

The relationship of neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio and platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio with bone mineral density in adolescent girls suffering from anorexia nervosa

Małgorzata Morawiecka-Pietrzak^{®1, 2}, Żaneta Malczyk^{®1}, Ewelina Dąbrowska³, Małgorzata Blaska³, Mikołaj Pietrzak^{®4}, Aleksandra Gliwińska^{®5}, Anna Góra^{®1}, Katarzyna Ziora^{®1}, Wojciech Pluskiewicz^{®6}, Zofia Ostrowska^{®2}

¹Department of Paediatrics, Faculty of Medical Sciences in Zabrze, Medical University of Silesia, Katowice, Poland ²Department of Medical and Molecular Biology, Faculty of Medical Sciences in Zabrze, Medical University of Silesia, Katowice, Poland

³Pediatric Endocrinology Ward, Independent Public Clinical Hospital No. 1 in Zabrze, Medical University of Silesia in Katowice, Poland

⁴Department of Internal Medicine, Angiology and Physical Medicine, Faculty of Medical Sciences in Zabrze, Medical University of Silesia, Katowice, Poland

⁵Pediatric Nephrology Ward with Dialysis Division for Children, Independent Public Clinical Hospital No. 1 in Zabrze, Medical University of Silesia in Katowice, Poland

⁶Department and Clinic of Internal Diseases, Diabetology and Nephrology, Metabolic Bone Diseases Unit, Faculty of Medical Sciences in Zabrze, Medical University of Silesia in Katowice, Poland

Abstract

Introduction: Inflammation is supposed to be one of the factors contributing to decreased bone mineral density (BMD) in anorexia nervosa (AN). The neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (NLR) and platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio (PLR) are simple and cost-effective inflammatory markers, well documented as indicators of postmenopausal osteoporosis. This study aimed to assess the relationships between these ratios and BMD in girls with AN.

Material and methods: The electronic records of 73 girls hospitalized for AN were analysed retrospectively. The age range of the study group was 12.56–17.67 years. BMD was assessed by dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) and expressed as Z-scores according to lumbar spine (s-BMD) and total body (TB-BMD) sites. NLR and PLR were calculated according to complete blood count results. Patients were divided into 2 subgroups with parallel analyses — according to the TB-BMD criterion and the s-BMD criterion: normal (Z-score > -2.0, n = 63) and low s-BMD subgroup (Z-score \leq -2.0, n = 10), and normal (Z-score > -2.0, n = 45) and low TB-BMD subgroup (Z-score \leq -2.0, n = 28).

Results: In the low s-BMD subgroup a tendency to an increase of mean NLR, PLR, and WBC values was observed. Respective BMD Z-score values correlated significantly and negatively with PLR in the low s-BMD (R = -0.892, p < 0.001) and normal TB-BMD (R = -0.451, p = 0.002) subgroups, while with NLR only in the normal TB-BMD subgroup (R = -0.685, p < 0.001). In the low s-BMD subgroup the PLR was shown to be a significant and independent predictor of s-BMD (R = -0.881, p < 0.001). The PLR contributed to 77.6% of the s-BMD Z-score variability ($R^2 = 0.776$, p < 0.001). In the normal TB-BMD subgroup, the PLR and NLR levels were significant and independent predictors of TB-BMD (R = -0.352, p = 0.004; R = -0.450, p = 0.001; R = -0.339, p = 0.005, respectively) and explained 44.4% of TB-BMD Z-score variability ($R^2 = 0.444$, p < 0.001).

Conclusions: These results indicate that there might be a relationship between bone mass loss and inflammation expressed as NLR and PLR in adolescent girls suffering from AN. These connections seem to be dependent on the examined skeletal area. NLR and PLR, which are common indicators of morbidity and mortality in many malignancies and inflammatory chronic diseases, can also be useful in the evaluation of bone condition in adolescent females with AN. However, there is a need for further investigation in this field. **(Endokrynol Pol 2021; 72 (4): 336–346)**

Key words: anorexia nervosa; bone mineral density; neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio; platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio

Introduction

336

Anorexia nervosa (AN) is an eating disorder characterized by weight loss due to behaviours such as the limiting of food intake, the use of a low-calorie diet, excessive exercise, usage of laxatives, and self-induced vomiting [1]. The incidence of AN is estimated at 0.3–2%, and the ratio in women and men ranges between 10:1 and 4:1 [1, 2].

AN affects the functioning of the whole body. It has been widely acknowledged that one of its most severe consequences is a decrease in bone mineral density

Małgorzata Morawiecka-Pietrzak, Department of Paediatrics, Faculty of Medical Sciences in Zabrze, Medical University of Silesia, Katowice, Poland, ul. 3 Maja 13–15, 41–800 Zabrze, tel: (+48) 32 370 42 73; e-mail: morawieckam@gmail.com

This article is available in open access under Creative Common Attribution-Non-Commercial-No Derivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) license, allowing to download articles and share them with others as long as they credit the authors and the publisher, but without permission to change them in any way or use them commercially

(BMD), which is associated with a 7-fold increased risk of spontaneous fractures [3]. Decreased BMD has been reported in 85% of adult women with AN [4]. Its aetiology is multifactorial, and the mechanisms remain unclear. Inflammation is supposed to be one of the factors contributing to decreased BMD [5, 6]. The latest data suggest that inflammation plays a critical role in bone remodelling and in the pathogenesis of postmenopausal osteoporosis [5]. It is now well established that the bones and the immune system are functionally connected [7,8]. According to some authors, proinflammatory cytokines may play a role in the mechanism that causes either a decrease or a complete lack of the expected increase in BMD [6, 9]. These cytokines (e.g. IL-1, IL-6, TNF- α , IL-11, IL-15) directly and indirectly play a modulating role in bone remodelling. They do it via the receptor activator of nuclear factor- B ligand/receptor activator of nuclear factor-B/osteoprotegerin (RANKL/RANK/OPG) pathway. The RANKL-mediated signalling system plays here an essential role in the regulation and activation of osteoclastogenesis and osteoclastic bone resorption [7-10]. In addition, different immune cells such as macrophages, monocytes, B and T lymphocytes, mast cells, natural killer cells, etc. have been shown to influence skeletal status. These cells can enhance osteoclastogenesis in 2 ways [7]: 1) by increasing the production of bone-resorbing cytokines and 2) by increasing the numbers of precursors of osteoclasts.

According to the reported inflammatory background of postmenopausal osteoporosis in women, there is a relationship between the neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio (NLR), the platelet-lymphocyte ratio (PLR), and low BMD [5, 6, 11, 12].

It has been also suggested that NLR and PLR could be novel and independent osteopaenic markers in postmenopausal women [5, 10, 11]. These simple and cost-effective markers are well documented indicators of inflammation in various malignancies and inflammatory diseases [5, 6, 10]. Inflammatory cytokines, white blood cells, and platelets interact with each other and intensify the inflammatory response [13].

To the best of our knowledge, there are no studies that have established NLR and PLR norms for children. According to a study based on an adult healthy population aged 35–70 years (Tabari cohort, 10,255 participants), the normal values of the NLR and PLR are 1.70 ± 0.70 and 117.05 ± 47.73 [14]. However, these norms should not be considered as ranges of NLR and PLR in the paediatric population. Using adult NLR and PLR values in children is limited by age-related changes in the immune system. The qualitative and quantitative level of immune response changes according to age, especially in the group of adolescences. This point was confirmed by a study that compared complete blood count parameters and lymphocyte subsets in the group of infants, children, adolescents, adults, and the elderly. It was revealed that there are progressive declines in the percentage of total lymphocytes, absolute numbers of T and B cells, and pro-inflammatory cytokines according to age [15].

The method of choice in measuring the bone mass, which was introduced in 1980s, remains dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA). According to the current guidelines, low bone mineral density in children and adolescents is diagnosed when the Z-score in the DXA result is ≤ -2.0 [16–19].

We hypothesized that in girls with AN there would be a correlation between NLR and PLR and skeletal status expressed as bone mineral density results. To test this hypothesis, we conducted a comparative analysis as well as correlation and regression analysis of NLR and PLR in girls suffering from AN and normal BMD compared with those in girls with AN and low BMD. To the best of our knowledge, this association has not been evaluated in adolescent patients with AN.

Material and methods

Electronic records of girls hospitalized for AN from 2015 to 2018 were analysed retrospectively. Participants with chronic disease known to affect bone metabolism or taking medications that influence skeletal status were excluded from the study. In total, 73 girls were included in data analyses. All examined patients were at IV-V Tanner puberty stage, they were in the acute phase of AN and were diagnosed with anorexia nervosa according to the DSM-5 diagnostic criteria for AN (20). The mean age was 15.14 ± 1.34 years (range 12.56–17.67), height 162.3 \pm 6.2 cm, height SDS -0.2 \pm 1.0 cm, body mass 39.17 \pm 6.07 kg, body mass SDS –2.52 \pm 1.09 kg, BMI 14.80 \pm 1.74 kg/m² BMI SDS -2.95 ± 0.98 kg/m², and the average duration of the disease was 13.49 ± 10.86 months. Sixty-seven girls were amenorrhoeic, and the mean duration of *amenorrhoea* was 7.80 \pm 6.42 months (4-28 months). Six girls were premenarchal. There were no patients with clinical manifestations indicating actual or recently acute infection or with a history of any fracture. Detailed information is given in Table 1. The data used in this retrospective analysis were collected as part of a study that has been approved by the Ethics Committee.

Anthropometric measurements

Height (cm) to the nearest 0.1 cm was measured using a single stadiometer, body mass (kg) to the nearest 0.1 kg was assessed on electronic scale, and body mass index (BMI) was calculated as weight divided by squared height (kg/m²). Weight and BMI were expressed as absolute values and in the form of standard deviation score (SDS) [21].

