/dL, with high sensitivities and specificities. More problematic is establishing the cut-off value in diagnosis of SCS. In our study, LSC measurement in SCS cases reached sensitivity of 75% and specificity of 89% for 0.82 μg/dL. A low sensitivity (22.7%), but high specificity (87.7%) for cut-off 0.18 μg/dL characterised LSC in the study by Masserini et al. [20]. In the analysis of Nunes et al., sensitivity and specificity were comparable (77% and 69% respectively) for 0.17 μg/dL [21]. By contrast, Yuko Tateishi et al. indicated 0.11 μg/dL value of LSC with 100% sensitivity but only 50% specificity [22].

Differences between presented results may be explained by different diagnostic criteria for SCS and different assay methods used. Salivary cortisol has been usually measured by RIA and ELISA [18,19,23,25,26] and much more rarely by electrochemiluminescent immunoassay (ECLIA) or liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) [23, 27, 28]. The study by Beko et al. revealed better performance of LSC using ECLIA (sensitivity 100% and specificity 88%) compared to RIA (sensitivity 100% and specificity 71%) [23]. Another study demonstrated an important rate of abnormal LSC results in volunteers without evidence of Cushing’s syndrome when measured using two different commercial assays and evaluated with laboratory provided normative ranges [24]. Assays of RIA and ELISA can be affected by cross-reactivity with cortisol metabolites and synthetic glucocorticoids. Liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry does not pose this problem, but some drugs such as carbamazepine or fenofibrate may interfere in this method and cause falsely elevated values [29]. In addition, patients with
SCS and adrenal incidentaloma are characterised by a fluctuated cortisol secretion and may reveal abnormal cortisol in a single measurement [30].

Our study suggests that LSC is better than SCM as a diagnostic criterion of PCS, as far as it enables reduction of false positive results of Cushing’s syndrome. Thus, LSC seems a reliable method especially in patients with elevated cortisol binding globulin in serum (e.g. obese or those taking carbamazepine or oral contraceptives).

Another aspect of salivary cortisol procedure is sample collection and storage. Saliva collection should be done between 11 pm and midnight. In healthy individuals with stable circadian rhythm, the level of serum cortisol begins to rise at 3 am–4 am, reaching its peak at 7 am–9 am and then falling by the end of the day to its lowest value. Loss of circadian rhythm is typical for Cushing’s syndrome but also can occur due to the stress of hospitalisation. Most clinicians ask patients to collect saliva samples on two separate evenings at home. Saliva is collected by drooling into a plastic tube or by placing a cotton pledget in the mouth and chewing for 1–2 min. The sample is stable at room temperature for several weeks. In healthy volunteers, salivary cortisol concentrations are highly correlated with those in plasma, urine and cerebrospinal fluid and have been assumed to represent only free cortisol [31–35]. Several factors may substantially influence salivary cortisol measurements. The salivary glands express 11β-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase type 2, so patients chewing tobacco or using products containing liquorice may have falsely elevated LSC. Patients who smoke cigarettes have been shown to have higher LSC than non-smokers [36]. On the other hand, avoiding smoking can cause changes in cortisol levels for smokers, possibly due to the stress of non-smoking [32]. When first including salivary cortisol as a study aim, scientists used various substances to stimulate saliva such as citric acid, gum or instant oral contraceptives).


during analysis. In healthy individuals with stable circadian rhythm, the level of serum cortisol begins to rise at 3 am-4 am, reaching its peak at 7 am-9 am and then falling by the end of the day to its lowest value. Loss of circadian rhythm is typical for Cushing’s syndrome but also can occur due to the stress of hospitalisation. Most clinicians ask patients to collect saliva samples on two separate evenings at home. Saliva is collected by drooling into a plastic tube or by placing a cotton pledget in the mouth and chewing for 1–2 min. The sample is stable at room temperature for several weeks. In healthy volunteers, salivary cortisol concentrations are highly correlated with those in plasma, urine and cerebrospinal fluid and have been assumed to represent only free cortisol [31–35]. Several factors may substantially influence salivary cortisol measurements. The salivary glands express 11β-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase type 2, so patients chewing tobacco or using products containing liquorice may have falsely elevated LSC. Patients who smoke cigarettes have been shown to have higher LSC than non-smokers [36]. On the other hand, avoiding smoking can cause changes in cortisol levels for smokers, possibly due to the stress of non-smoking [32]. When first including salivary cortisol as a study aim, scientists used various substances to stimulate saliva such as citric acid, gum or instant fruit drinks. Results showed that some substances, especially acidic substances, can give erroneously high readings [37, 38].

Conclusion

Our findings suggest that LSC is more useful than SCM in diagnosing SCS. LSC also seems to be a valuable diagnostic criterion to distinguish pseudo Cushing’s state. However, to obtain reliable cut-offs for LSC, a larger group of hypercortisolic cases is needed.

References