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Abstract

Cardiovascular circulation and kidney function are closely interrelated. The impairment of renal function is a well-known hazard of increased mortality and morbidity of patients with heart failure or coronary artery disease. Acute pulmonary embolism (APE) impacts pulmonary and systemic circulation, and can severely impair functions of other organs, including kidneys, as a result of hypoxemia and increased venous pressure.

Previous studies indicate that renal dysfunction predicts short- and long-term outcomes and can improve the risk assessment in APE. However, renal function should also be cautiously considered during the diagnostic workup because the contrast-induced nephropathy after computed tomography pulmonary angiography (CTPA) is noticed more frequently in APE. Chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension (CTEPH) is a rare but imminent complication of APE. This condition promotes renal impairment by increasing venous pressure and decreasing glomerular filtration. The renal function improvement and serum creatinine concentration reduction were noted in CTEPH subgroup with glomerular filtration rate ≤ 60 mL/min/1.73 m² after successful treatment.

In this review, we present the essential research results on the kidney function in thromboembolism disease.
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Introduction

Cardiovascular diseases are the most frequent causes of morbidity and mortality in the general population, and impaired renal function is a broadly known risk factor increasing mortality [1]. The association between kidney function and diseases of heart and vessels seems to be obvious. Approximately 4.5% of the general population has a glomerular filtration rate (GFR) < 60 mL/min [2], which puts them at risk.

To assess renal function, serum plasma creatinine and GFR are the most widely used. However, the direct measurement of GFR is usually avoided, and its estimations based on the modification of Diet in Renal Disease (MDRD) [3] and the Cockcroft-Gault (C–G) formula [4] are handful and accurate substitutes.

Acute pulmonary embolism (APE) does not only have an impact on pulmonary but also systemic circulation and can impair functions of other organs causing hypoxemia and increased venous pressure. It should be underlined that kidneys are susceptible to hypoxemia [5]. The previous studies indicate that renal dysfunction predicts short- and long-term outcomes and can improve the risk assessment in APE [25, 28, 33]. However, kidney function and its assessment weigh in various thromboembolic disease.

At diagnosis

In patients with high clinical probability and abnormal D-dimer levels, computed tomography pulmonary angiography (CTPA) is performed not only to confirm the diagnosis of APE but also to obtain information about possible right ventricle (RV) dysfunction [6]. Nevertheless, CTPA can trigger contrast-induced acute kidney injury (CI-AKI) [7], which is usually defined as an increase of creatinine level ≥ 0.5 mg/dL or >25% from baseline within 48 hours of contrast usage [8]. Some studies have suggested that APE patients are more vulnerable to, and more frequently experience, CI-AKI. First, APE is a condition leading to impairment of renal function. As a consequence of thrombi closure and vasoconstriction of the pulmonary arteries, pulmonary resistance rises, and subsequently the pressure in the RV also increases.
Hemodynamic destabilization of the RV effects a reduction in left ventricular load and, consequently, a reduction in stroke volume and systemic hypotension [9]. Because the RV is not adapted to sudden pressure overloads, its failure occurs, which results in congestion in the peripheral circulation. The increase of a central venous pressure leads to a stagnation of blood in central veins and subsequent passive hyperemia of liver and kidneys [10]. Elevated central venous pressure, hypoxemia and decreased cardiac output results in organ hypoperfusion [11] and can be a factor in renal dysfunction [12]. Coexisting hypoxemia is a result of pulmonary circulatory failure and leads to ischemic damage [13]. Kidneys are among the most sensitive organs to oxygen depletion supply [5]. Increased venous pressure causes a reduction of trans-glomerular pressure gradients and impairs kidney perfusion. This mechanism also activates the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system (RAAS) and the sympathetic nervous system leading to oxygenic stress and further renal function impairment.

Moreover, as a consequence of respiratory failure, a lower level of pH and bicarbonate is observed in arterial blood. This state promotes the production of reactive oxygen species, which aggravate already present ischemic damage. One of the suspected mechanisms of CI-AKI is also an ischemic injury of the renal medulla and production of reactive oxygen species, which damage tubules and endothelium [14]. We can conclude that all of APE’s pathophysiological consequences promote kidney dysfunction and the occurrence of CI-AKI.