Bone mineral density

Bone mineral density was assessed by dual-energy X-ay absorptiometry (DXA) in the first 2 weeks of hospital stay. Measured sites were: lumbar spine (s-BMD) and total body (TB-BMD) performed with a Hologic Explorer (USA). The results of BMD were expressed as Z-scores according to age and sex, calculated on the basis of the reference values. The instrument was calibrated with the manufacturer's recommendations. According to the TB-BMD criterion and the s-BMD criterion, patients were divided into 2 subgroups (s-BMD and TB-BMD) with parallel analyses used: normal — "within the

Table 1. Clinical characteristics of girls with ano rexia nervosa (AN)

Verieklee	AN (n = 73)				
Variables	Mean ± SD	Range of values			
Age [year]	15.14 ± 1.34	12.56–17.67			
Body mass [kg]	39.17 ± 6.07	24.70–52.00			
Body mass SDS	-2.52 ± 1.09	-0.5-(-5.68)			
Body mass loss [kg]	14.52 ± 9.01	2.00-58.00			
Rate of body mass loss [kg/months]	1.08 ± 0.83	0.75–1.50			
BMI [kg/m ²]	14.80 ± 1.74	9.77–18.27			
BMI SDS	-2.95 ± 0.98	-5.09-(-1.04)			
Disease duration [months]	13.49 ± 10.86	3.00–51.00			
Menstrual status:					
Amenorrhoea [months]	7.80 ± 6.42	4.00–28.00			
Premenarchal [girls]	6				
WBC [10 ³ /µL]	Medians and quartiles	Range of values			
Normal range 4–10.5 [10³/µL]	5.10 (4.20-6.10)	2.46–9.92			
PLT [10³/µL] Normal range 150–450 [10³/µL]	222.00 (187.0–259.0)	37.00–361.00			
NEUT [10 ³ /µL] Normal range 1.6–6 [10 ³ /µL]	2.42 (1.65–3.24)	0.66-6.95			
LYMPH [10 ³ /µL] Normal range 1.3–3.3 [10 ³ /µL]	1.85 (1.85–2.69)	1.09–3.49			
MPV [fL] Normal range 6–9.5 [FI]	8.90 (8.20–9.50)	6.90–12.20			
NLR	1.10 (0.79–1.49)	0.40-4.48			
PLR	102.50 (81.75–124.77)	14.45–244.62			

Data expressed as mean ± SD for normally distributed variables and as medians and quartiles for non-normally distributed variables; SD — standard deviation; SDS — standard deviation score; BMI — body mass index; WBC — white blood cells; PLT — platelets; NEUT — neutrophils; LYMPH — lymphocytes; MPV — mean platelet volume; NLR — neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio; PLR — platelet-lymphocyte ratio

expected range for age" (Z-score > -2.0, n = 63) and low — "below the expected for age" s-BMD subgroups (Z-score ≤ -2.0 , n = 10), and normal (Z-score > -2.0, n = 45) and low TB-BMD subgroups (Z-score ≤ -2.0 , n = 28), respectively.

Biochemical analysis

All blood samples were collected after a 12-h overnight fast between 8.00 and 9.00 a.m. on the first day of hospital stay. On the day of examination none of the girls presented symptoms of an acute infection. Complete blood count was performed at the hospital laboratory using Sysmex XN 1000 and Sysmex XN 350 analysers. NLR and PLR were calculated using the following formulas: neutrophil count/lymphocyte count and platelet count/lymphocyte count, respectively.

Statistical analysis

The database was prepared using Excel 2016 (Microsoft corporation). Statistical analysis was carried out with Statistica 13.3 for Windows (StatSoft Inc., USA). The normality of the distribution of the study sample was assessed using the Shapiro-Wilk test; homogeneity of variance was calculated using Leven's test. Data were expressed as mean \pm SD for normally distributed variables (clinical, anthropometric, and densitometric), and as medians and quartiles for non-normally distributed ones (WBC, PLT, NEUT, LYMPH, MPV, NLR, PLR). In the case of normal distribution of variables, the significance between examined subgroups of patients with normal and low s-BMD and TB-BMD were performed by Student's t-test. In the case of non-normal distribution of variables, the significance was tested using the Mann-Whitney U-test. The distribution of blood count parameters was not normal and the number of participants (especially in s-BMD subgroup with *Z*-score ≤ -2.0) was low, so Spearman's nonparametric correlation test was used to summarize the relationships between WBC, PLT, NEUT, LYMPH, MPV, NLR, PLR, and clinical, anthropometric, and densitometric measurements. The multivariate stepwise regression was used to determine the independent factors influencing BMD at various skeletal regions in the examined subgroups of girls with AN. Values for blood count parameters were linearized by logarithmic transformation when necessary. This analysis was performed in each subgroup of patients separately. The level of statistical significance was set at $\alpha \leq 0.05$. When more than 2 variables were analysed, Holma-Benferroni correction was evaluated.

Results

Baseline characteristics and complete blood count parameters in girls with AN are presented in Table 1. Baseline characteristics and complete blood count parameters in subgroups of patients according to the DXA result are shown in Table 2.

In low s- and TB-BMD subgroups the mean BMI SDS was significantly lower than in subgroups with

Variables	$\label{eq:s-BMD} \begin{array}{ll} s\text{-BMD} & s\text{-BMD} \\ \text{Z-score} > -2.0 & \text{Z-score} \le -2. \\ n = 63 & n = 10 \end{array}$		р	TB-BMD Z-score > -2.0 n = 45	TB–BMD Z-score ≤ –2.0 n = 28	р
Age [year]	15.12 ± 1.32	15.30 ± 1.49	0.695	15.16 ± 1.34	15.05 ± 1.36	0.740
Body mass [kg]	39.92 ± 5.64	34.42 ± 6.81	0.007*	39.90 ± 5.52	37.81 ± 6.74	0.157
Body mass SDS	-2.38 ± 1.00	-3.40 ± 0.90	0.002*	-2.35 ± 1.00	-2.72 ± 1.03	0.153
Body mass loss [kg]	9.67 ± 6.59	15.31 ± 9.15	0.020 ^	10.96 ± 5.51	16.47 ± 10.00	0.003*
Rate of body mass loss [kg/months]	0.79 ± 0.70	0.70 ± 0.57	0.701	0.93 ± 0.71	1.01 ± 0.70	0.748
BMI [kg/m ²]	14.99 ± 1.60	13.62 ± 2.20	0.020 ^	14.89 ± 1.54	14.62 ± 2.03	0.638
BMI SDS	-2.81 ± 0.92	-3.68 ± 1.06	0.008*	-2.80 ± 0.95	-3.55 ± 1.04	0.003*
Disease duration [months]	12.27 ± 9.48	22.00 ± 16.03	0.009*	11.78 ± 7.75	16.33 ± 14.30	0.082
Menstrual status:						
Amenorrhoea	7.38 ± 6.14	11.29 ± 8.08	0.078	7.69 ± 6.12	8.00 ± 7.06	0.843
[months]						
WBC [10 ³ /µL]	5.05	5.63		5.10	5.20	
Normal range 4.0–10.5 10³/µL	(4.20–5.95)	(3.65–7.40)	0.027 ^	(4.20–6.10)	(4.05–6.40)	0.489
PLT [10 ³ /µL]	222.00	206 50		221.00	222.22	
Normal range 150–450 10³/µL	(187.00–268.00)	(141.00–41.00)	0.051	(190,00–249.00)	(185.50–250.50)	0.424
NEUT [10 ³ /µL]	2 40	2 56		2 52	2 28	
Normal range 1.6–6.0 10³/µL	(1.56–3.12)	(1.70–4.00)	0.351	(1.65–3.39)	(1.65–2.71)	0.404
LYMPH [10 ³ /µL]	2 16	2 07			2 20	
Normal range 1.3–3.3 10³/µL	(1.86–2.71)	(1.62–2.33)	0.201	2.12 (1.86–2.59)	(1.70–2.82)	0.242
MPV [fL]	9.00	8.67	0.220	9.00	8.55	0 100
Normal range 6–9.5 fl	(8.10–9.60)	(7.70–8.90)	0.330	(8.20–9.60)	(7.80–9.20)	0.108
	1.10	1.42	0 027 ^	1.20	0.95	0.007
	(0.71–1.44)	(1.05–1.88)	0.037	(0.82–1.54)	(0.74–1.25)	0.307
PLR	102.12 (81.67–125.33)	116.85 (95.86–124.77)	0.047 ^	103.76 (81.75–126.40)	97.72 (78.75–118.64)	0.267

Table 2. Clinical characteristics in subgroups of girls with anorexia nervosa and normal (Z-score > -2.0) and low (Z-score ≤ -2.0) bone mineral density of lumbar spine (s-BMD) and bone mineral density of total body (TB-BMD)

Data expressed as mean \pm SD for normally distributed variables and as medians and quartiles for not normally distributed variables. Z-score — the number of SD from age-matched subjects; SD — standard deviation; BMI — body mass index; SDS — standard deviation score; WBC — white blood cells; PLT — platelets; NEUT — neutrophils; LYMPH — lymphocytes; MPV — mean platelet volume; NLR — neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio; PLR — platelet-lymphocyte ratio; *Differences reach the α level of significance (< 0.05) adjusted by the Holma-Bonferroni correction; ^ Differences do not reach the α level of significance (< 0.05)

*Differences reach the α level of significance (< 0.05) adjusted by the Holma-Bonterroni correction; \uparrow Differences do not reach the α level of significance (< 0 adjusted by the Holma-Bonferroni correction

normal s-BMD, and the mean duration of the disease was significantly longer in the low compared to the normal s-BMD subgroup. Moreover, in the low s-BMD subgroup, a tendency towards an increase in mean NLR, PLR, and WBC values was observed.

