

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Cardiology Journal 2018, Vol. 25, No. 5, 628–638 DOI: 10.5603/CJ.a2017.0143 Copyright © 2018 Via Medica ISSN 1897–5593

# Variant rs2200733 and rs10033464 on chromosome 4q25 are associated with increased risk of atrial fibrillation after catheter ablation: Evidence from a meta-analysis

Jiake He<sup>1, 2</sup>, Wengen Zhu<sup>1</sup>, Yang Yu<sup>2</sup>, Jinzhu Hu<sup>1</sup>, Kui Hong<sup>1, 3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, The Second Affiliated Hospital of Nanchang University, Nanchang, Jiangxi, China

<sup>2</sup>Department of Pharmacy, The Second Affiliated Hospital of Nanchang University, Nanchang, Jiangxi, China

<sup>3</sup>Jiangxi Key Laboratory of Molecular Medicine, The Second Affiliated

Hospital of Nanchang University, Nanchang, Jiangxi, China

## Abstract

**Background:** Common genetic polymorphisms at chromosome 4q25 were associated with increased susceptibility to atrial fibrillation (AF). However, it remained controversial whether these variants could be used as risk predictors for AF recurrence after catheter ablation. We therefore performed a metaanalysis to quantify the association between rs2200733 C>T/rs10033464 G>T and AF recurrence. **Methods:** Relevant studies were systematically retrieved from PubMed, Web of Science, Elsevier database and Cochrane library through November 2016. Data were abstracted and pooled using Stata 12.0 software.

**Results:** A total of 2,145 patients undergoing catheter ablation were included. Patients with rs2200733 TT or TT+CT showed an overall increased susceptibility to AF recurrence (homozygous model [TT vs. CC]: odds ratio [OR] = 2.03, 95% confidence interval [CI] 1.49–2.76, p = 0.000; dominant model [TT+TC vs. CC]: OR = 1.48, 95% CI 1.17–1.87, p = 0.001; recessive model [TT vs. TC+CC]: OR = 1.88, 95% CI 1.12–3.15, p = 0.017). Subgroup analysis also identified a positive relation in Caucasians and late recurrence of AF in allelic, homozygous and dominant comparison. Moreover, a significant increased risk of AF recurrence was observed in patients with rs10033464 TG or TT+TG (heterozygous model [TG vs. GG]: OR = 1.46, 95% CI 1.01–2.12, p = 0.047; dominant model [TT+TG vs. GG]: OR = 1.51, 95% CI 1.04–2.17, p = 0.029).

**Conclusions:** After catheter ablation, rs2200733 (TT or TT+TC) and rs10033464 (TT+TG or TG) were associated with increased risk of AF recurrence. (Cardiol J 2018; 25, 5: 628–638) **Key words: atrial fibrillation, catheter ablation, rs2200733, rs10033464, meta-analysis** 

## Introduction

Atrial fibrillation (AF) is one of the most prevalent forms of cardiac dysrhythmia in clinical practice, which affects 33.5 million individuals globally [1]. Several factors already have been identified to increase the susceptibility to AF, such as smoking, sex, and obesity [2–4]. Interestingly, genetic predisposition has been added into the long list of risk factors for AF. Gudbjartsson et al. [5] found common genetic polymorphisms at chromosome 4q25 (*PITX2*) locus, including rs2200733 C>T

Address for correspondence: Prof. Dr. Kui Hong, Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, Key Laboratory of Molecular Medicine of Jiangxi, The Second Affiliated Hospital of Nanchang University, Minde Road No. 1, Nanchang, Jiangxi, tel: +86-791-86312917, e-mail: hongkui88@163.com

Received: 02.04.2017 Accepted: 01.11.2017

(at-risk allele T) and rs10033464 G>T (at-risk allele T), were associated with increased susceptibility to AF [5]. The association between rs2200733 and AF was further replicated in Indian [6], Spanish [7], Danish [8], Italian [9] and Polish [10] as well as Chinese populations [11]. Three different genotypes, CC, CT and TT were identified in rs2200733 with minor allele frequency 0.21 to 0.39 across different ethnic groups [12, 13]. The prevalence of rs10033464 G>T was reported from 19% to 32% predisposed to AF recurrence after ablation [14, 15]. Several genetic models, including allelic (T vs. C for rs2200733, T vs. G for rs10033464), heterozygous (TC vs. CC for rs2200733, TG vs. GG for rs10033464), homozygous (TT vs. CC for rs2200733. TT vs. GG for rs10033464). dominant (TT+TC vs. CC for rs2200733, TT+TG vs. GG for rs10033464), recessive (TT vs. TC+CC for rs2200733, TT vs. TG+GG for rs10033464) models were applied to quantify the association between rs2200733/rs10033464 and AF recurrence after ablation [10, 15, 16].

However, the relationship between two common polymorphisms (rs2200733 C>T and rs10033464 G>T) at chromosome 4025 locus and AF recurrence after catheter ablation remained controversial. Husser et al. [15] provided evidence that the presence of any variant allele (rs2200733 T or rs10033464 T) increased the risk of AF recurrence within the first 7 days and between 3 and 6 months in Caucasian patients of German descent. And both variants independently predicted AF recurrence when evaluated by dominant models in multivariable analysis [15]. In Chinese Han population, single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) rs2200733 was an independent factor for AF recurrence after ablation, and the risk allele T was associated with AF recurrence [17]. Zhao et al. [16] also reported rs2200733 TT was able to predict a 1.8-fold increased risk for clinical recurrence after catheter ablation. On the contrary, Kiliszek et al. [18] found that rs2200733 C>T or rs10033464 G>T failed to correlate with AF recurrence after a single AF ablation in long-term follow-up (median of 45 months). In Korean patients, rs2200733 T allele was strongly associated with AF, but this SNP again failed to predict clinical recurrence after catheter ablation [12]. Therefore, the present study performed a meta-analysis to investigate the effect of common non-coding variants on chromosome 4q25 (rs2200733 C>T and rs10033464 G > T) on the risk of AF recurrence after catheter ablation.