Kooiman et al. [15], in a group of 237 patients with suspicion of pulmonary embolism, studied the frequency and risk factors of contrast-induced nephropathy (CIN) after CTPA. The prevalence of CIN was 8.9%. Independent predictors of impaired renal function after contrast administration were age over 75 years, diabetes mellitus, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug use, and multiple myeloma. Doganay et al. [16], in a retrospective study of 122 patients with confirmed APE, showed that the incidence of CI-AKI is more frequent in APE than in other conditions examined with contrast-enhanced computed tomography, 13% vs. 3–4%, respectively. The logistic regression analysis confirmed lower pH in arterial blood gas and older age as the risk factors of CI-AKI. Other remarkable hazards were chronic heart failure, higher pressure in pulmonary artery estimated in transthoracic echocardiography, administration of angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors (ACE-I) or angiotensin receptor blocker (ARB), and low HCO$_3^–$. Some of these factors, like age, chronic heart failure, and treatment with ACE-I or ARB, can be found in the risk score scale created by Mehran [17] for patients after a coronary intervention. However, additional hazards are more frequent in patients with APE, especially high and moderate-high risk class according to the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) [6].

Summarizing, there is a remarkable overlap of the risk factors between the CIN risk score [17] and
the APE risk score [18]. Consequently, Ho and Harahsheh [19] created the study comparing CIN risk score and pulmonary embolism severity index (PESI) in the prediction of renal insufficiency in critically ill patients suspected on APE. They included 137 intensive care unit (ICU) patients without end-stage renal failure. The study revealed that CIN risk score was significantly better than PESI in the prediction of CIN leading to dialysis (area under curve [AUC] 0.864, 95% confidence interval [CI] 0.795–0.916 vs. 0.731, 95% CI 0.649–0.804; p = 0.001, respectively). However, PESI had the advantage of better survival prognosis (AUC 0.794, 95% CI 0.716–0.858 vs. 0.625, 95% CI 0.538–0.706; p = 0.001). The authors suggested that in critically ill patients with suspicion of APE and high risk of CIN, other diagnostic methods should be considered instead of CTPA. Michell et al. [20] showed that after CTPA the frequency of CIN is higher than after other contrast procedures, at 14% vs. 10%, respectively.

Additionally, the prevalence of CIN was related to increased risk of poor outcome, such as severe renal failure and death (16% of patients with CIN after CTPA). The guidelines of the Contrast Medium Safety Committee 2018 [21] recommend hydration (saline or sodium bicarbonate) to prevent CI-AKI in patients at risk of this complication. In a randomized control trial, Turedi et al. [22] compared the prophylaxis of CIN after CTPA with N-acetylcysteine, sodium bicarbonate and saline. In the group of 231 patients, 15.2% (32/231) had CIN, but none of the prophylaxes was more effective. The multivariate logistic regression analysis indicated that only basal GFR and the presence of hypotension were independent predictors of CIN development. Kooiman et al. [23] compared a lack of hydration with hydration of 250 mL 1.4% sodium bicarbonate in the prophylaxis of CI-AKI after CTPA in 138 patients with chronic kidney disease (CKD) and suspicion of APE. They did not observe differences in the frequency of CI-AKI between groups, and suggested that not using pre-hydration would avoid a delay in performing CTPA and proper diagnosis. Another interesting study is the recent Kompas Randomized Clinical Trial [24]. In a group of 523 patients with stage 3 of CKD, who underwent contrast-enhanced computed tomography, no hydration was compared with sodium bicarbonate administration. The CI-AKI occurred in 11 (2.1%) patients. In the no pre-hydration group it was 7 of 262 (2.7%), and 4 of 261 (1.5%) in the pre-hydration group and the relative risk was 1.7 (95% CI 0.5–5.9; p = 0.36). The authors concluded that withholding hydration is safe and cost-effective.

During hospitalization and after discharge

The risk stratification of pulmonary embolism patients is crucial in the selection of medical management. As previously mentioned, APE impairs not only pulmonary circulation but also the
systemic circulation and function of many organs, including kidneys, the dysfunction of which may negatively influence the outcome.