Table 3 shows correlation between values of blood count parameters and clinical and selected anthropometric parameters in subgroups of patients, according to the DXA result. There are given relationships only between these parameters, which correlated significantly in at least one subgroup of patients with AN. In the normal TB-BMD subgroup, PLR correlated significantly and positively with amenorrhoea (R = 0.466, p = 0.001). In the low TB-BMD subgroup, NLR and PLR correlated significantly and negatively with the rate of body mass loss (R = -0.552, p = 0.006 and R = -0.562, p = 0.002, respectively) and the duration of the disease (R = -0.523, p = 0.006 and R = -0.573, p = 0.001, respectively). In the case of remaining blood count values and clinical and anthropometric parameters, there was also a tendency towards an increase of R values in selected parameters. Detailed information is given in Table 3.

Tables 4 and 5 show correlations between respective s- and TB-BMD Z-score values and examined blood

Table 3. Correlation between values of white blood cells (WBC), platelets (PLT), neutrophils (NEUT), lymphocytes (LYMPH), mean platelet volume (MPV), neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio (NLR) and platelet-lymphocyte ratio (PLR), and clinical and selected anthropometric parameters in subgroups of girls with AN and normal (Z-score > -2.0) and low (Z-score ≤ -2.0) bone mineral density of lumbar spine (s-BMD) and bone mineral density of total body (TB-BMD)