## Methods

## Literature search

A systematic search of PubMed, Web of Science, Elsevier database and Cochrane library was undertaken for studies through November 2016. The search terms included all possible combinations "rs2200733", "rs10033464", "SNP", "polymorphism or variant", "atrial fibrillation", "AF", "4q25 (*PITX2*)", "ablation", "outcome", and "AF recurrence after ablation". Manual searches of study references were also conducted. Moreover, several related articles from reviews and other pertinent sources such as research bibliographies were inspected as well.

## Inclusion and exclusion criteria

An initial screening for titles and abstracts was performed. A second screening was based on full-text review. The following criteria were used to evaluate whether a study was eligible:

- a) The association between rs2200733/rs10033 464 and AF recurrence after ablation must be assessable.
- b) The genotype data of rs2200733 and rs10033464 must be provided.
- c) The study has a cohort design or has a case-control.
- d) The research should report an estimate of risk for AF recurrence after ablation with a corresponding 95% confidence interval (CI).
- e) If the same population was studied in more than one study, study with larger sample size and more comprehensive outcome were included.
- f) AF recurrence was defined according to the Heart Rhythm Society/European Heart Rhythm Association/European Cardiac Arrhythmia Society Consensus Statement recommendations. Late recurrence of AF (LRAF) was defined as any episode of atrial tachyarrhythmia, including atrial tachycardia, atrial flutter, or AF (AT/AF) lasting more than 30 s that occurred after a 3-month post-ablation blanking period. Early recurrence of AF (ERAF) was defined as an AF episode within 3 months post-ablation blanking period. Studies were excluded if they met the follow-

ing criteria:

- a) It is a review, letter, conference abstracts, and/ /or case report.
- b) The study lacked information on the risk of AF recurrence after ablation.
- c) The study did not provide sufficient data.

#### Data extraction and quality assessment

An outcome of interest in this study was the capability of rs2200733 and rs10033464 to predict the risk of AF recurrence after catheter ablation. After careful review, data was extracted using a standardized data-collection form for each eligible article: first author's name, publication year, ethnicity/nationality, patient number, patient characteristics. Clinical end point, quality assessment, comorbid conditions, anatomic factors, ablation strategies, methods of AF recurrence ascertainment, and adjunctive post-operation therapy were also considered. The quality of each study was evaluated according to the Newcastle-Ottawa quality assessment scale (NOS) [19].

#### Statistical analyses

All statistical analyses were performed with Stata 12.0 software (StataCorp, College Station, TX, USA). Odds ratio (OR) was used as a common measure of the association between rs2200733 C>T/rs10033464 G>T and AF recurrence. Pooled ORs were performed for allelic comparison (T vs. C for rs2200733, T vs. G for rs10033464), heterozygote model (TC vs. CC for rs2200733, TG vs. GG for rs10033464), homozygote model (TT vs. CC for rs2200733, TT vs. GG for rs10033464), dominant model (TT+CT vs. CC for rs2200733, TT+GT vs. GG for rs10033464), recessive model (TT vs. TC+CC for rs2200733, TT vs. TG+GG for rs10033464), respectively. Cochran's Q test and I<sup>2</sup> statistic were used to evaluate the consistency across studies. Fixed effect model was used when p < 0.10 and  $I^2 < 50\%$ ; otherwise random effect model was used. Sensitivity analysis was performed through omitting individual study one-by-one to investigate the influence of single study on the overall risk estimates. Subgroup analysis was also performed where appropriate. Potential publication bias was checked by Begg's funnel plots and Egger's regression test. An asymmetric plot and p value of the Egger test less than 0.05 was considered a significant publication bias. Unless otherwise stated, p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

## Results

#### **Study characteristics**

A total of 32 records were identified through the above mentioned literature search strategy. After screening titles and abstracts in the first round, 12 articles were excluded. Following this, the remaining 20 articles, 7 articles were excluded that did not involve AF recurrence, rs2200733 or rs10033464. After reviewing full texts and data, 8 articles were excluded (5 articles without sufficient information on risk estimate, and 3 articles without ablation). Finally, 5 articles were eligible for inclusion in this study. Husser et al. [15] reported ORs for both ERAF and LRAF; each OR was included in this analysis. A total of 2,145 patients who underwent catheter ablation were included. The characteristics of the included studies were presented in Table 1 and Table 2. The NOS scores of included studies were all more than 7 scores (high quality).

In general, AF ablation strategy was comparable among included studies. A 3-dimensional mapping system was used for catheter orientation, computed tomographic image integration, and tagging of the ablation sites (NavX /NavX-Ensite system [12, 15]: or CARTO system [16, 17]; or LocaLisa system [18]). Transseptal access was performed. And an irrigatedtip ablation catheter was used in 4 studies [12, 15–17]. A non-irrigated catheter was used in 1 study [18] under the consideration of non-significant difference in AF recurrence between patients treated with irrigated and non-irrigated catheters [20]. Circumferential pulmonary vein isolation with bidirectional block was a major ablation endpoint at all centers. Additional ablation to the left atrial (LA) roof, basal posterior wall, posterior inferior wall, and anterior wall, mitral isthmus, cavotricuspid isthmus, superior vena cava, non-pulmonary vein (PV) foci, complex fractionated atrial electrograms were performed based on operator discretion.

## Genotypic association between rs2200733 C>T and AF recurrence

In the primary analysis, the associations between rs2200733 C>T and AF recurrence after ablation were analyzed under five genetic models (Table 3). Fixed-effects model was used in the heterozygous, homozygous and dominant model. Random-effects model was used in the allelic and recessive model as a result of heterogeneity. Overall, significant positive relation was observed in four genetic models (T vs. C: OR = 1.50, 95% CI 1.18–1.90, p = 0.001; TT vs. CC: OR = 2.03, 95% CI 1.49-2.76, p = 0.000; TT+CT vs. CC: OR = 1.48, 95% CI 1.17–1.87, p = 0.001; TT vs. TC+CC: OR = 1.88, 95% CI 1.12–3.15, p = 0.017; Fig. 1). No significant association was found in heterozygous comparison (TC vs. CC: OR = 1.24, 95% CI 0.96-1.59, p = 0.096), but a trend of increased susceptibility still existed.

