**Supplementary Digital Content**

**Figure S1.** Forest plot of 6-months mortality in levosimendan vs. dobutamine group. The center of each square represents the weighted odds ratio for individual trials, and the corresponding horizontal line stands for 95% confidence interval. The diamonds represent pooled results. Procedure time presented in seconds.
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**Figure S2.** Forest plot of length of stay in hospital in levosimendan vs. dobutamine group. The center of each square represents the weighted mean difference for individual trials, and the corresponding horizontal line stands for 95% confidence interval. The diamonds represent pooled results. Procedure time presented in seconds.
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**Table S1.** Characteristics of included studies

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Study** | **Country** | **Study design** | **Treatment** | **No. of patients** | **Age** | **Sex, male** | **BMI (kg/m2)** | **LVEF (%)** | **NYHA class IV** |
| Bergh et al. 2010 | Sweden | multinational, randomized, double-blind, phase IV study | Levosimendan | 29 | 70 ± 10 | 26 | 27.0 ± 5.4 | 21.2 ± 5.8 | 12 |
| Dobutamine | 31 | 71 ± 11 | 25 | 25.7 ± 4.0 | 21.8 ± 6.1 | 15 |
| Bonios et al. 2012 | Greece | randomized, open-labeled study | Levosimendan | 21 | 55 ± 12 | 20 | NS | 23 ± 7 | NS |
| Dobutamine | 21 | 53 ± 13 | 20 | NS | 21 ± 5 | NS |
| Duygu et al 2008 (a) | Turkey | Randomized study | Levosimendan | 20 | 62 ± 10 | 11 | NS | 28 ± 5 | 12 |
| Dobutamine | 20 | 64 ± 8 | 10 | NS | 30 ± 6 | 11 |
| Duygu et al. 2008 (b) | Turkey | Randomized study | Levosimendan | 30 | 64 ± 10 | 19 | NS | 27 ±7 | 12 |
| Dobutamine | 30 | 65 ± 8 | 16 | NS | 25 ± 7 | 9 |
| Fedele et al. 2011 | Italy | Observational study | Levosimendan | 147 | 66.06 ± 13 | 115 | NS | NS | NS |
| Dobutamine | 145 | 68.06 ± 11.06 | 114 | NS | NS | NS |
| Follath et al. 2002 | Multi-country | Multicentre, randomised, double-blind, doubledummy, parallel-group trial | Levosimendan | 103 | 58 ± 11 | 91 | NS | NS | NS |
| Dobutamine | 100 | 60 ± 11 | 85 | NS | NS | NS |
| Madeira et al. 2017 | Portugal | Retrospective study | Levosimendan | 77 | 64 ± 14 | 62 | NS | 27 ± 9 | NS |
| Dobutamine | 31 | 73 ± 16 | 18 | NS | 35 ± 12 | NS |
| Mebazza et al. 2007 | Multi-country | Randomized, double-blind trial | Levosimendan | 664 | 67 ± 12 | 493 | NS | 24 ± 5 | 572 |
| Dobutamine | 663 | 66 ± 12 | 463 | NS | 24 ± 5 | 562 |
| Nieminen et al. 2000 | Multi-country | A double-blind, placebo-controlled, randomized, multicenter, parallel-group study | Levosimendan | 95 | 63 ± 2.5 | 82 | NS | 26 ± 2 | 3 |
| Dobutamine | 20 | 63 ± 2 | 19 | NS | 24 ± 2 | 1 |
| Yontar et al. 2010 | Turkey | Randomized study | Levosimendan | 36 | 66.1 ± 10.5 | NS | 23.15 ± 8.3 | NS | NS |
| Dobutamine | 22 | 67 ± 6.9 | NS | 24.56 ± 7.5 | NS | NS |

Legend: BMI = Body Mass Index; NYHA = New York Heart Association functional class; LVEF = Left ventricular ejection fraction; NS = Not specified.