<table-container>VanishesZacore > -20 n = 5Zacore > -20 n = 0Zacore > -20 n = 5Zacore > -20 n = 5<</table-container>			s-BMD		s-BMD		TB-BMD		TB-MD	
Here Here <th< th=""><th>Variables</th><th></th><th colspan="2">Z-score > -2.0</th><th>Z-score</th><th>e ≤ –2.0 - 10</th><th>Z-score</th><th>> -2.0</th><th colspan="2">Z-score ≤ -2.0</th></th<>	Variables		Z-score > -2.0		Z-score	e ≤ –2.0 - 10	Z-score	> -2.0	Z-score ≤ -2.0	
WBC [10]/µL] -1.1 µ			R =	- U3 n		- IU n	R	- 4j		- 20 n
Hob (h)/L1 -0.13 0.11 0.020 0.133 0.021 -0.12 0.033 0.022 0.033 0.022 0.033 0.022 0.033 0.022 0.033 0.022 0.033 0.021 0.014 0.944 NUE(10)//L1 -0.121 0.343 -0.274 0.034 -0.020 0.036 0.045 0.622 PLR -0.122 0.132 0.079 0.824 -0.076 0.621 0.014 0.944 WBC(10)//L1 -0.150 0.521 -0.178 0.242 -0.178 0.242 -0.18 0.531 0.012 -0.18 0.533 NEUT [10)//L1 -0.014 0.915 -0.164 0.551 0.107 0.486 0.051 0.533 0.531 0.016 0.223	W/BC [10 ³ /µ]]		0 190	0 136	0.004	0 533	_0.093	0.541	0 280	۲ 0 149
N. I. O. J. D. J.	PIT [10 ³ / 1/1]		_0.130	0.100	-0.224	0.000	-0.168	0.341	-0.200	0.143
Intert (ro)µL) Age (years) -0.004 0.005 0.011 0.015 0.011 0.017 0.046 0.051 0.102 0.035 0.021 0.014 0.015 0.010 0.055 0.004 0.051 0.010 0.053 <th0.011< th=""> 0.010 0.010<</th0.011<>	NEUT $[10^{3}/\mu]$		0.001	0.001	-0.091	0.002	0.061	0.692	_0 126	0.207
Lim (r) (µ) Age (r) (µ) 0.000	IVMPH [10 ³ /µ]]		_0.366	0.002*	0.007	0.007	_0 299	0.032	_0.535	0.021
Image Date Date <thdate< th=""> Date Date <th< td=""><td>MPV [f]]</td><td>Age [years]</td><td>0.000</td><td>0.000</td><td>-0.024</td><td>0.000</td><td>0.200</td><td>0.040</td><td>0.000</td><td>0.000</td></th<></thdate<>	MPV [f]]	Age [years]	0.000	0.000	-0.024	0.000	0.200	0.040	0.000	0.000
Num 0.121 0.033 -0.102 0.102 0.103 0.104 0.934 PIR -0.030 0.814 0.030 0.829 -0.259 0.066 0.045 0.822 PLT [107/µL] -0.150 0.241 -0.067 0.854 -0.264 0.076 0.621 0.129 0.159 NEUT [107/µL] -0.059 0.646 0.231 0.521 -0.178 0.027 -0.108 0.583 MPV [fL] 0.321 0.010^{-1} 0.328 0.354 0.497 <0.001*			_0 121	0.100	0.717	0.020	_0.162	0.000	_0.202	0.170
NEW 0.032 0.031 0.032 0.031	PLR		_0.030	0.814	-0.284 0.030	0.423	-0.02	0.200	0.123	0.020
NDC [10]/L] -0.152 0.153 0.033 0.023 0.0305 0.0317 0.0118 0.0517 0.0305 0.0511 0.0305 0.0311 0.0305 0.0311 0.0305 0.0311 0.0305 0.0311 0.0305 0.0311 0.0305 0.0311 0.0305 0.0311 0.0305 0.0311 0.0305 0.0311 0.030	W/BC [10 ³ /µ]]		_0 102	0.011	0.070	0.301	_0 250	0.021	0.014	0.344
I L I (ρ) μL] -0.135 0.247 -0.007 0.037 0.0373 0.041 0.038 0.0373 0.041 0.0373 0.041 0.0373 0.041 0.0373 0.041 0.0373 0.041 0.035 <td></td> <td></td> <td>-0.152</td> <td>0.132</td> <td>_0.073</td> <td>0.023</td> <td>-0.255</td> <td>0.000</td> <td>0.045</td> <td>0.022</td>			-0.152	0.132	_0.073	0.023	-0.255	0.000	0.045	0.022
Hebr 100 µL 0.024 0.021 0.001 0.095 0.630 NLR -0.014 0.915 -0.164 0.651 0.107 0.486 -0.100 0.612 PLR 0.054 0.676 -0.115 0.751 0.102 0.53 -0.084 0.611 WEC [10 ⁴ /µL] -0.220 0.074 -0.267 0.488 -0.293 0.051 -0.203 0.021 0.666 0.221 0.144 0.405 0.404^ PLT [10 ⁴ /µL] mass loss 0.304 0.016 ^0 0.200 0.666 0.221 0.144 0.405 0.404^ PLR -0.055 0.967 0.100 0.798 -0.025 0.671	NEUT $[10^{3}/\mu]$		_0.150	0.241	0.007	0.004	_0.178	0.073	0.000 0.120	0.000
Lmm (1) Doty mate (ng) Doty mate (ng) Doty mate (ng) Doty (ng) </td <td></td> <td>Body mass [kg]</td> <td>_0 284</td> <td>0.010</td> <td>-0.261</td> <td>0.021</td> <td>-0.330</td> <td>0.212</td> <td>_0.123</td> <td>0.583</td>		Body mass [kg]	_0 284	0.010	-0.261	0.021	-0.330	0.212	_0.123	0.583
NLR -0.014 0.015 -0.016 0.016 0.017 0.023 -0.010 0.0612 PLR 0.054 0.676 -0.115 0.751 0.102 0.503 -0.084 0.671 WBC [10 ³ /µL] -0.229 0.074 -0.267 0.488 -0.233 0.051 -0.090 0.663 NEUT [10 ³ /µL] -0.229 0.074 -0.267 0.488 -0.233 0.051 -0.090 0.663 NEUT [10 ³ /µL] mass loss 0.036 0.623 -0.335 0.379 -0.088 0.654 -0.243 0.230 VMPH [10 ³ /µL] mass loss 0.036 0.016^{27} 0.067 0.865 0.206 0.114 -0.522 0.006* NLR -0.020 0.067 0.865 0.206 0.114 -0.522 0.006* PLT [10 ³ /µL] -0.022 0.341 0.183 0.315 0.315 0.333 0.316 0.339 0.014 0.533 0.017 PLT [10 ³ /µL] -0.026	MPV [f]]	Douy muss [kg]	0.201	0.021	0.328	0.354	0.000	< 0.027	0.100	0.000
Num 0.057 0.057 0.053 0.053 0.053 0.054 0.075 0.051 0.053 0.064 0.071 WBC [10 ³ /μL] -0.229 0.074 -0.267 0.488 -0.293 0.051 -0.084 0.671 NEUT [10 ⁵ /μL] -0.229 0.074 -0.267 0.488 -0.293 0.051 -0.090 0.663 NEUT [10 ⁵ /μL] Rate of body mass loss -0.064 0.623 -0.335 0.379 -0.068 0.654 -0.243 0.230 NIR -0.050 0.074 -0.638 0.042 ^ 0.315 0.035 -0.118 0.567 NIR -0.005 0.967 0.100 0.798 -0.025 0.871 -0.562 0.002* WBC [10 ⁵ /μL] -0.122 0.341 0.188 0.603 -0.187 0.218 0.118 0.551 PLT [10 ⁵ /μL] -0.064 0.619 -0.066 0.887 -0.146 0.339 0.041 0.835 NEUT [10 ⁵ /μL] -0.18			_0.021	0.010	-0 164	0.651	0.107	0.001	_0.000	0.000
Number Distr Distr <t< td=""><td>PIR</td><td></td><td>0.054</td><td>0.676</td><td>-0.115</td><td>0.001</td><td>0.107</td><td>0.503</td><td>-0.084</td><td>0.671</td></t<>	PIR		0.054	0.676	-0.115	0.001	0.107	0.503	-0.084	0.671
NDD [10]/µL] Entrol 0.103 0.103 0.004 0.004 0.004 0.004 PUT [10]/µL] Pate of body -0.229 0.074 -0.263 0.051 -0.090 0.663 NEUT [10]/µL] mass loss [kg/ months] 0.064 0.623 -0.267 0.488 -0.233 0.051 -0.090 0.663 NEV [ft] mass loss [kg/ months] 0.228 0.074 -0.638 0.042 ^ 0.315 0.035 -0.118 0.567 NIR 0.230 0.072 0.667 0.865 0.206 0.174 -0.522 0.006* PLR -0.012 0.317 0.100 0.798 -0.025 0.871 -0.522 0.002* WBC [10 ³ /µL] -0.012 0.341 0.188 0.603 -0.187 0.218 0.118 0.551 PLT [10 ¹ /µL] -0.013 0.511 -0.199 0.701 -0.278 0.064 -0.013 0.946 VPLT [10 ¹ /µL] 0.189 0.318 <t< td=""><td>W/BC [10³/µ]]</td><td></td><td>0.001</td><td>0.358</td><td>0 183</td><td>0.637</td><td>0.022</td><td>0.886</td><td>0.001</td><td>0.804</td></t<>	W/BC [10 ³ /µ]]		0.001	0.358	0 183	0.637	0.022	0.886	0.001	0.804
Her (10 ³ /µL) Rate of body mass loss [kg/ months] -0.64 0.623 -0.335 0.379 -0.688 0.6544 -0.243 0.230 MPV [fL] mass loss [kg/ months] -0.064 0.623 -0.335 0.379 -0.068 0.6544 -0.243 0.230 MPV [fL] mass loss [kg/ months] 0.304 0.016 ⁺ 0.200 0.666 0.221 0.144 0.405 0.040 ⁺ NLR 0.230 0.072 0.067 0.865 0.206 0.174 -0.522 0.007 [*] VBC [10 ⁵ /µL] -0.005 0.967 0.100 0.798 -0.025 0.871 -0.562 0.002 [*] VBC [10 ⁵ /µL] -0.054 0.619 -0.066 0.987 -0.146 0.339 0.041 0.835 NEUT [10 ⁵ /µL] -0.070 0.584 0.353 0.317 -0.157 0.303 0.164 0.405 LYMPH [10 ³ /µL] BMI [kg/m ²] -0.183 0.316 0.374 0.475 <0.006 ⁺ 0.771 NLR	PIT $[10^{3}/\mu]$		_0.229	0.330	-0.103	0.037	_0.022	0.000		0.004
Hebr Rate of body (kg/ months) Rate of body (kg/ months) 0.005 0.0205 0.0205 0.0056 0.0201 0.0164 0.0166 0.0201 0.0166 0.2211 0.144 0.405 0.0040^ NLR 0.230 0.072 0.067 0.865 0.206 0.174 -0.522 0.006* NLR 0.230 0.072 0.067 0.865 0.206 0.174 -0.522 0.002* WBC [10 ³ /µL] -0.005 0.967 0.100 0.798 -0.025 0.871 -0.562 0.002* WBC [10 ³ /µL] -0.054 0.619 -0.066 0.987 -0.146 0.339 0.041 0.835 NEUT [10 ⁵ /µL] -0.070 0.584 0.353 0.317 -0.157 0.303 0.164 0.405 LYMPH [10 ³ /µL] -0.070 0.584 0.356 0.374 0.475 <0.001*	NEUT [10 ³ / μ L]		_0.064	0.673	-0.207	0.400	-0.235	0.051	-0.030	0.000
Link (16),μLj Intestes 0.304 0.304 0.303 0.323 0.304 0.303 0.324 0.303 0.427 0.315 0.035 -0.118 0.567 NLR 0.230 0.072 0.067 0.865 0.206 0.174 -0.522 0.007* PLR -0.005 0.967 0.100 0.798 -0.025 0.871 -0.562 0.002* WBC [10 ⁵ /μL] -0.024 0.619 -0.006 0.987 -0.146 0.339 0.041 0.835 NEUT [10 ⁵ /μL] -0.070 0.584 0.353 0.317 -0.157 0.303 0.164 0.405 LYMPH [10 ⁵ /μL] -0.070 0.584 0.353 0.317 -0.157 0.303 0.164 0.405 LYMPH [10 ⁵ /μL] -0.070 0.584 0.353 0.317 0.475 <0.001*		Rate of body	0.004	0.025	0.000	0.075	-0.000 0 221	0.034	-0.243 0 405	0.230
NLR 0.120 0.011 0.030 0.012 0.005 0.014 0.030 0.0174 0.022 0.006* NLR -0.005 0.967 0.100 0.798 -0.025 0.871 -0.522 0.006* WBC [10 ³ /µL] -0.0122 0.341 0.188 0.603 -0.187 0.218 0.118 0.551 PLT [10 ³ /µL] -0.064 0.619 -0.006 0.987 -0.146 0.339 0.041 0.835 NEUT [10 ³ /µL] -0.070 0.584 0.353 0.317 -0.157 0.303 0.164 0.405 LYMPH [10 ³ /µL] BMI [kg/m ²] -0.183 0.151 -0.139 0.701 -0.278 0.064 -0.013 0.946 MPV [ft] 0.189 0.138 0.316 0.374 0.475 <0.001*	MPV [f]]	[kg/ months]	0.004	0.010	-0.638	0.000	0.221	0.144	_0.405	0.040
NLR -0.005 0.967 0.100 0.798 -0.025 0.871 -0.562 0.002* WBC [10 ² /µL] -0.025 0.341 0.188 0.603 -0.187 0.218 0.118 0.551 PLT [10 ² /µL] -0.064 0.619 -0.006 0.987 -0.146 0.339 0.041 0.835 NEUT [10 ³ /µL] -0.064 0.619 -0.006 0.987 -0.146 0.339 0.041 0.835 NEUT [10 ³ /µL] -0.070 0.584 0.353 0.317 -0.157 0.303 0.164 0.405 LYMPH [10 ³ /µL] BMI [kg/m ²] -0.189 0.151 -0.139 0.701 -0.278 0.064 -0.013 0.946 MPV [ft] 0.189 0.183 0.316 0.374 0.475 <0.065			0.220	0.074	0.050	0.042	0.010	0.000	-0.522	0.007
Her 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.001 0.001 0.001 0.001 0.001 WBC [10 ³ /µL] -0.122 0.341 0.188 0.603 -0.187 0.218 0.118 0.551 PLT [10 ³ /µL] -0.064 0.619 -0.006 0.987 -0.146 0.339 0.014 0.835 NEUT [10 ³ /µL] BMI [kg/m ²] -0.183 0.151 -0.139 0.701 -0.278 0.064 -0.013 0.946 MPV [ft] 0.189 0.138 0.316 0.374 0.475 <0.001*	PIR		-0.005	0.072	0.007	0.003		0.174	-0.522	0.000
NLS 0.121 0.311 0.103 0.103 0.113 0.113 0.131 PLT [103/µL] -0.064 0.619 -0.006 0.987 -0.146 0.339 0.041 0.835 NEUT [103/µL] BMI [kg/m2] -0.070 0.584 0.353 0.317 -0.157 0.303 0.164 0.405 LYMPH [103/µL] BMI [kg/m2] -0.183 0.151 -0.139 0.701 -0.278 0.064 -0.013 0.946 MPV [fL] 0.189 0.138 0.316 0.374 0.475 <0.001*	W/BC [10 ³ /µ]]		_0 122	0.341	0.188	0.603	_0 187	0.218	0.002	0.551
Irt [103/μL] -0.070 0.513 -0.050 0.533 -0.175 0.533 0.041 0.053 NEUT [103/μL] BMI [kg/m²] -0.183 0.151 -0.139 0.701 -0.278 0.064 -0.013 0.946 MPV [fL] 0.189 0.138 0.316 0.374 0.475 <0.001*	PIT $[10^{3}/\mu]$		-0.122	0.541	_0.006	0.003	-0.107	0.210	0.110	0.331
LYMPH [103/μL] BMI [kg/m2] -0.183 0.151 -0.139 0.701 -0.278 0.064 -0.013 0.946 MPV [fL] 0.189 0.138 0.316 0.374 0.475 <0.001*	NEUT [10 ³ / μ L]		-0.004	0.013	-0.000	0.307	-0.140	0.333	0.041	0.000
Linkin [10]/μL] Dikin [kg/hl] -0.103 0.131 -0.133 0.701 -0.270 0.004 -0.013 0.340 MPV [fL] 0.189 0.138 0.316 0.374 0.475 <0.001*		BMI [kg/m²]	-0.070	0.304	_0.333	0.317	-0.137	0.000	_0.104	0.405
NLR 0.103 0.130 0.310 0.374 0.473 <0.001	MPV [f] 1	Divit [Kg/111]	-0.103 0.180	0.131	-0.135	0.701	-0.270	0.004 ∠0.001*	0.015	0.340
NLR 0.034 0.036 0.105 0.035 0.143 0.035 0.143 0.035 0.143 0.143 0.143 0.143 0.143 0.143 0.143 0.143 0.143 0.143 0.143 0.143 0.143 0.143 0.143 0.143 0.057 0.143 0.058 0.173 -0.0174 0.387 PLT [10 ³ /μL] 0.139 0.278 0.350 0.356 0.263 0.081 -0.064 0.750 NEUT [10 ³ /μL] 0.024 0.851 0.084 0.831 0.003 0.982 0.125 0.535 LYMPH [10 ³ /μL] 0.024 0.851 0.084 0.831 0.003 0.982 0.125 0.555 NLR -0.298 0.018^{-10.207} 0.488 -0.127 0.404 -0.528 0.005* NLR -0.199 0.119 0.167 0.668 -0.120 0.431 -0.523 0.006* PLR 0.026 0.839 0.117 0.765 0.107 0.			0.103	0.130	_0.510	0.574	0.473	0.001	-0.050	0.777
Her 0.000 0.4400 0.212 0.000 0.123 0.000 0.000 0.000 WBC [10 ³ /μL]	PLR		0.034	0.070	_0.100	0.000	0.145	0.330	-0.003	0.742
WBC [10 /μL] -0.173 0.183 0.007 0.004 -0.030 0.763 -0.174 0.307 PLT [103/μL] 0.139 0.278 0.350 0.356 0.263 0.081 -0.064 0.750 NEUT [103/μL] Disease duration [months] 0.024 0.851 0.084 0.831 0.003 0.982 0.125 0.535 MPV [fL] 0.024 0.851 0.084 0.831 0.003 0.982 0.125 0.535 MPV [fL] 0.024 0.851 0.084 0.831 0.003 0.982 0.125 0.535 MPV [fL] -0.298 0.018^{-10.267} 0.488 -0.127 0.404 -0.528 0.005* NLR -0.199 0.119 0.167 0.668 -0.120 0.431 -0.523 0.006* VBC [103/μL] -0.217 0.102 0.464 0.177 -0.209 0.184 0.012 0.957 PLT [103/μL] -0.047 0.726 0.357 0.431 0.250	W/BC [10 ³ /µ]]		_0 170	0.190	0.067	0.000	_0.058	0.000	_0 17/	0.070
NEUT [10 ³ /μL] Disease duration [months] 0.024 0.851 0.084 0.831 0.003 0.982 0.125 0.535 MPV [fL] Disease duration [months] 0.024 0.851 0.084 0.831 0.003 0.982 0.125 0.535 MPV [fL] Disease duration [months] -0.298 0.018 ^ -0.267 0.488 -0.127 0.404 -0.528 0.005* NLR -0.246 0.052 0.717 0.020 ^ -0.286 0.057 0.060 0.765 NLR -0.199 0.119 0.167 0.668 -0.120 0.431 -0.523 0.006* VBC [10 ³ /μL] -0.217 0.102 0.464 0.177 -0.209 0.184 0.012 0.957 PLT [10 ³ /μL] -0.217 0.102 0.464 0.177 -0.209 0.184 0.012 0.957 PLT [10 ³ /μL] -0.047 0.726 0.357 0.431 -0.250 0.110 -0.139 0.527 NEW [10 ³ /μL] -0.047 0.726 0.357 0.431 -0.025 0.594 0.064 0.773 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td>-0.170 0.130</td> <td>0.103</td> <td>0.007</td> <td>0.004</td> <td>-0.050</td> <td>0.703</td> <td>-0.064</td> <td>0.307</td>			-0.170 0.130	0.103	0.007	0.004	-0.050	0.703	-0.064	0.307
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	NEUT $[10^{3}/\mu]$		0.100	0.270	0.000	0.000	0.200	0.001	0.004	0.730
MPV [fL] -0.246 0.052 0.717 0.020^{-} -0.286 0.057 0.060 0.765 NLR -0.199 0.119 0.167 0.668 -0.120 0.431 -0.523 0.006* PLR 0.026 0.839 0.117 0.765 0.107 0.486 -0.573 0.001* WBC [10³/µL] -0.217 0.102 0.464 0.177 -0.209 0.184 0.012 0.957 PLT [10³/µL] -0.047 0.726 0.357 0.431 -0.085 0.594 0.064 0.773 NEUT [10³/µL] -0.047 0.726 0.357 0.431 -0.024^{-} -0.219 0.315 MPV [fL] -0.047 0.726 0.357 0.431 -0.085 0.594 0.064 0.773 LYMPH [10³/µL] -0.356 0.006* 0.179 0.702 -0.347 0.024^{-} -0.219 0.315 MPV [fL] -0.133 0.319 0.071 0.879 -0.103 0.515 -0.129 0.557	IYMPH [10 ³ /µ]]	Disease duration	_0.024	0.001	_0.004	0.001	_0.003	0.302	-0.528	0.005*
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	MPV [f]]	[months]	-0.230	0.010	0.207	0.400	-0.286	0.404	0.020	0.005
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			_0 199	0.002	0.167	0.668	_0.120	0.007	-0 523	0.700*
WBC [10³/µL] -0.217 0.102 0.464 0.177 -0.209 0.184 0.012 0.957 PLT [10³/µL] 0.099 0.461 0.357 0.431 0.250 0.110 -0.139 0.527 NEUT [10³/µL] 0.047 0.726 0.357 0.431 -0.085 0.594 0.064 0.773 LYMPH [10³/µL] Amenorrhoea [months] -0.356 0.006* 0.179 0.702 -0.347 0.024 ^ -0.219 0.315 MPV [fL] -0.257 0.051 -0.487 0.268 -0.361 0.019 ^ -0.009 0.968 NLR -0.133 0.319 0.071 0.879 -0.103 0.515 -0.129 0.557	PIR		0.100	0.839	0.107	0.000	0.120	0.486	-0.573	0.000*
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	W/BC [10 ³ /µ]]		_0 217	0 102	0.464	0.177	_0 209	0.184	0.070	0.001
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	PIT $[10^{3}/\mu]$		0.099	0.461	0.404	0.431	0.250	0 110	_0 139	0.537
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	NEUT [10 ³ /µ1]		0	0.726	0.357	0.431		0.594	0.100	0.327
Immediation	IYMPH [10 ³ /µ] 1	Amenorrhoea	-0.356	0.006*	0 179	0.702	_0.347	0.034	_0 210	0.315
NLR -0.133 0.319 0.071 0.879 -0.103 0.515 -0.129 0.557	MPV [f]]	[months]	-0 257	0.000	_0 487	0.762	_0.361	0.024	_0.213	0.968
			_0 133	0.319	0.407	0.200	_0 103	0.515	_0 129	0.500
PLK 0.054 0.686 0.143 0.760 0.466 0.001* -0.134 0.542	PLR		0.054	0.686	0.143	0.760	0.466	0.001*	-0.134	0.542