Subgroup analysis was conducted according to ethnicity and time to AF recurrence (Table 3). In Caucasians, a significant association was

<b>Table 1.</b> Ché	aracteris	tics of inclu	uded studie	Ś.												
Study ID	Year	Country	Ethnicity	Age	Male (%)	Paroxysmal AF	Persistent AF	Lone AF	recuri	AF ence	r (+)	ecurre	F ence (-	P for HWE	End- point	Quality
rs2200733 C	∵								ပ္ပ	ст	E	ບ ບ	F			
Chen	2016	China	Asian	59 ± 11	74%	57%	43%	41%	31	26	19	73 5	2 16	0.373	LRAF	œ
Husser	2010	Germany	Caucasian	56 ± 12	73%	78%	22%	83%	18	18	4	90 4	9 16	0.079	LRAF	7
Kiliszek	2016	Poland	Caucasian	55 (47–61)	67%	NR	15%	32%	42	34	19	68 6	4 10	0.621	LRAF	œ
Husser	2010	Germany	Caucasian	56 ± 12	73%	78%	22%	83%	34	30	6	74 3	7 11	0.162	ERAF	7
Choi	2015	Korea	Asian	58 ± 11	75%	68%	NR	NR	21	126	155	63 3.	21 37	2 0.866	ERAF	8
Zhao	2017	China	Asian	58 ± 12	39%	67%	33%	NR	18	78	20	33 1:	23 66	0.14	LRAF	8
rs10033464	G>T								ЭG	GТ	Ĕ	96	Ē			
Kiliszek	2016	Poland	Caucasian	55 (47–61)	67%	NR	15%	32%	72	21	2	03 3	2 1	0.678	LRAF	œ
Husser	2010	Germany	Caucasian	56 ± 12	73%	78%	22%	83%	44	28	-	88	3 1	0.538	ERAF	7
Husser	2010	Germany	Caucasian	56 ± 12	73%	78%	22%	83%	21	18	-	11 4	3	0.341	LRAF	7
Study ID	Year	<b>Comorbid</b> <b>conditions</b>	Anat fact	omic ors		Ablation strat	tegies		efiniti recu	on of	AF	as	<u>Metho</u> certai	ds of nment	Adjunction	ive post- n therapy
Chen	2016	Hypertensior 84 (38.7%) T2DM: 26 (13.6%)	1: 38.90 ± 1 56.96 ± 1 ∠ ∧	CD EF EF 4.78% ° ∴4.78% ° 	Electrophy mapping arheters we ght ventricl into the L/ blation catl blation catl blation catl n patient eAF: If AF ( ere perforr ere perforr isthmus line sthmus line isthr	siological study a were conducted are placed at the le apex. Two she A under fluorosco heter and a circu vere placed in LA FCA: irrigated-ti FCA: irrigated-ti -40 W; temperatu- s with PAF: CPVI continued after C continued after C med sequentially theritorior vena ca mus line, and CF/ moloint: AF term bidirectional con	and 3-dimension . Two multipolar coronary sinus a aths were delive appic guidance. A mferential mapp through the two through the two catheter; energ catheter; energ re $\leq 43^{\circ}$ C. . In patients with PVI, linear ablati PVI, linear ablati PVI, linear ablati and vatricuspid ann AE ablation, establisi duction block an	trial and the contract of the	Any er n-sinus rhythmi atrial flu atrial flu asting g s that o s that o on blanl	a sisode of a strial to a single of a single of a single of the shared the second of the post of the second of t	of ding AF han abla- iod.	Whe ence sister dia f, 24-h was p the c,	n patier a symp bllowing Jllowing ause of symptu symptu	its experi- toms con- tachycar- a ablation, nonitoring d to define the clinical oms.	a A A a A b	arin: onths AD: eAF eAF
					101100			j								↑

Adjunctive positoperation therap	Warfarin: 3 months AAD: 8 weeks in PeAF	
Methods of ascertainment	When patients experi- enced symptoms con- sistent with a tachycar- dia following ablation, 24-h Holter monitoring was performed to define the cause of the clinical symptoms.	
Definition of AF recurrence	Any episode of non-sinus atrial tachy- arrhythmia, including atrial flutter, or AF lasting greater than 30 s that occurred after the 3-month post-abla- tion blanking period.	
Ablation strategies	Electrophysiological study and 3-dimensional mapping were conducted. Two multipolar catheters were placed at the coronary sinus and right ventricle apex. Two sheaths were delivered into the LA under fluoroscopic guidance. An ablation catheter and a circumferential mapping catheter were placed in LA through the two sheaths. RFCA: irrigated-tip catheter; energy 30-40 W; temperature ≤ 43°C. In patients with PAF: CPVI; In patients with PeAF: If AF continued after CPVI, linear ablations were performed sequentially: LA roof line, mitral isthmus line, inferior vena cava tricuspid annual isthmus line, inferior vena cava tricuspid annual isthmus line, or disappearance of CFAE.	
Anatomic factors	LAD 38.90 ± 5.18 mm LVEF 56.96 ± 4.78%	
Comorbid conditions	Hypertension: 84 (38.7%) T2DM: 26 (13.6%)	
Year	2016	
tudy ID	let	