**Table S2**. Characteristics of adverse events in levosimendan vs. dobutamine group.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Study** | **No. of studies** | **Events in Levosimendan group** | **Events in Dobutamine group** | **OR (95%CI)** | **P value** | **I2 statistic** |
| Any adverse event | 3 | 588/792  (74.2%) | 565/791  (71.4%) | 1.17 (0.93, 1.47) | 0.18 | 0% |
| Any serious adverse event | 2 | 196/763  (25.7%) | 222/760  (29.2%) | 0.83 (0.66, 1.05) | 0.13 | 47% |
| Hypotension | 3 | 121/792  (15.3%) | 98/791  (12.4%) | 2.14 (0.76, 5.99) | 0.15 | 68% |
| Cardiac arrest | 2 | 21/763  (2.8%) | 27/760  (3.6%) | 0.77 (0.43, 1.38) | 0.38 | 0% |
| Cardiac failure | 2 | 84/689  (12.2%) | 116/691  (16.8%) | 0.69 (0.51, 0.93) | **0.02** | 0% |
| Congestive cardiac failure | 1 | 26/660  (3.9%) | 22/660  (3.3%) | 1.19 (0.67, 2.12) | 0.78 | NA |
| Cardiogenic shock | 1 | 15/660  (2.3%) | 23/660  (3.5%) | 0.64 (0.33, 1.25) | 0.19 | NA |
| Hypokalemia | 1 | 15/660  (2.3%) | 16/660  (2.4%) | 0.94 (0.46, 1.91) | 0.86 | NA |
| Atrial fibrillation | 2 | 62/763  (8.1%) | 41/760  (5.4%) | 1.56 (1.04, 2.35) | **0.03** | 0% |
| Ventricular tachycardia | 2 | 53/763  (6.9%) | 50/760  (6.6%) | 1.06 (0.71, 1.59) | 0.76 | 0% |
| Ventricular extrasystoles | 2 | 41/763  (5.4%) | 27/760  (3.6%) | 1.55 (0.94, 2.54) | 0.09 | 50% |
| Tachycardia | 1 | 33/660  (5.0%) | 33/660  (5.0%) | 1.00 (0.61, 1.64) | 1.0 | NA |
| Angina pectoris | 1 | 12/660  (1.8%) | 13/660  (2.0%) | 0.92 (0.42, 2.04) | 0.84 | NA |
| Bradycardia | 2 | 9/763  (1.2%) | 18/760  (2.4%) | 0.49 (0.22, 1.10) | 0.09 | 0% |
| SVT | 1 | 0/103  (0.0%) | 3/100  (3.0%) | 0.13 (0.01, 2.64) | 0.19 | NA |
| Chest pain | 1 | 32/660  (4.8%) | 47/660  (7.1%) | 0.66 (0.42, 1.06) | 0.08 | NA |
| Headache | 2 | 69/763  (9.0%) | 36/760  (4.7%) | 2.00 (1.32, 3.03) | **0.001** | 0% |
| Nausea | 2 | 49/689  (7.1%) | 49/691  (7.1%) | 1.00 (0.67, 1.51) | 0.99 | 63% |
| Insomnia | 2 | 41/689  (6.0%) | 32/681  (4.7%) | 1.26 (0.78, 2.03) | 0.34 | 0% |
| Diarrhea | 1 | 30/660  (4.5%) | 21/660  (3.2%) | 1.45 (0.82, 2.56) | 0.20 | NA |
| Vomiting | 1 | 22/660  (3.3%) | 24/660  (3.6%) | 0.91 (0.51, 1.65) | 0.76 | NA |
| Pulmonary edema | 1 | 20/660  (3.0%) | 18/660  (2.7%) | 1.11 (0.58, 2.13) | 0.74 | NA |
| Pneumonia | 1 | 30/660  (4.5%) | 24/660  (3.6%) | 1.26 (0.73, 2.18) | 0.41 | NA |
| Renal failure | 1 | 24/660  (3.6%) | 22/660  (3.3%) | 1.09 (0.61, 1.97) | 0.76 | NA |
| Urinary tract infection | 2 | 24/689  (3.5%) | 31/691  (4.5%) | 0.77 (0.45, 1.33) | 0.35 | 43% |
| Dizziness | 2 | 19/763  (2.5%) | 17/760  (2.2%) | 1.12 (0.58, 2.14) | 0.74 | 0% |
| Anemia | 1 | 15/660  (2.3%) | 17/660  (2.2%) | 0.88 (0.44, 1.78) | 0.72 | NA |
| Hyperkalemia | 1 | 15/660  (2.3%) | 16/660  (2.4%) | 0.94 (0.46, 1.91) | 0.86 | NA |
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