Z-score — the number of SD from age-matched subjects; SD — standard deviation; SDS — standard deviation score; *Correlation reach the α level of significance (< 0.05) adjusted by the Holma-Bonferroni correction; ^Correlation do not reach the α level of significance (< 0.05) adjusted by the Holma-Bonferroni correction;

Table 4. Correlation between respective values of bone mineral density of lumbar spine (s-BMD) expressed as Z-score with
white blood cells (WBC), platelets (PLT), neutrophils (NEUT), lymphocytes (LYMPH), mean platelet volume (MPV), neutrophil-
lymphocyte ratio (NLR), and platelet-lymphocyte ratio (PLR) in the group of girls with anorexia nervosa (AN) as a whole and
in subgroups of patients with normal Z-score (> -2.0) and low Z-score (≤ -2.0) (s-BMD)

	Girls with AN	(whole group)	Subgroups of girls with AN						
Variables	s-BMD n =	Z-score = 73	s-B Z-score > -	MD -2.0 n = 63	s-BMD Z-score ≤ −2.0 n = 10				
	R	р	R	р	R	р			
WBC [10 ³ /µL]	-0.070	0.555	-0.058	0.653	0.012	0.973			
PLT [10 ³ /µL]	0.060	0.616	0.042	0.746	-0.123	0.735			
NEUT [10 ³ / μL]	-0.086	0.470	-0.022	0.864	-0.148	0.683			
LYMPH [10 ³ /µL]	0.040	0.739	-0.051	0.689	0.449	0.193			
MPV [fL]	0.017	0.887	-0.038	0.768	0.111	0.760			
NLR	-0.087	0.462	-0.015	0.906	0.209	0.568			
PLR	-0.236	0.044 ^	0.105	0.413	-0.892	<0.001*			

Z-score — the number of SD from age-matched subjects; R — Spearman's correlation coefficient; *Correlation reached the α level of significance (< 0.05) adjusted by the Holma-Bonferroni correction; ^ Correlation do not reach the α level of significance (< 0.05) adjusted by the Holma-Bonferroni correction

Table 5. Correlation between values of bone mineral density of total body (TB-BMD) expressed as Z-score with white blood cells (WBC), platelets (PLT), neutrophils (NEUT), lymphocytes (LYMPH), mean platelet volume (MPV), neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio (NLR), and platelet-lymphocyte ratio (PLR) in the group of girls with anorexia nervosa (AN) and subgroups of patients with normal (Z-score > -2.0) and low (Z-score ≤ -2.0) TB-BMD

	Girls with AN	(whole group)	Subgroups of girls with AN					
Variables	TB-BMI n =) Z-score = 73	TB- Z-score >	BMD –2.0 n = 45	TB-BMD Z-score ≤ −2.0 n = 28			
	R	р	R	р	R	р		
WBC [10 ³ /µL]	-0.037	0.752	-0.154	0.313	0.093	0.638		
PLT [10 ³ /µL]	0.033	0.782	-0.065	0.670	0.264	0.175		
NEUT [10³/μL]	0.012	0.922	-0.235	0.120	0.146	0.459		
LYMPH [10 ³ /µL]	-0.062	0.602	-0.004	0.980	0.058	0.769		
MPV [fL]	0.023	0.848	0.254	0.092	-0.092	0.643		
NLR	-0.239	0.042 ^	-0.685	< 0.001*	-0.136	0.851		
PLR	-0.231	0.049 ^	-0.451	0.002*	-0.037	0.490		

*Correlation reach the α level of significance (< 0.05) adjusted by the Holma-Bonferroni correction; ^ Correlation did not reach the α level of significance (< 0.05) adjusted by the Holma-Bonferroni correction

count parameters in the whole group of girls with AN and in subgroups divided according to the DXA result. In the whole group of girls suffering from AN only a tendency towards negative correlation between s-BMD Z-score and PLR was observed (R = -0.236, p = 0.044). The correlation did not reach the a level of significance adjusted by the Holma-Bonferroni correction. In the normal s-BMD subgroup no correlation was observed between > -2.0 s-BMD Z-score values and examined blood count parameters (p > 0.050). In the low s-BMD subgroup a significant and negative correlation was observed only between \leq -2.0 s-BMD Z-score values and PLR (R = -0.892, p < 0.001) (Tab. 4).