In the ICOPER study, creatinine > 177 µmol/L predicted 3-month mortality [25]. The authors of the Hestia study indicated that the diagnosis of APE in patients with creatinine clearance < 30 mL/min (C–G) should be the premise for in-hospital treatment [26]. The studies conducted in our department revealed that renal dysfunction predicted short and long-term outcome and could be useful in improving the risk assessment in APE [27]. In a group of 2247 APE patients hospitalized in three European centers, GFR ≤ 60 calculated by MDRD was a risk factor of mortality during 30- and 180-day observation. Moreover, the inclusion of GFR ≤ 60 mL/min/1.73 m² enhanced the ESC risk stratification model, with a net reclassification index (NRI) of 0.42. The impaired kidney function, assessed as a drop in eGFR, was also linked with a higher occurrence of bleeding (odds ratio [OR] 0.90 per 10 mL/min/1.73m²; 95% CI 0.85–0.95; p = 0.0002). The analysis of the same group of patients showed no significant difference in mortality prediction between the two GFR estimation formulas: Cockcroft-Gault (C–G) vs. MDRD. The areas under the ROC curves for both the methods were similar [28].

The comparison of various methods of GFR estimation (C–G vs. CKD-EPI) was recently performed on data from the RIETE registry [29]. Among the 4676 patients with GFR ≤ 30 mL/min according to at least one of the formulas, such poor renal function was not confirmed in 40.7% by the other formula. However, patients with a diagnosis of severe renal impairment, regardless of the method used for GFR calculation, had a higher rate of major bleedings during anticoagulation treatment (approximately 10% vs. 4%). In the subgroups with low GFR the all-cause mortality rates were higher than in patients without severe renal failure.

In another single-center study eGFR ≤ 35 mL/min in normotensive APE patients was associated with higher risk of 30-day mortality and, when combined with the troponin level, also improved risk stratification [30]. Similarly, Altinsoy et al. [31] in a multivariate analysis demonstrated that GFR estimated by CKD-EPI or MDRD coexisting with elevated troponin concentration where independent predictors of adverse outcome in normotensive patients with APE. In this study, the GFR also correlated with RV dysfunction.

Serum creatine measurement is variable and reflects somewhat the functional changes of glomerular filtration roughly mirroring kidney injury [32]. New markers surpass serum creatinine in assessing renal filtration as well as glomerular or tubulointerstitial damage. Because of the early occurrence of novel renal markers, the diagnosis of kidney dysfunction might be suspected before
any change in creatinine concentration. Neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin (NGAL) [33] and cystatin C are examples of these compounds [34]. A study of APE patients showed that N-GAL plasma levels were significantly higher in non-survivors [35]. Furthermore, increased levels of N-GAL and cystatin C in patients with APE were associated with higher 30-day all-cause, pulmonary embolism-related, and 180-day mortality. The elevation of NGAL in a group with low risk of death due to APE had 100% negative predictive value for 30-day all-cause death. Plasma concentration of cystatin C was the most significant predictor of death in multivariable analysis. Even though novel biomarkers seem to be more precise than those based on serum creatinine GFR, they are rarely available and are not commonly used (Table 1).

**Long-term follow-up after an APE episode**

Chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension (CTEPH) is one of the subtypes of pulmonary hypertension (PH) [36]. The epidemiology of this disease is barely known. In a meta-analysis of 16 studies on CTEPH, its pooled incidence in unselected patients after APE during 2–3 years of follow-up was 0.56% [37]. Researchers observed that among survivors, the frequency of CTEPH was 3%. Clinical practice suggests that this morbidity rate may be more accurate. The CTEPH is considered to develop as a consequence of the impaired resolution of pulmonary thrombi, which subsequently become endothelialized. This leads to chronic obstruction of the pulmonary arteries, high pulmonary vascular resistance, increased pressure in the pulmonary circulation, and progressive right heart failure [12]. In patients with CTEPH, impairment of kidney function also plays an important role. Chronic elevation of central venous pressure secondary to RV dysfunction runs to high renal venous pressure [38] and a drop in effective filtration pressure [39]. That process activates neurohormonal ways, including RAAS, and pro-inflammatory pathways, which further decline the filtration fraction [40]. The activation of the RAAS also leads to oxidative kidney injury [41, 42]. Additionally, PH worsens the course of CKD [43]. This is associated with a state of elevated catecholamine levels, activation of RAAS, and progressive RV dysfunction [44, 45]. The above cascade deteriorates PH. Summarizing, the impaired renal function may be a consequence of PH but also might be a reason for PH exacerbation [46]. Nevertheless, PH is associated with higher mortality in patients with CKD [47].

