Dear Sir,
I have read with great interest the recent article by Chang et al. [1] published in “Resuscitation” regarding mild therapeutic hypothermia (MTH) and its outcomes in paediatric patients who survived out-of-hospital cardiac arrest (OHCA). The authors performed a cross-sectional observational study of OHCA patients.

In the study, MTH was defined as therapeutic hypothermia with a core temperature of 32–34°C after the return of spontaneous circulation (ROSC). MTH was achieved with different cooling methods, including external cooling (water or ice padding), internal cooling (gastric lavage, bladder cooling or intravascular cooling with the use of a catheter) or mixed approaches [2] (Fig. 1). The minimum duration of MTH was assumed to be 12 hours. Although such a procedure is in accordance with the global standards, the current European Resuscitation Council (ERC) guidelines have standardized the rules for applying hypothermia, which should be maintained at 32–36°C for at least 24 hours [2].

The methodology of the study may arouse several questions, the answers to which are crucial for the possibility of re-evaluating the study and may affect the proper interpretation of the results achieved by Chang et al. [1].

Firstly, how long was the induction of hypothermia to the target temperature of 32–34°C? As indicated by Wik et al. [3], patients may benefit from MTH started in the prehospital setting and continued upon hospitalization if the treatment is uninterrupted.

Secondly, in what manner and how quickly were the patients warmed up? As plasma electrolyte concentrations, the effective intravascular volume and the metabolic rate can change rapidly during rewarming, the ERC guidelines recommend warming the patient at the rate of ca. 0.25–0.5°C per hour [2, 4].

The next question concerns the temperature maintained in patients treated without MTH. One should bear in mind that maintaining a temperature of 36.5°C in patients after ROSC is already an interference with the body’s homeostasis and thus can influence the results.

Although, as Chang et al. [1] observed, the majority of patients presented non-shockable rhythms, this is related to the specificity of sudden cardiac arrest.
arrest in children. It should be noted, though, that survival to discharge, as well as good neurological recovery, were better in patients with MTH.

In summary, the use of MTH in paediatric patients after ROSC requires further testing. The results presented by Chang et al. evaluating the outcome in groups with and without MTH, although not statistically significant, suggest there is a benefit in using therapeutic hypothermia in such patients.
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