In the whole group of patients with AN only a tendency towards a negative correlation between

TB-BMD Z-score values and NLR and PLR was observed (R = -0.239, p = 0.042; R = -0.231, p = 0.049, respectively). Correlation do not reach the a level of significance adjusted by the Holma-Bonferroni correction. In the normal TB-BMD subgroup a significant and negative correlation was observed between > -2.0 TB-BMD Z-score values and NLR (R = -0.685, p < 0.001) and PLR (R = -0.451, p = 0.002). In the low TB-BMD subgroup no significant correlation was observed between ≤ -2.0 TB-BMD Z-score values and examined blood count parameters (p > 0.050) (Tab. 5).

Using a stepwise regression analysis, we examined how much of the variance in BMD at various skeletal regions could be explained by selected blood count parameters in girls with AN as a whole and in subTable 6. Stepwise regression modelling for predictors of changes in bone mineral density of lumbar spine (s-BMD) expressed as Z-score in girls with anorexia nervosa (AN) as a whole and subgroups of patients with normal (Z-score > -2.0) and low (Z-score ≤ -2.0) s-BMD

				Subgroups of girls with AN							
Independent variables	GIRIS WI	Girls with AN (whole group) —			Normal			Low			
	s-BMD Z-score as a dependent variable			s-BMD Z-score > -2.0 as a dependent variable			s-BMD Z-score > -2.0 as a dependent variable				
	R	R²i	р	R	R²i	р	R	R²i	р		
MPV [fL]	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-		
NLR	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
PLR	-0.201	0.040	0.088	-	_	-	-0.881	77.62	< 0.001		
$\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Multiple R} = 0.201 \\ \mbox{R}^2 = 0.040 \; (4.04\%) \\ \mbox{p} = 0.088 \end{array}$			Multiple $R = 0.0$			$\label{eq:multiple R} \begin{array}{l} \mbox{Multiple R} = 0.881 \\ \mbox{Multiple R}^2 = 0.776 \ (77.62\%) \\ \mbox{$p < 0.001$} \end{array}$					

Z-score; the number of the SD from age-matched subjects; PLR — platelet-lymphocyte ratio; NLR — neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio; MPV mean platelet volume; R — Spearman's correlation coefficients; R² — multiple determination coefficient; R²i — individual determination coefficient

Table 7. Stepwise regression modelling for predictors of changes in bone mineral density of total body (TB-BMD) expressed as Z-score in girls with anorexia nervosa (AN) as a whole and in subgroups of patients with normal (Z-score > -2.0) and low (Z-score ≤ -2.0) TB-BMD

	Cirle wi	Girls with AN (whole group) -			Subgroups of girls with AN						
Independent variables	GINS WI				Normal			Low			
	TB-BMD Z-score as a dependent variable			TB-BMD Z-score > -2.0 as a dependent variable			TB-BMD Z-score \leq –2.0 as a dependent variable				
	R	R ² i %	р	R	R ² i %	р	R	R²i %	р		
MPV [fL]	-	_	_	0.339	11.79	0.005	-	-	-		
NLR	-0.324	10.50	0.030	-0.450	20.25	0.001	-	-	-		
PLR	-0.159	2.53	0.277	-0.352	12.39	0.004	-	-	-		
	$\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Multiple R} = 0.361 \\ \mbox{Multiple R}^2 = 0.130 \mbox{ (13.03\%)} \\ \mbox{p} = 0.062 \end{array}$		$\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Multiple R} = 0.666 \\ \mbox{Multiple R}^2 = 0.444 \ (44.36\%) \\ \mbox{$p{<}0.001$} \end{array}$			Multiple $R = 0.0$					

Z-score; the number of the SD from age-matched subjects; PLR — platelet-lymphocyte ratio; NLR — neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio; MPV mean platelet volume; R — Spearman's correlation coefficients; R² — multiple determination coefficient; R²i — individual determination coefficient

groups of patients, according to the DXA result. The covariates entered into the models were MPV, NLR, and/or PLR. Other blood count parameters (WBC, PLT, NEUT, LYMPH) were not introduced into the model due to collinearity.

Tables 6 and 7 show the values of standardized regression R coefficients, which allows a comparison of relative contributions of incorporating independent variable into stepwise regression models of respective s- and TB-BMD Z-scores as dependent variables.

In the whole group of girls with AN only PLR was entered into the model with s-BMD as a dependent variable, but PLR is not a significant independent predictor of s-BMD (p > 0.05). In the normal s-BMD subgroup none of the independent variables was entered into the model with > -2.0 s-BMD Z-score as a dependent variable. In the low s-BMD subgroup PLR was shown to be a significant independent predictor (R = -0.881, p < 0.001) in the model with ≤ -2.0 s-BMD Z-score as a dependent variable. PLR contributed to 77.62% of low s-BMD variability (Multiple R² = 0.776, p < 0.001) (Tab. 6).

In the whole group of patients with AN, NLR and PLR were entered into the model with TB-BMD as a dependent variable, but only NLR was shown to be a significant independent predictor (R = -0.324, p = 0.030) in this model. NLR contributed to 10.50% of TB-BMD variability. In normal TB-BMD subgroup MPV, NLR and PLR values were significant and independent predictors (R = 0.339, p = 0.005; R = -0.450, p = 0.001; R = -0.352, p = 0.004, respectively) in the model with > -2.0 TB-BMD Z-score as a dependent variable. Taken together, MPV, NLR, and PLR values contributed to 44.36% of normal TB-BMD Z-score vari-

ability (multiple R² = 0.444, p < 0.001). MPV contributed to 11.79%, NLR contributed to 20.25%, while PLR contributed to 12.39% of normal TB-BMD variability. In the low TB-BMD subgroup none of the independent variables was entered into the model of \leq -2.0 TB-BMD Z-score as a dependent variable.

The presented correlation and regression analysis data indicate that comparative correlation and regression analysis with the division of the whole study group into subgroups with normal and low BMD results are more detailed and accurate, and also more interesting from the clinical point of view than the analysis of the entire study group.

Discussion

The most relevant findings of this study include associations of NLR and PLR with BMD Z-scores. These connections seem to be dependent on the examined skeletal area, because the PLR was shown to be a significant and independent predictor of s-BMD and TB-BMD, and the NLR was a significant and independent predictor of TB-BMD.

The adolescent years are a critical period for bone mineral accrual to achieve an optimal peak bone mass (PBM), which is an important determinant of fracture risk in adult life. It is estimated that more than 90% of PBM is achieved by the end of the second decade [22]. Any skeletal deterioration that occurs during this period may affect bone health later in adult life. The adolescent years are also the time when the onset of AN occurs. One of the consequences of AN is a decrease in BMD, or lack of an adequate bone mass accrual [22]. Rapid bone loss, which occurs within 6 months of disease onset and persists despite weight recovery, is well documented in adult women with AN. The prognosis is worse when disease begins during puberty [3, 22–24]. The aetiology of low BMD in AN is multifactorial and includes hypogonadism, undernutrition, low levels of IGF-1, hypercortisolaemia, excessive exercise, and resistance to growth hormone [22]. However, taking into account the relationship between immunity and bone, it seems that an inflammatory background could be an important factor leading to a BMD decrease in girls suffering from AN. Evidence suggests that inflammation plays a critical role in bone metabolism and in the pathogenesis of osteoporosis. The inflammatory background of postmenopausal osteoporosis is well known. It was reported that C-reactive protein – a sensitive systemic inflammatory marker — is positively correlated with bone loss in healthy pre- and postmenopausal women [25]. Moreover, osteoporosis is more common in conditions with chronic inflammation such as rheumatoid arthritis (RA) and systemic lupus erythematosus, haematological diseases, inflammatory bowel disease, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, etc. [10]. Additionally, hypoestrogenism leads to up-regulation of pro-inflammatory cytokines, which contribute to the activation of osteoclasts [10]. Elevated levels of inflammatory cytokines that have been linked with lower BMD in postmenopausal women were also reported [25]. The mechanisms by which chronic inflammatory factors modulate bone resorption are known [26, 27]: 1 — pro-inflammatory cytokines have a final common mediator of osteoclast function: RANK and RANKL, and indirectly act on mesenchymal stem cells and osteoclast precursors to increase osteoclast-mediated bone resorption; 2 — osteoclastogenesis can be modified through the modulation of macrophage colony stimulating factor.

In adolescent girls with AN the alterations of the immune system and elevated levels of selected pro-inflammatory cytokines are well documented. Misra et al. showed that levels of the high-sensitivity C-reactive protein were decreased, while levels of IL-6 increased in these patients [28]. Other investigators found also elevated concentrations of TNF- α [29]. In the study of Dalton et al. a range of inflammatory markers in patients with AN was measured. They found that IL-6, IL-15, and vascular cell adhesion molecule (VCAM-1) were significantly elevated, compared with health controls. Two meta-analyses of cytokines in AN showed significantly higher concentrations of IL-6, IL1-R, and TNF-a, and decreased C-reactive protein level [30, 31]. Correlations between pro-inflammatory cytokines, bone metabolism markers, and RANKL/RANK/OPG signalling system cytokines indicate that pro-inflammatory cytokines may be involved in the bone loss mechanisms. This is most likely due to the impact on the RANKL/RANK/OPG pathway [9], where RANKL is considered to be the key osteoclastogenic cytokine [27]. In the course of rheumatoid arthritis, it was observed that several pro-inflammatory cytokines upregulated RANKL on synovial fibroblasts. The elevated expression of RANKL activated osteoclastogenesis and resulted in severe bone destruction [32]. Moreover, T lymphocytes were proven to express RANKL and promote bone loss in inflammatory arthritis [33].

NLR and PLR were reported to be closely related to systemic inflammation and immune response status [34]. Recent data showed that raised NLR and/or PLR were associated with i.a. mortality in acute pulmonary embolism [35], severity of coronary heart disease, short-term and long-term mortalities in patients presenting with non-ST elevation myocardial infarction [36], critical limb ischaemia in peripheral arterial occlusive disease [37], or mortality in patients with acute coronary syndrome [38]. Fisher et al. found that NLR was a significant risk factor and a moderate predictor of poorer postoperative outcomes such as myocardial injury, high inflammatory response/infection, and in-hospital death [39]. Due to the fact that osteoporosis can be induced and maintained by chronic inflammation, as well as the fact that NLR and PLR have been known as new markers of the systemic inflammatory activation, it seems that these ratios should be useful as markers of osteoporosis.