CTEPH is a complication of pulmonary embolism, with abysmal prognosis if left untreated [48]. The first-choice treatment is the surgical removal of chronic thrombi from pulmonary arteries, i.e. endarterectomy (PEA) [49]. PEA is considered to be the optimal option but requires a
cardiopulmonary bypass with deep hypothermia and total circulatory arrest [50]. The qualification for the procedure should be made by a highly specialized team. The decision depends on the patient’s profile, comorbidities, and thrombi location [36]. The rate of operable vs. non-operable patients fluctuates around 40–60% [51]. Successful treatment improves the prognosis and quality of life, and results in a better renal function [52]. According to the registry of 679 patients with CTEPH for the whole cohort, PEA was the strongest independent predictor of survival (HR 0.37; 95% CI 0.24–0.58; p < 0.0001) [51]. The analysis of preoperative characteristics of operated patients revealed dialysis-dependent renal failure as one of the risk factors of death (HR 11.52; 95% CI 1.42–93.48; p = 0.0221). Other independent risks factors of mortality for both the operated and the not-operated group were age, New York Heart Association class, right atrial pressure, history of cancer, left heart failure, and dialysis-dependent renal failure. However, it should be noted that 12–31% patients after PEA have persistent or recurrent PH [53, 54].

Balloon pulmonary angioplasty (BPA) is a feasible PEA alternative for patients with high perioperative risk, distal location of the lesion, or persistent PH [36, 54]. Subsequent percutaneous dilatation of occlusions and opening of obstructed pulmonary arteries improve the hemodynamic status, reduce symptoms, and lead to the decrease of cardiomarker levels [55]. Appropriate and multiple BPA results in the reduction of the pulmonary vascular resistance (PVR) and mean pulmonary artery pressure (mPAP) [56, 57]. The optimal effect is usually achieved after 3-10 BPA sessions [48]. Every BPA session carries a considerable risk of contrast-induced nephropathy. However, Darocha et al. [58] described only two (0.8%) episodes of CIN following 250 BPA procedures in 41 patients, and no patient needed dialysis. Of interest, the renal function improvement and serum creatinine concentration reduction was noted in the CTEPH subgroup with GFR ≤ 60 mL/min/1.73 m² (12 patients, 29%) after BPA treatment. This was accompanied by a drop in mPAP, PVR, and N-terminal-pro-B-type natriuretic peptide and extension of the distance in the 6-minute walking test. The investigators noted that a relative increase of GFR, from the initiation of BPA therapy throughout the following 3–6 months after BPA, was correlated with relative changes of cardiac index, right atrial pressure, and mixed venous oxygen saturation. The study indicated that the incidence of CIN after BPA was a rare complication in comparison to percutaneous coronary intervention, despite the higher contrast volume. The rationale could be intravenous administration of contrast and better hemodynamic status of patients during BPA [59]. Higher frequency of CI-AKI was described by Kriechbaum et al. [60] in a group of 51 patients undergoing BPA. The AKI occurred after siz (2.3%) procedures in five (9.8%) various patients following 265 BPA sessions. In all cases, AKI was stage I and no
distinctive features for these patients from the rest of the study group were found. Analysis of the subgroup of patients with CKD revealed that renal function improved after BPA, which might be related to the improvement of the systemic circulation. Kimura et al. [61] observed an upturn of renal filtration in patients with initially impaired kidney function in a group of 46 patients treated with BPA. Nevertheless, the rise of GFR was not significant for the entire study group. A recent study by Isobe et al. [62] indicated that some hemodynamic parameters, such as an increased cardiac index and MVsat.O2 with a decrease of mPAP and PVR, were predictors of renal insufficiency improvement in patients undergoing BPA. In conclusion, renal function may be significantly improved after successful sessions of BPA due to a drop in venous pressure, lower venous congestion in kidneys, and higher cardiac output (Table 2).

Chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension is not the only type of PH affecting renal function. The coexistence of CKD and PH is obviously the most common in the most frequent type 2 PH, secondary to left heart disease [63]. The presence of PH in patients with renal insufficiency is associated with high all-cause mortality and frequency of cardiovascular events [64]. PH is a cause of the rapid progression of CKD [65]. Furthermore, CKD exaggerates PH [66].