Adjunctive post- operation therapy	Class I and III AAD: discontinued Oral anticoagulation: 6 months Proton pump inhibitors: 4 weeks.	AAD: discontinued immediately in PAF; discontinued 1 year after ablation in PeAF without AF recurrence. VKA: continued for 3 months (CHADS 0), 1 year (CHADS 1), or indefinitely (CHADS 2).	ц
Methods of ascertainment	7-day Holter recordings were performed im- mediately and at 3 and 6 months after ablation. Additional electrocar- diograms and Holter re- cordings were obtained when symptoms were suggestive of AF.	ECG Holter monitoring (1 day every 2 months was recommended during the first year). Further monitoring was performed at the discre- tion of the outpatient cardiologist.	A 24- or 48-h Holter recording and/or event recorder was obtained at 3, 6 months as well as every 6 months af- terward. Whenever re- ported symptoms of pal- ported symptoms of pal- pitations, Holter monitor or event monitor record- ings were obtained and evaluated.
Definition of AF recurrence	A documented AF episode lasting longer than 30 s. Early recurrence: an AF episode during the first week after the ablation. Late recurrence: any AF episode between 3 and 6 months after the ablation.	Any atrial tachycardia lasting more than 30 s after a 3-month blanking period.	Any episode of AF or atrial tachycardia of at least 30 s. Early recurrence: a documented AF ECG within 3 months after ablation. Late recurrence in any documented recurrence of AF after 3 months or the initiation of an anti- arrhythmic medication for AF.
Ablation strategies	The NavX-Ensite system was used for non- fluoroscopic 3-dimensional catheter orientation, computed tomographic image integration, and tagging of the ablation sites. Transseptal access and catheter navigation were performed with a steerable sheath. AF patients were electrically cardioverted at the beginning, and ablation was performed during sinus rhythm. RFCA: irrigated- tip catheter, energy 30–50 W; temperature 48°C. In all patients, circumferential LA ablation lines were placed around the antrum of the ipsilateral PV; In patients with PeAF, additional linear lesions were added: LA roof, basal posterior wall, LA isthmus. CFAE ablation was not performed. Ablation endpoint: isolation of all PVs with bidirectional block.	One quadripolar catheter was placed in the coronary sinus and one in the right ventricle. The LA was accessed through one transseptal puncture (or patent foramen ovale), and a 10-pole circumferential 15-25 mm-Lasso or Optima catheters were used. The placement of the catheters in the heart was based on fluoroscopy and the electroanatomical LocaLisa system. RFCA: non-irrigated tip catheter; energy ≤ 35 W; temperature ≤ 55°C. Ablation endpoint: isolation PV potentials in all PVs. No additional lines or applications in the LA were performed. Cardioversion was performed to verify isolation during sinus rhythm if the patient was on AF. After PVI, in patients with PAF on sinus rhythm isolation of vena cava superior potentials was performed.	Ablation was guided by 3-dimensional electroanatomical mapping (NavX system). RFCA: irrigation tip catheter; energy 30–35 W; temperature 47°C All patients initially underwent CPVI and cavotri- cuspid isthmus ablation. For patients with PAF, a roof line, posterior inferior line, and anterior line were used. Additional ablations were deliv- ered to the superior vena cava, non-PV foci, or complex fractionated electrograms regions. Ablation endpoint: no immediate recurrence of AF after cardioversion while receiving an iso- proterenol infusion (5-10 µg/min). If there was immediate AF recurrence after cardioversion, non-PV foci was ablated.
Anatomic factors	LAD: 43 ± 7 mm LVEF: 61 ± 8%	LAD: 41.3 ± 5 mm	LAD: 41.6 $\pm$ 6.5 mm LVEF: 63.1 $\pm$ 9.0% LVEDD: 49.3 $\pm$ 6.6 mm LVESD: 33.2 $\pm$ 5.8 mm LVMI: 75.3 $\pm$ 41.2 g/m <sup>2</sup> LA volume index: 35.0 $\pm$ 12.3 E/Em: 9.7 $\pm$ 5.1
Comorbid conditions	Ř	Hypertension: 139 (58.4%) DM: 18 (7.6%) HF: 3 (1.3 %) CAD: 24 (10.1%) Hyperlipidemia: 51 (21.4%)	Hypertension: 510 (47.8%) DM: 139 (13.0%) Congestive HF: 70 (6.6%) Stroke: 106 (9.9%) CAD: 136 (12.7%) CAD: 136 (12.7%) CADS2 score: 0.95 $\pm$ 1.09
Year	2010	2016	2015
Study ID	Husser	Kiliszek	Choi.

Table 2 (cont.). Clinical characteristics of included studies.

1

Fable 2 (coi	nt.). Cl	linical charac	teristi	cs of inclu	ded studie:										
Study ID	Year	Comorbic condition	n a	Anatomic factors		Abla	ation st	trategies	ă	efinition of AF recurrence	Metho ascertai	ds of nment	Adjunct operatio	ive post- n therapy	
Zhao	2017	Ř	4 4	LAD: LAD: LVEF: 33.42 ± 6.83° LVEDD: .87 ± 18.92 r	All patier Mall patier Marhyth arrhyth arrhyth arrhyth Allaric CFAE & Electric Electric Convers vas cc convers vas cc PAF,	RFCA: i lergy 30- trs initially ing syste mias after mias after al or drug al or drug in edpoi in edpoi in endpoi in	35 W; te 35 W; te 7 underv m. In pa r. PVI, lir avotricu avotricu u schyti by bidirt by bidirt b	tip catheter; emperature 43°C. eent CPVI under CA titients still with atri near ablation (LA rr espid extrimus, etc.) pended, respectiv rersion was attemi erron was attemi erron at och eved erronal block and oterenol infusion.	Any e atrist atr	pisode of nonsinus I tachyarrhythmia g greater than 30 s occurred after the onth post-ablation lanking period.	Serial 7-day I recordings formed imme at 1, 3, 6, 12, months afte Additional E Additional E symptom suggestiv	Holter ECG were per- diately and 24, 36, 48 r ablation. CGs were CGs were I when is were e of AF.	Warf dabigatre 3 mc AAD (am 3 mc	arin or an: at least onths. iodarone): onths.	
Data are shown viccumferential r VEF — lett ven atrial fibrillation; atrial <b>6</b> 3. Gel	as mear pulmonar itricular e ; PV — p <b>notypi</b>	n ± standard devi ry vein isolation; I jection fraction; I ulmonary vein; P ulmonary vein; C associatior	ur i DM dic LVESD - WI pul	1 (%). AAD — betes mellitus left ventricula monary vein i een rs220	antiarrhythmic s; ECG — electri ar end-systolic solation; RFCA solation; CA 0733 C>T	a drugs; A ocardiogr dimensio - radiofr and atr	F — atria am; HF - n; LVMI - equency ial fibr	I fibrillation; CAD – – heart failure; LA – – left ventricular m catheter ablation; cathaton recurre	- coronary art – left atrial; LJ ass index; NF T2DM — type T2DM — type	ery disease; CFAE — AD — left atrial diamete t — not reported; PAF 2 diabetes mellitus; V catheter ablatior	omplex fractio r; LVEDD — lef — paroxysmal KA — vitamin ŀ ſ.	nated atrial ele t ventricular en atrial fibrillatio < antagonists <	əctrograms nd-diastolic ın; PeAF — ın;	; CPVI — dimension; persistent	
Genetic model	z	Allelic m (T vs.	nodel C)		Heterozygoi (TC vs.	us mode CC)	-	Homozygou (TT vs.	ls model CC)	Dominan (TT+TC)	t model /s. CC)	Rec (TT	essive m rvs. TC+	odel CC)	
		OR	2	۵.	OR	2	⊾	OR	l²	OR	l² P	OR		l² P	
OVERALL	6 1.	.50 (1.18–1.90)	63.8%	0.001 1.24	4 (0.96–1.59)	0.0%	0.096	2.03 (1.49–2.76)	35.2% 0.00	0 1.48 (1.17–1.87)	0.0% 0.001	1.12 (1.12)	-3.15) 7	8.1% 0.017	
Subgroup an Ethnicity:	alysis														
Asian	ю 1	.55 (1.00–2.41)	85.3%	0.051 1.17	7 (0.83–1.66)	0.0%	0.363	2.00 (1.39–2.88)	67.4% 0.00	0 1.50 (1.08–2.07)	0.0% 0.014	t 1.97 (0.93	-4.20) 8	9.5% 0.077	
Caucasian	ы. 1	.46 (1.13–1.89)	0.0%	0.004 1.31	1 (0.91–1.89)	47.1%	0.141	2.11 (1.21–3.68)	0.0% 0.00	8 1.46 (1.04–2.05)	0.0% 0.029	1.79 (0.87	-3.70) 4:	2.1% 0.116	
AF recurrenc	:e:														
LRAF	4 1.	.74 (1.44–2.10)	1.9%	0.000 1.15	5 (0.84–1.58)	0.0%	0.382	2.80 (1.88-4.18)	0.0% 0.00	0 1.51 (1.13–2.03)	0.0% 0.006	3 2.665 (1.86	3-3.79) 14	4.0% 0.000	
ERAF	2 1.	.22 (0.89–1.67)	45.1%	0.222 1.39	9 (0.92–2.10)	0.0%	0.116	1.35 (0.85–2.15)	0.0% 0.20	9 1.43 (0.97–2.10)	0.0% 0.074	t 1.11 (0.86	-1.44) 0	0.0% 0.423	
Publication b	bias														