The results of our study showed a tendency towards increased mean NLR values in low s-BMD adolescent females with AN, and NLR turned out to be an independent predictor of TB-BMD in the > -2.0 Z-score subgroup. These results are consistent with reports concerning postmenopausal women; however, there are no studies concerning NLR in osteoporotic adolescent girls. Huang [5], Yu [40], and Yilmaz [10] observed that the NLR ratio was higher in postmenopausal women with osteoporosis. In a study concerning elderly men and women, Öztürk observed that the NLR ratio was significantly higher in people with osteoporosis [12]. All of these authors found that the NLR ratio could be a significant risk factor for postmenopausal osteoporosis. In a study of postmenopausal Korean women, it was shown that NLR was negatively associated with the mean lumbar BMD [26]. In a report of a large group of postmenopausal women, Fang et al. observed that NLR was an independent risk factor for postmenopausal osteoporosis [34]. Moreover, several studies have shown that an elevated NLR was associated with poor prognosis in osteoporotic individuals [5, 27]. It was also proven that an elevated NLR was an independent indicator of fracture in orthogeriatric patients [39] and that it increased the risk of fracture [34]. In turn, the studies of Eroglu and Koseoglu [6, 11] showed no significant correlation between NLR and BMD.

The study of Poubelle et al. [33] explains the role of neutrophils and lymphocytes in local bone remodelling. The authors observed the expression of the membrane-associated form of RANKL in healthy blood neutrophils and the expression of the membrane-associated form of RANKL, RANK, and secretion of OPG in inflammatory neutrophils from patients with RA. In the context of RANKL/RANK interactions between cells, including osteoclasts, these findings suggest that neutrophils could play a dual role as immune and bone-like cells during the inflammatory process [33]. Moreover, Chakravarti et al. observed that lipopolysaccharide up-regulates the expression of membrane RANKL in human blood neutrophils, and through RANKL neutrophils it activates osteoclasts, resulting in bone loss [41]. In another study Riegel et al. [42] stated that polymorphonuclear neutrophils upon stimulation express RANK in vivo and in vitro and migrate toward RANKL. In light of these reports, it is possible that neutrophils contribute to the pathogenesis of osteoporosis. This may be due to the enhanced expression of RANKL and osteoclasts activation. Neutrophils could also act directly through RANK.

In addition, our study showed a tendency towards an increase in mean PLR, NLR, and WBC values in the low s-BMD subgroup. Moreover, respective s-BMD Zscore values correlated significantly and negatively with PLR in the low s-BMD and normal TB-BMD subgroups, while with NLR this was seen in the normal TB-BMD subgroup. PLR also transpired to be a significant and independent predictor of low s-BMD and normal TB-BMD, while NLR and MPV proved to be significant and independent predictors of normal TB-BMD. These results indicate that the sensitivity of different examined skeletal areas to the effects of the studied blood count parameters may be different in patients with AN. That could be related to age, degree of malnutrition, duration of the disease, or amenorrhoea (17,43,44). This is indicated not only by the obtained results of the regression analysis, but also by the results of the correlation analysis. In the normal s-BMD subgroup of AN patients the lymphocyte count correlated significantly and negatively with age and amenorrhoea. In the normal TB-BMD subgroup MPV correlated significantly and positively with body mass and BMI, while PLR correlated significantly and positively with amenorrhoea. However, in the low TB-BMD subgroup the lymphocyte count correlated significantly and negatively with age and the duration of the disease, while NLR and PLR correlated significantly and negatively with the rate of body mass loss and the duration of the disease.

Consistent with our report, in a study of postmenopausal women, Eroglu and Koseoglu observed significantly higher PLR values in the low BMD patients [6, 11]. Additionally, Koseoglu found PLR to be a discriminative factor for low BMD [6]. In turn, in the study of San-Hui et al. [26] there was no significant relationship between PLR and BMD. It is thought that platelets contribute to postmenopausal osteoporosis because the interaction of some factors in platelets with vitamin D receptors is important for bone turnover [11]. Another conception is that PLT can contribute to inflammation by releasing thromboxane [11].

Interesting results were reported by Eroglu et al. [45] — in a study of women with postpartum osteoporosis they found that NLR and PLR were lower in the low BMD group, but the differences were not significant. These results suggest that inflammation is not a key factor in every case of osteoporosis.

Our research shows different DXA results, according to the different examined skeletal areas. When discussing the results, it should be considered whether the relationships found for s- and TB-BMD are equally important from the clinical point of view. It seems that the connections regarding s-BMD could be more important: the difference between the groups with normal and low BMD and statistically significant dependencies within the subgroup with low s-BMD. For TB-BMD, no differences were found between subjects with normal and low BMD, and the correlation and regression analyses revealed significant relationships only in the subgroup with normal DXA results. It was found that the uneven impact on BMD disorders is related to the age of AN onset. An onset of AN at a younger age mostly affects the development or maintenance of cortical bone [43]. This observation is based on the fact that before puberty appendicular growth is more rapid than axial, whereas during puberty appendicular growth slows and axial growth accelerates [43]. Therefore, the differences in the DXA results can be caused by the fact that the posteroanterior lumbar spine and the total body less head sites reflect the trabecular and cortical bone, respectively [17, 44].

It is possible that the observed differences are due to the stronger impact of inflammation on trabecular bone. This thesis seems to be confirmed by studies conducted among patients suffering from chronic joint inflammatory diseases. In patients with RA a global decrease of BMD was seen in more than 50% of cases. The decrease in BMD occurs most often in the forearm. However, these results do not correlate with the femoral neck- and s-BMD. This may be due to the fact that the severity of the inflammation is greatest in the wrist. The next location where bone loss occurs most often is the femoral neck. A BMD decrease is observed there six times more often than in the control group. However, there was no difference in s-BMD in patients with RA and in the control group [46]. In the study comparing patients with rheumatoid arthritis and psoriatic arthritis (PsA), lumbar spine-BMD was higher in PsA patients. However, there were no differences in femoral neck BMD. A significant correlation between the duration of the disease and femoral neck BMD in both groups was also observed. Relationship between disease duration and s-BMD was noted only in RA patients [47].

A limitation of this study is lack of a control group, but parents of healthy girls did not agree for a DXA examination because of radiation.

In conclusion, our study indicates that there might be a relationship between low bone mineral density in adolescent girls suffering from AN and inflammation expressed as NLR and PLR. It seems that these connections may be dependent on the examined skeletal area. However, to develop a full picture of the impact of inflammatory on bone health in adolescent girls with AN, there is a need for further investigations in this field.

References

- Malczyk Ż, Oświęcimska JM. Gastrointestinal complications and refeeding guidelines in patients with anorexia nervosa. Psychiatr Pol. 2017; 51(2): 219–229, doi: 10.12740/PP/65274, indexed in Pubmed: 28581533.
- Smink FRE, van Hoeken D, Hoek HW. Epidemiology of eating disorders: incidence, prevalence and mortality rates. Curr Psychiatry Rep. 2012; 14(4): 406–414, doi: 10.1007/s11920-012-0282-y, indexed in Pubmed: 22644309.
- Oświecimska J, Ziora K, Pluskiewicz W, et al. Skeletal status and laboratory investigations in adolescent girls with anorexia nervosa. Bone. 2007; 41(1): 103–110, doi: 10.1016/j.bone.2007.03.018, indexed in Pubmed: 17493887.
- Shepherd S, Kyriakou A, Shaikh MG, et al. Longitudinal changes in bone parameters in young girls with anorexia nervosa. Bone. 2018; 116: 22–27, doi: 10.1016/j.bone.2018.03.022, indexed in Pubmed: 29601899.
- Huang C, Li S. Association of blood neutrophil lymphocyte ratio in the patients with postmenopausal osteoporosis. Pak J Med Sci. 2016; 32(3): 762–765, doi: 10.12669/pjms.323.10292, indexed in Pubmed: 27375729.
- Koseoglu SB, Koseoglu SB. Bone loss & platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio. Biomark Med. 2017; 11(1): 5–10, doi: 10.2217/bmm-2016-0188, indexed in Pubmed: 27917655.
- Zupan J, Jeras M, Marc J, et al. Osteoimmunology and the influence of pro-inflammatory cytokines on osteoclasts. Biochem Med (Zagreb). 2013; 23(1): 43–63, doi: 10.11613/bm.2013.007, indexed in Pubmed: 23457765.
- Majewski PMa. Osteoimmunologia, czyli o wzajemnych oddziaływaniach komórek układów kostnego i odpornościowego. Kosmos. 2017; 66(317): 665–75.
- Ostrowska Z, Ziora K, Oświęcimska J, et al. Selected pro-inflammatory cytokines, bone metabolism, osteoprotegerin, and receptor activator of nuclear factor-kB ligand in girls with anorexia nervosa. Endokrynol Pol. 2015; 66(4): 313–321, doi: 10.5603/EP.2015.0040, indexed in Pubmed: 26323468.
- Yilmaz H, Uyfun M, Yilmaz TS, et al. Neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio may be superior to C-reactive protein for predicting the occurrence of postmenopausal osteoporosis. Endocr Regul. 2014; 48(1): 25–33, doi: 10.4149/endo_2014_01_25, indexed in Pubmed: 24524373.
- Eroglu S, Karatas G, Eroglu S, et al. Platelet/lymphocyte ratio is an independent predictor for osteoporosis. Saudi Med J. 2019; 40(4): 360–366, doi: 10.15537/smj.2019.4.24009, indexed in Pubmed: 30957129.
- Öztürk ZA, Yesil Y, Kuyumcu ME, et al. Inverse relationship between neutrophil lymphocyte ratio (NLR) and bone mineral density (BMD) in elderly people. Arch Gerontol Geriatr. 2013; 57(1): 81–85, doi: 10.1016/j. archger.2013.02.005, indexed in Pubmed: 23490023.
- Czerwiec K, Myślińska D, Wądołowska A, et al. Charakterystyka mediatorów zapalenia – rola cytokin prozapalnych. Ann Acad Medicae Gedanensis. 2016; 46(1): 53–8.
- Moosazadeh M, Maleki I, Alizadeh-Navaei R, et al. Normal values of neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio, lymphocyte-to-monocyte ratio and platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio among Iranian population: Results of Tabari cohort. Caspian J Intern Med. 2019; 10(3): 320–325, doi: 10.22088/cjim.10.3.320, indexed in Pubmed: 31558995.
- Valiathan R, Ashman M, Asthana D, et al. Effects of Ageing on the Immune System: Infants to Elderly. Scand J Immunol. 2016; 83(4): 255–266, doi: 10.1111/sji.12413, indexed in Pubmed: 26808160.
- Bachrach LK, Gordon CM, Bachrach LK, et al. Bone Densitometry in Children and Adolescents. Pediatrics. 2016; 138(4), doi: 10.1542/peds.2016-2398, indexed in Pubmed: 27669735.
- Crabtree NJ, Arabi A, Bachrach LK, et al. International Society for Clinical Densitometry, International Society for Clinical Densitometry. Dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry interpretation and reporting in children and adolescents: the revised 2013 ISCD Pediatric Official Positions. J Clin Densitom. 2014; 17(2): 225–242, doi: 10.1016/j.jocd.2014.01.003, indexed in Pubmed: 24690232.
- Gordon CM, Leonard MB, Zemel BS, et al. International Society for Clinical Densitometry, International Society for Clinical Densitometry. 2013 Pediatric Position Development Conference: executive summary and reflections. J Clin Densitom. 2014; 17(2): 219–224, doi: 10.1016/j. jocd.2014.01.007, indexed in Pubmed: 24657108.
- Morawiecka-Pietrzak M, Ziora K, Ostrowska Z. Interpretation of bone densitometry and definition of osteoporosis in children and adolescents. Pediatr Pol. 2019; 94(4): 241–247, doi: 10.5114/polp.2019.88041.
- American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-5) 2013. Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-5.
- Palczewska I, Niedźwiedzka Z. Wskaźniki rozwoju somatycznego u dzieci i młodzieży warszawskiej. Med Wieku Rozw . 2001; 5 (Suppl. 1): 1–112.
- Misra M, Klibanski A. Anorexia nervosa and osteoporosis. Rev Endocr Metab Disord. 2006; 7(1-2): 91–99, doi: 10.1007/s11154-006-9005-1, indexed in Pubmed: 16972186.