Research on PH is developing quickly, increasing our understanding of its pathophysiology. Subsequent to this evolution, a recent paper by Simonneau [67] suggested a change of PH definition setting up the threshold of mPAP value at 20 mmHg instead of the previous 24 mmHg. According to this novel definition, to diagnose pre-capillary PH mPAP > 20 mmHg needs to be accompanied by pulmonary arterial wedge pressure ≤ 15 mmHg and PVR ≥ 3 Wood’s units.

Conclusions

To conclude, kidney function is crucial for the proper management of pulmonary thromboembolism. Renal insufficiency increases the risk of CIN during the diagnostic process. CIN is observed in approximately 13% of patients undergoing CTPA due to pulmonary embolism suspicion. Both CKD and acute renal injury at pulmonary embolism diagnosis are markers of worse short- and long-term prognosis. The addition of the criterion: GFR below 60 mL/min, to sPESI can potentially improve risk stratification in APE. The impaired renal function can improve significantly after successful treatment of CTEPH.
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Table 1. Overview of important studies on renal function impairment in APE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study or author’s name</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Patients group</th>
<th>Main results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ICOPER study [25]</td>
<td>1999</td>
<td>2454</td>
<td>Creatinine &gt; 177 µmol/L predicts 3-month mortality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kostrubiec el al. [30]</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>eGFR ≤ 35 mL/min in normotensive acute pulmonary embolism patients increases the risk of 30-day mortality eGFR combined with troponin level — improves the risk stratification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kostriubiec et al. [35]</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>N-GAL plasma level was significantly higher in non-survivors N-GAL and cystatin C increase level-increase a 30-day all-cause and pulmonary embolism-related, and 180-day mortality Cystatin C — most significant predictor of death in multivariable analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hestia study [26]</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>496</td>
<td>Acute pulmonary embolism patients with eGFR &lt; 30 mL/min (C-G) as an indicator of in-hospital treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Altunsoy et al. [31]</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>GFR (CKD-EPI or MDRD) with elevated troponin is an independent predictor of adverse outcome in normotensive acute pulmonary embolism GFR correlated with right ventricle dysfunction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Authors</td>
<td>Year</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Summary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho K, Harahsheh Y.</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>CIN risk score is significantly better than PESI in the prediction of CIN leading to dialysis. PESI has the advantage of better survival prognosis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kostrubiec et al.</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>2247</td>
<td>GFR ≤ 60 (MDRD) is a risk factor of 30- and 180-day mortality. GFR ≤ 60 mL/min/1.73 m² enhanced the European Society of Cardiology risk stratification. Lower eGFR is associated with higher occurrence of bleeding.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catella et al. [29] RIETE study sub-analysis</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>4676</td>
<td>Severe renal impairment is associated with higher rate of major bleedings during anticoagulation. Low GFR subgroups with higher all-cause mortality.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CIN — contrast-induced nephropathy; CKD-EPI — Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration; eGFR — estimated glomerular filtration rate; MDRD — modification of diet in renal disease; N-GAL — urinary neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin; PESI — pulmonary embolism severity index.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study or author’s name</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Patient group</th>
<th>Main results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Delcroix et al. (International Prospective Registry) [51]</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>679 patients with CTEPH</td>
<td>Dialysis-dependent renal — risk factors of death</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Darocha et al. [58]</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>250 BPA in 41 patients</td>
<td>Low rate of CIN (0.8%) Renal function improvement and creatinine reduction after BPA treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kriechbaum et al. [60]</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>265 BPA in 51 patients</td>
<td>CI-AKI occurred after 6 (2.3%) BPA no distinctive Patients with CKD — renal function improved after BPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kimura et al. [61]</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>46 patients treated by BPA</td>
<td>Upturn of renal filtration in patients with initially impaired kidney function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isobe et al. [62]</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>45 patients</td>
<td>Increased of cardiac index and MVsat.O2 with a decrease of mPAP and PVR-predictors of renal insufficiency improvement after BPA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2.** Overview of important studies on renal function impairment in chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension (CTEPH)

BPA — balloon pulmonary angioplasty; CI-AKI — contrast-induced acute kidney injury; CIN — contrast-induced nephropathy; CKD — chronic kidney disease; mPAP — mean pulmonary artery pressure; PVR — pulmonary vascular resistance