AF — atrial fibrillation; ERAF — early recurrence of AF within 3-month post-ablation blanking period; LRAF — late recurrence of AF after 3-month post-ablation blanking period; OR — odds ratio

0.707 0.471

0.133 0.076

0.707 0.732

0.707 0.151

1.000 0.395

Begg's test Egger's test

Study ID	OR (95% Cl)	Events Treatment	Events Control	% Weight
LRAF				
Chen F (2016)	1.56 (0.89–2.74)	45/76	68/141	16.54
Husser D (2010)	- 1.69 (0.84-3.41)	22/40	65/155	10.22
Kiliszek M (2016)	1.16 (0.69–1.95)	53/95	74/142	22.33
Zhao LQ (2017)	— 1.92 (1.05–3.53)	198/216	189/222	13.23
Subtotal ( $l^2 = 0.0\%$ , p = 0.637)	1.51 (1.13–2.03)	318/427	396/660	62.32
ERAF Husser D (2010) Choi EK (2015) Subtotal (l <sup>2</sup> = 0.0%, p = 0.346) Overall (l <sup>2</sup> = 0.0%, p = 0.756)	1.77 (0.98–3.18) 1.22 (0.73–2.03) 1.43 (0.97–2.10) 1.48 (1.17 – 1.87)	39/73 281/302 320/375 638/802	48/122 693/756 741/878 1137/1538	14.25 23.43 37.68 100.00
0.283 1	3.53			

**Figure 1.** Forest plot of dominant model of rs2200733 for overall comparison (TT+CT vs. CC); LRAF — late recurrence of atrial fibrillation; ERAF — early recurrence of atrial fibrillation. CI — confidence interval; OR — odds ratio.

observed in three genetic models except for the heterozygous model (TC vs. CC: OR = 1.31, 95% CI 0.91–1.89, p = 0.141) and recessive model (TT vs. TC+CC: OR = 1.79, 95% CI 0.87–3.70, p = = 0.116). A significant association was observed for LRAF in four genetic models except for heterozygous comparison (TC vs. CC: OR = 1.15, 95% CI 0.84–1.58, p = 0.382). No association was found to be significant in any genetic models for ERAF.

## Genotypic association between rs10033464 G>T and AF recurrence

In the secondary analysis, the associations between rs10033464 G>T and the risk of AF recurrence were evaluated. A significant increased risk of AF recurrence was identified in the allelic model (T vs. G: OR = 1.44, 95% CI 1.04–2.00, p = 0.027), heterozygous model (TG vs. GG: OR = 1.46, 95% CI 1.01–2.12, p = 0.047) and dominant model (TT+TG vs. GG: OR = 1.51, 95% CI 1.04–2.17, p = 0.029, Table 4, Fig. 2). And a non-significant association was observed in homozygous comparison (TT vs. GG) or recessive comparison (TT vs. TG+GG). However, this result should be interpreted with caution due to a limited number of studies.

#### Sensitivity analysis and publication bias

Sensitivity analysis was performed to evaluate the influence of single study on the pooled ORs for rs2200733 C>T and rs10033464 G>T by deleting each study once in every genetic model. Consistently, the pooled estimate remained nonsignificant. No publication bias for the association between rs2200733 C>T and AF susceptibility was identified by Begg's funnel plot (p = 0.133; Table 3) or Egger's regression test (p = 0.075; Table 3). Symmetrical funnel plots were obtained in all five genetic models. Due to limited studies, no funnel plot or Egger tests were performed for the association between rs10033464 G>T and the risk of AF recurrence after ablation.

## Discussion

Catheter ablation has been employed as a first-line treatment for rhythm control in many patients with AF, especially in whom failed in anti-arrhythmic drug treatment [21]. Although ablation is effective [22], large effective variances ranging from 29% to 90% in ablation outcome are observed in real clinical setting [21, 23]. Winkle et al. [24] established a clinical scoring system to predict long-term freedom from AF after ablation. Genome-wide association studies identified several AF-susceptibility loci, such as rs2200733 C>T and rs10033464 G>T at chromosome 4q25, could be used as prognostic factors. And recent studies have focused on their potential to predict AF risk in patients undergoing ablation.