- Mumford J, Kohn M, Briody J, et al. Long-term Outcomes of Adolescent Anorexia Nervosa on Bone. J Adolesc Health. 2019; 64(3): 305–310, doi: 10.1016/j.jadohealth.2018.07.025, indexed in Pubmed: 30819332.
- Misra M, Klibanski A. Anorexia nervosa and osteoporosis. Rev Endocrine Metab Dis. 2006; 7(1-2): 91–99, doi: 10.1007/s11154-006-9005-1.
- Ilesanmi-Oyelere BL, Schollum L, Kuhn-Sherlock B, et al. Inflammatory markers and bone health in postmenopausal women: a cross-sectional overview. Immun Ageing. 2019; 16: 15, doi: 10.1186/s12979-019-0155-x, indexed in Pubmed: 31333751.
- Lee SH, Ryu SY, Park J, et al. The Relationship of Neutrophil-Lymphocyte Ratio and Platelet-Lymphocyte Ratio with Bone Mineral Density in Korean Postmenopausal Women. Chonnam Med J. 2019; 55(3): 150–155, doi: 10.4068/cmj.2019.55.3.150, indexed in Pubmed: 31598472.
- Lacativa PG, Farias ML. Osteoporosis and inflammation. Arq Bras Endocrinol Metabol. 2010; 54(2): 123–132, doi: 10.1590/s0004-27302010000200007, indexed in Pubmed: 20485900.
- Misra M, Miller KK, Tsai P, et al. Uncoupling of cardiovascular risk markers in adolescent girls with anorexia nervosa. J Pediatr. 2006; 149(6): 763–769, doi: 10.1016/j.jpeds.2006.08.043, indexed in Pubmed: 17137889.
- Dalton B, Campbell IC, Chung R, et al. Inflammatory Markers in Anorexia Nervosa: An Exploratory Study. Nutrients. 2018; 10(11), doi: 10.3390/nu10111573, indexed in Pubmed: 30355978.
- Dalton B, Bartholdy S, Robinson L, et al. A meta-analysis of cytokine concentrations in eating disorders. J Psychiatr Res. 2018; 103: 252–264, doi: 10.1016/j.jpsychires.2018.06.002, indexed in Pubmed: 29906710.
- Solmi M, Veronese N, Favaro A, et al. Inflammatory cytokines and anorexia nervosa: A meta-analysis of cross-sectional and longitudinal studies. Psychoneuroendocrinology. 2015; 51: 237–252, doi: 10.1016/j. psyneuen.2014.09.031, indexed in Pubmed: 25462897.
- Terashima A, Takayanagi H. Overview of Osteoimmunology. Calcif Tissue Int. 2018; 102(5): 503–511, doi: 10.1007/s00223-018-0417-1, indexed in Pubmed: 29589061.
- 33. Poubelle PE, Chakravarti A, Fernandes MJ, et al. Differential expression of RANK, RANK-L, and osteoprotegerin by synovial fluid neutrophils from patients with rheumatoid arthritis and by healthy human blood neutrophils. Arthritis Res Ther. 2007; 9(2): R25, doi: 10.1186/ar2137, indexed in Pubmed: 17341304.
- 34. Fang H, Zhang H, Wang Z, et al. Systemic immune-inflammation index acts as a novel diagnostic biomarker for postmenopausal osteoporosis and could predict the risk of osteoporotic fracture. J Clin Lab Anal. 2020; 34(1): e23016, doi: 10.1002/jcla.23016, indexed in Pubmed: 31423643.
- Phan T, Brailovsky Y, Fareed J, et al. Neutrophil-to-Lymphocyte and Platelet-to-Lymphocyte Ratios Predict All-Cause Mortality in Acute Pulmonary Embolism. Clin Appl Thromb Hemost. 2020; 26:

1076029619900549, doi: 10.1177/1076029619900549, indexed in Pubmed: 31960711.

- Awan MS, Daud MY, Khan M, et al. Usefulness Of Neutrophils To Lymphocytes Ratio For Predicting Troponin-I Elevation In Patients Presenting With Suspected Nste-Acute Coronary Syndrome. J Ayub Med Coll Abbottabad. 2019; 31(Suppl 1)(4): S674–S677, indexed in Pubmed: 31965774.
- 37. Gary T, Pichler M, Belaj K, et al. Platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio: a novel marker for critical limb ischemia in peripheral arterial occlusive disease patients. PLoS One. 2013; 8(7): e67688, doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0067688, indexed in Pubmed: 23844064.
- Tamhane UU, Aneja S, Montgomery D, et al. Association between admission neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio and outcomes in patients with acute coronary syndrome. Am J Cardiol. 2008; 102(6): 653–657, doi: 10.1016/j. amjcard.2008.05.006, indexed in Pubmed: 18773982.
- Fisher A, Srikusalanukul W, Fisher L, et al. The Neutrophil to Lymphocyte Ratio on Admission and Short-Term Outcomes in Orthogeriatric Patients. Int J Med Sci. 2016; 13(8): 588–602, doi: 10.7150/ijms.15445, indexed in Pubmed: 27499691.
- Yu Xy, Li Xs, Li Y, et al. Neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio is associated with arterial stiffness in postmenopausal women with osteoporosis. Arch Gerontol Geriatr. 2015; 61(1): 76–80, doi: 10.1016/j.archger.2015.03.011, indexed in Pubmed: 25882272.
- Chakravarti A, Raquil MA, Tessier P, et al. Surface RANKL of Toll-like receptor 4-stimulated human neutrophils activates osteoclastic bone resorption. Blood. 2009; 114(8): 1633–1644, doi: 10.1182/blood-2008-09-178301, indexed in Pubmed: 19546479.
- Riegel A, Maurer T, Prior B, et al. Human polymorphonuclear neutrophils express RANK and are activated by its ligand, RANKL. Eur J Immunol. 2012; 42(4): 975–981, doi: 10.1002/eji.201141786, indexed in Pubmed: 22531921.
- Milos G, Spindler A, Rüegsegger P, et al. Cortical and trabecular bone density and structure in anorexia nervosa. Osteoporos Int. 2005; 16(7): 783–790, doi: 10.1007/s00198-004-1759-2, indexed in Pubmed: 15452690.
- Estrada A, Ramnitz MS, Gafni RI. Bone densitometry in children and adolescents. Curr Opin Obstet Gynecol. 2014; 26(5): 339–346, doi: 10.1097/GCO.00000000000100, indexed in Pubmed: 25144595.
- 45. Eroglu S, Karatas G, Aziz V, et al. Evaluation of bone mineral density and its associated factors in postpartum women. Taiwan J Obstet Gynecol. 2019; 58(6): 801–804, doi: 10.1016/j.tjog.2019.09.013, indexed in Pubmed: 31759531.
- Bachta A, Kulig M, Tłustochowicz W. Osteoporoza w przewlekłych zapaleniach stawów. Post Nauk Med. 2012; 3: 218–225.
- 47. Spieler W, Schottstedt K, Keitel W, et al. Comparison of bone mineral density and fracture rates in rheumatoid arthritis and psoriatic arthritis. Osteopor Int. 1996; 6(S1): 289–289, doi: 10.1007/bf02500692.