In this meta-analysis, carriers of at least 1 risk allele conferred an increased risk of 48% or 51% for AF recurrence when evaluated by dominant model for rs2200733 or rs10033464, respectively. However, the mechanisms underlying these associations remained elusive. These noncoding

		ארות מששתתומוו							מונכו כמ						
Genetic model	z	Allelic (T v:	model s. G)		Heterozygo (TG vs	us model . GG)	Homozygo (TT vs.	us mode GG)	-	Dominant (TT+TG)	t model vs. GG)		Recessive (TT vs. TC	model a+GG)	
		OR	2	٩	OR	P P	OR	-	۵.	OR	-12	٩.	OR	-	•
Overall	ო	1.44 (1.04–2.00)	12.0%	0.027	1.46 (1.01–2.12)	41.3% 0.047	2.97 (0.63–13.94)	0.0%	0.168	1.51 (1.04–2.17)	37.8%	0.029	2.65 (0.58–12.25)	%0	0.211
LRAF	7	1.39 (0.92–2.09)	54.6%	0.114	1.34 (0.84–2.15)	67.7% 0.219	3.54 (0.54–23.15)	0.0%	0.187	1.40 (0.89–2.22)	66.6%	0.150	3.25 (0.51–20.71)	%0	0.212
-RAF — late	ecurrei	nce of atrial fibrillat	tion after	3-month p	ost-ablation blanki	ng period; OR — o	dds ratio								

variants existed in close proximity (~150 kb) to the cis-regulatory region of paired-like homeodomain transcription factor 2 (PITX2), and modulated PITX2 activity through transcription level as postulated [25, 26]. PITX2 efficiently regulated atrial resting membrane potential and involved in the formation, differentiation and proliferation of pulmonary myocardium [27, 28]. Three isoforms of PITX2 (PITX2a, PITX2b, and PITX2c) have been identified, among which PITX2c was the only isoform expressed in the left atrium [29]. *PITX2c* directs formation of left and right anatomic characteristics and mediates left-right asymmetry signaling cascade [28, 30]. It also efficiently modulates ion channels and calcium handling proteins in atrial cardiomyocytes [28, 31, 32]. Down-regulation or up-regulation of *PITX2c* is associated with AF arrhythmogenesis [31, 32]. In human patients with sustained AF, PITX2c insufficiency could lead to atrial electrical and structural remodeling [10, 17, 33]. Taking these findings together, we speculated that rs2200733 or rs10033464 could modulate the expression of PITX2c. And PITX2c-mediated alterations in PV phenotype (such as arrhythmogenicity of PV myocardium, PV myocardial sleeve or LA/PV electrophysiology) affected response to PV isolation (PVI). However, PVI itself might be different between the centers: size of isolation area around PV, durability of PVI lesion or the usage of the contact force sensing ablation catheter etc. Thus further prospective researches, especially large-scale multicenter trials with standardized clinical procedures, are required to solve these issues.

In accordance with the finding of Husser et al. [15], a significant increased risk of LRAF was identified in rs10033464 in allelic, heterozygous and dominant comparison, but such association needs to be further validated as a result of limited studies. We also observed a robust genotypic association between rs2200733 and LRAF in allelic model, homozygous model, dominant model and recessive genetic model, which were consistent with precious reports of Husser et al. [15], Zhao et al. [16], Chen et al. [17] and Benjamin Shoemaker et al. [34]. But no association was found to be significant in any genetic models for ERAF. Choi et al. [12] reported lack of association between rs2200733 and LRAF. Kiliszek et al. [18] found rs2200733 C>T or rs10033464 G>T failed to correlate with AF recurrence in a median of 45 months follow-up. Many potential causes could underlie the discordant results. The incidences of recurrence in the entire follow-up period were



**Figure 2**. Forest plot of dominant model of rs10033464 for overall comparison (TT+GT vs. GG); LRAF — late recurrence of atrial fibrillation; ERAF — early recurrence of atrial fibrillation. CI — confidence interval; OR — odds ratio.

not provided in Choi's and Kiliszek's studies, thus data for ERAF in Korean and 6-month AF recurrence in Polish were used in the current meta-analysis. It is possible that the influence of genetic factors might have different impart on AF recurrence depending on post-ablation period (occurring within 3-months or later than 3-months after ablation). Other potential causes, such as the variability of technical approach to ablation procedure, ability for AF surveillance, frequency of polymorphism among studied populations, composition of AF patients and sample size, as well as the adjusted covariates, would be confounders in AF recurrence.

## Conclusions

Common 4q25 genetic variants rs2200733 (TT or TT+TC) and rs10033464 (TT+TG or TG) are associated with increased risk of AF recurrence. And rs2200733 C>T can be used as a clinical marker for outcome prediction before embarking on the procedure. Further prospective studies, especially large-scale multicenter trials with standardized clinical procedures, are warranted to ascertain the mechanistic relationship between rs2200733/rs10033464 and clinical response after ablation. These studies will eventually contribute to establishing the genotype-based algorithm for prediction and developing the genotype-guided therapy in clinical practice.

## Limitations of the study

Under review was the effect of rs2200733 and rs10033464 on the risk of AF recurrence after catheter ablation, but the following limitations are still worth mentioning. First, this is a retrospective observational study that needs prospective confirmation. Unavoidable differences and some variability introduced by operators exist between centers, which may diminish the strength of observed associations or contribute to the lack of an association in heterozygous comparison or recessive comparison in subgroup analysis. Second, it is possible that variability and accuracy together with the intensity of AF monitoring after ablation inherently limited the interpretation of data in the current study. Asymptomatic AF after ablation was not investigated in all centers. Third, the presence of 1 variant indicated an increased risk for AF recurrence, but variant carriers vary greatly in different centers and represent only a minority of the AF population. Thus, prospective studies, especially large-scale multicenter trials with standardized clinical procedures are warranted to fully appreciate their risk. Fourth, although it was speculated that these variants modulate the expression of PITX2c and result in alterations in PV phenotype, PV-LA reconduction is still the prevailing cause for AF recurrence after ablation. Other mechanisms such as progression of low voltage area in LA, non-PV foci also may be associated with AF recidivism. However, because whether

re-ablations were performed or not has remained unknown during the follow-up period in these centers, reconduction could not be assessed. AF recurrences might be due to different mechanisms that are not captured with the analyzed variants in these studies. And it is also worth noting that 4q25 variants may simply be used as one of the clinical markers for outcome prediction. Finally, given the fact that PITX2 adjacent non-coding RNA and enhancer binding protein 2 alpha were involved in regulation of PITX2c expression [35, 36], it cannot be ruled out that rs2200733 or rs10033464 variants may also control the expression of coding or non-coding regions of other AF-associated genes or SNPs through gene-gene interaction [37]. Not all AF-susceptibility variants which were in linkage disequilibrium with these variants were included in this analysis. The impact of potential gene-gene interaction at 4q25 locus to the clinical response for ablation remained unknown.

## Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Basic Research Program of China (973 program 2013CB31103), the National Nature Science Foundation of China (8153000545, 81603188), the China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (2017M612165), the grant from Jiangxi Scientific Program (20151BBB70266, 20151BAB215041).

## Conflict of interest: None declared

#### References

- Chugh SS, Havmoeller R, Narayanan K, et al. Worldwide epidemiology of atrial fibrillation: a Global Burden of Disease 2010 Study. Circulation. 2014; 129(8): 837–847, doi: 10.1161/CIRCU-LATIONAHA.113.005119, indexed in Pubmed: 24345399.
- Zhu W, Yuan P, Shen Y, et al. Association of smoking with the risk of incident atrial fibrillation: A meta-analysis of prospective studies. Int J Cardiol. 2016; 218: 259–266, doi: 10.1016/j. ijcard.2016.05.013, indexed in Pubmed: 27236125.
- Piccini JP, Simon DN, Steinberg BA, et al. Differences in Clinical and Functional Outcomes of Atrial Fibrillation in Women and Men: Two-Year Results From the ORBIT-AF Registry. JAMA Cardiol. 2016; 1(3): 282–291, doi: 10.1001/jamacardio.2016.0529, indexed in Pubmed: 27438106.
- Phan K, Khuong JN, Xu J, et al. Obesity and postoperative atrial fibrillation in patients undergoing cardiac surgery: Systematic review and meta-analysis. Int J Cardiol. 2016; 217: 49–57, doi: 10.1016/j.ijcard.2016.05.002, indexed in Pubmed: 27179208.
- Gudbjartsson DF, Arnar DO, Helgadottir A, et al. Variants conferring risk of atrial fibrillation on chromosome 4q25. Nature. 2007; 448(7151): 353–357, doi: 10.1038/nature06007, indexed in Pubmed: 17603472.
- Bhanushali A, Nair A, Jagdale G, et al. Association of Genetic Variants at the 4q25 Locus with Atrial Fibrillation in Indian

Population. J Clin Lab Anal. 2017; 31(1), doi: 10.1002/jcla.22017, indexed in Pubmed: 27346453.

- Ferrán A, Alegret JM, Subirana I, et al. Association between rs2200733 and rs7193343 genetic variants and atrial fibrillation in a Spanish population, and meta-analysis of previous studies. Rev Esp Cardiol (Engl Ed). 2014; 67(10): 822–829, doi: 10.1016/j. rec.2013.12.019, indexed in Pubmed: 25262128.
- Olesen MS, Holst AG, Jabbari J, et al. Genetic loci on chromosomes 4q25, 7p31, and 12p12 are associated with onset of lone atrial fibrillation before the age of 40 years. Can J Cardiol. 2012; 28(2): 191–195, doi: 10.1016/j.cjca.2011.11.016, indexed in Pubmed: 22336519.
- Viviani Anselmi C, Novelli V, Roncarati R, et al. Association of rs2200733 at 4q25 with atrial flutter/fibrillation diseases in an Italian population. Heart. 2008; 94(11): 1394–1396, doi: 10.1136/ hrt.2008.148544, indexed in Pubmed: 18931155.
- Kiliszek M, Franaszczyk M, Kozluk E, et al. Association between variants on chromosome 4q25, 16q22 and 1q21 and atrial fibrillation in the Polish population. PLoS One. 2011; 6(7): e21790, doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0021790, indexed in Pubmed: 21760908.
- Lee KT, Yeh HY, Tung CP, et al. Association of RS2200733 but not RS10033464 on 4q25 with atrial fibrillation based on the recessive model in a Taiwanese population. Cardiology. 2010; 116(3): 151–156, doi: 10.1159/000318172, indexed in Pubmed: 20606429.
- Choi EK, Park JH, Lee JY, et al. Korean Atrial Fibrillation (AF) Network: Genetic Variants for AF Do Not Predict Ablation Success. J Am Heart Assoc. 2015; 4(8): e002046, doi: 10.1161/ JAHA.115.002046, indexed in Pubmed: 26272656.
- Lubitz SA, Sinner MF, Lunetta KL, et al. Independent susceptibility markers for atrial fibrillation on chromosome 4q25. Circulation. 2010; 122(10): 976–984, doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIO-NAHA.109.886440, indexed in Pubmed: 20733104.
- Kääb S, Darbar D, van Noord C, et al. Large scale replication and meta-analysis of variants on chromosome 4q25 associated with atrial fibrillation. Eur Heart J. 2009; 30(7): 813–819, doi: 10.1093/ eurheartj/ehn578, indexed in Pubmed: 19141561.
- Husser D, Adams V, Piorkowski C, et al. Chromosome 4q25 variants and atrial fibrillation recurrence after catheter ablation. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2010; 55(8): 747–753, doi: 10.1016/j. jacc.2009.11.041, indexed in Pubmed: 20170812.
- Zhao LQ, Zhang GB, Wen ZJ, et al. Common variants predict recurrence after nonfamilial atrial fibrillation ablation in Chinese Han population. Int J Cardiol. 2017; 227: 360–366, doi: 10.1016/j. ijcard.2016.11.057, indexed in Pubmed: 27843048.
- Chen F, Yang Y, Zhang R, et al. Polymorphism rs2200733 at chromosome 4q25 is associated with atrial fibrillation recurrence after radiofrequency catheter ablation in the Chinese Han population. Am J Transl Res. 2016; 8(2): 688–697, indexed in Pubmed: 27158361.
- Kiliszek M, Kozluk E, Franaszczyk M, et al. The 4q25, 1q21, and 16q22 polymorphisms and recurrence of atrial fibrillation after pulmonary vein isolation. Arch Med Sci. 2016; 12(1): 38–44, doi: 10.5114/aoms.2015.48284, indexed in Pubmed: 26925117.
- Stang A. Critical evaluation of the Newcastle-Ottawa scale for the assessment of the quality of nonrandomized studies in metaanalyses. Eur J Epidemiol. 2010; 25(9): 603–605, doi: 10.1007/ s10654-010-9491-z, indexed in Pubmed: 20652370.
- Cappato R, Calkins H, Chen SA, et al. Updated worldwide survey on the methods, efficacy, and safety of catheter ablation for

human atrial fibrillation. Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol. 2010; 3(1): 32–38, doi: 10.1161/CIRCEP.109.859116, indexed in Pubmed: 19995881.

- Kirchhof P, Calkins H. Catheter ablation in patients with persistent atrial fibrillation. Eur Heart J. 2017; 38(1): 20–26, doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehw260, indexed in Pubmed: 27389907.
- Björkenheim A, Brandes A, Chemnitz A, et al. Rhythm control and its relation to symptoms during the first two years after radiofrequency ablation for atrial fibrillation. Pacing Clin Electrophysiol. 2016; 39(9): 914–925, doi: 10.1111/pace.12916, indexed in Pubmed: 27418324.
- Tzou WS, Marchlinski FE, Zado ES, et al. Long-term outcome after successful catheter ablation of atrial fibrillation. Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol. 2010; 3(3): 237–242, doi: 10.1161/CIR-CEP.109.923771, indexed in Pubmed: 20335557.
- Winkle RA, Jarman JWE, Mead RH, et al. Predicting atrial fibrillation ablation outcome: The CAAP-AF score. Heart Rhythm. 2016; 13(11): 2119–2125, doi: 10.1016/j.hrthm.2016.07.018, indexed in Pubmed: 27435586.
- Li N, Dobrev D, Wehrens XHT. PITX2: a master regulator of cardiac channelopathy in atrial fibrillation? Cardiovasc Res. 2016; 109(3): 345–347, doi: 10.1093/cvr/cvw008, indexed in Pubmed: 26782118.
- Shoemaker MB, Bollmann A, Lubitz SA, et al. Common genetic variants and response to atrial fibrillation ablation. Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol. 2015; 8(2): 296–302, doi: 10.1161/CIR-CEP.114.001909, indexed in Pubmed: 25684755.
- Mommersteeg MTM, Brown NA, Prall OWJ, et al. Pitx2c and Nkx2-5 are required for the formation and identity of the pulmonary myocardium. Circ Res. 2007; 101(9): 902–909, doi: 10.1161/ CIRCRESAHA.107.161182, indexed in Pubmed: 17823370.
- Syeda F, Holmes AP, Yu TY, et al. PITX2 modulates atrial membrane potential and the antiarrhythmic effects of sodiumchannel blockers. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2016; 68(17): 1881–1894, doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2016.07.766, indexed in Pubmed: 27765191.
- Kirchhof P, Kahr PC, Kaese S, et al. PITX2c is expressed in the adult left atrium, and reducing Pitx2c expression promotes atrial fibrillation inducibility and complex changes in gene expression.

Circ Cardiovasc Genet. 2011; 4(2): 123–133, doi: 10.1161/CIRC-GENETICS.110.958058, indexed in Pubmed: 21282332.

- Wang J, Klysik E, Sood S, et al. Pitx2 prevents susceptibility to atrial arrhythmias by inhibiting left-sided pacemaker specification. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2010; 107(21): 9753–9758, doi: 10.1073/pnas.0912585107, indexed in Pubmed: 20457925.
- Lozano-Velasco E, Hernández-Torres F, Daimi H, et al. Pitx2 impairs calcium handling in a dose-dependent manner by modulating Wnt signalling. Cardiovasc Res. 2016; 109(1): 55–66, doi: 10.1093/cvr/cvv207, indexed in Pubmed: 26243430.
- Pérez-Hernández M, Matamoros M, Barana A, et al. Pitx2c increases in atrial myocytes from chronic atrial fibrillation patients enhancing IKs and decreasing ICa,L. Cardiovasc Res. 2016; 109(3): 431–441, doi: 10.1093/cvr/cvv280, indexed in Pubmed: 26714926.
- Chinchilla A, Daimi H, Lozano-Velasco E, et al. PITX2 insufficiency leads to atrial electrical and structural remodeling linked to arrhythmogenesis. Circ Cardiovasc Genet. 2011; 4(3): 269–279, doi: 10.1161/CIRCGENETICS.110.958116, indexed in Pubmed: 21511879.
- Benjamin Shoemaker M, Muhammad R, Parvez B, et al. Common atrial fibrillation risk alleles at 4q25 predict recurrence after catheter-based atrial fibrillation ablation. Heart Rhythm. 2013; 10(3): 394–400, doi: 10.1016/j.hrthm.2012.11.012, indexed in Pubmed: 23178686.
- Ye J, Tucker NR, Weng LC, et al. A Functional Variant Associated with Atrial Fibrillation Regulates PITX2c Expression through TFAP2a. Am J Hum Genet. 2016; 99(6): 1281–1291, doi: 10.1016/j.ajhg.2016.10.001, indexed in Pubmed: 27866707.
- Gore-Panter SR, Hsu J, Barnard J, et al. PANCR, the PITX2 Adjacent Noncoding RNA, Is Expressed in Human Left Atria and Regulates PITX2c Expression. Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol. 2016; 9(1): e003197, doi: 10.1161/CIRCEP.115.003197, indexed in Pubmed: 26783232.
- Huang Y, Wang C, Yao Y, et al. Molecular Basis of Gene-Gene Interaction: Cyclic Cross-Regulation of Gene Expression and Post-GWAS Gene-Gene Interaction Involved in Atrial Fibrillation. PLoS Genet. 2015; 11(8): e1005393, doi: 10.1371/journal. pgen.1005393, indexed in Pubmed: 26267381.