Levosimendan accelerates recovery in patients with takotsubo cardiomyopathy
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Abstract

**Background:** The aim of this study was to determine the efficacy and safety of levosimendan in takotsubo cardiomyopathy (TC).

**Methods:** The study was conducted in a retrospective design and 42 consecutive patients were enrolled in 6 cardiovascular centers in Turkey. The records of TC patients having left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) \( \leq 35\% \) were examined at admission, discharge and recovery period including their clinical and echocardiographic data.

**Results:** Of these 42 TC patients, 17 were treated with loading dose and i.v. infusion of levosimendan (group 1) and 25 were treated without levosimendan (group 2). Echocardiographic findings at admission and at discharge were similar and no serious complications were observed in either group. However recovery period including the interval of 50\% increase in LVEF, time to achieve the baseline troponin values and hospitalization were significantly lower in patients taking levosimendan.

**Conclusions:** This is the first study using loading dose and subsequent continuous intravenous administration of levosimendan demonstrating accelerated recovery in patients with TC.

(Cardiol J 2016; 23, 6: 610–615)
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Introduction

Takotsubo cardiomyopathy (TC), also known as stress cardiomyopathy, left ventricular (LV) apical ballooning syndrome or broken heart syndrome, is a unique cardiac situation characterized by transient LV systolic dysfunction often mimicking an acute myocardial infarction. In most cases the underlying factor can be elaborated as acute emotional and/or physical stress and it is characterized by three distinctive features: (a) the presence of acute LV wall dysfunction, (b) the absence of significant obstructive coronary artery disease and (c) the rapid improvement of LV systolic function within a few days or weeks \([1, 2]\). The clinical presentation of TC causes a significant risk for adverse events.
and thus represents an acute heart failure [3]. Although the acute course of TC is not malignant in most cases, 20% face some complications such as ventricular tachycardia, ventricular thrombus and ventricular rupture similar to, or higher than those of patients with acute coronary syndrome during their hospital stay.

The underlying mechanism of TC still remains unclear but many hypotheses including multivessel coronary artery spasm, coronary microvascular dysfunction or catecholamine toxicity, have been introduced. In the clinical setting, TC is an important disease that must be promptly differentiated from acute myocardial infarction to enable its appropriate management [4].

Levosimendan is a powerful inhibitor of phosphodiesterases but its positive inotropic effect is achieved without a significant increase of intracellular cAMP and Ca\(^{2+}\). This calcium-sensitizer drug reinforces the Ca\(^{2+}\)-binding with troponin-C during systole and improves ventricular relaxation during diastole as the so-called lusitropic effect; moreover, this levosimendan shows important anti-stunning and vasodilator effects by opening the ATP-sensitive K\(^{-}\)-channels [5]. Previously levosimendan had been proposed as the choice of inotropic drug by Santoro et al. [6] and to our knowledge, levosimendan was the pivotal therapeutic agent in treatment of TC. Herein, we retrospectively compared the acute course of TC patients treated versus untreated with the loading dose and infusion of levosimendan.

### Methods

A total of 42 subjects were enrolled in a retrospective manner between January 1\(^{st}\) 2010 to July 31\(^{st}\) 2016 at 6 centres in Turkey. The records of 42 TC patients having LV ejection fraction (LVEF) \(\leq 35\%\) were examined. Mayo diagnostic criteria [7] was utilized where all the four items were mandatory for accurate diagnosis:

- Transient LV dysfunction (hypokinesis, akinesia, or dyskinesis): The wall motion abnormalities are typically regional and extend beyond a single epicardial coronary distribution; rare exceptions are the focal (within one coronary distribution) and the global type;
- Absence of obstructive coronary disease or angiographic evidence of acute plaque rupture: If coronary disease is found, the diagnosis of stress cardiomyopathy can still be made if the wall motion abnormalities are not in the distribution of the coronary disease. This exception is made since some patients with stress cardiomyopathy have concurrent coronary disease;
- New electrocardiographic abnormalities (either ST-segment elevation and/or T wave inversion) or modest elevation in cardiac troponin;
- Absence of pheochromocytoma or myocarditis.

Of the 42 patients, 17 patients (female: 12) were treated with intravenous (i.v.) continuous infusion of levosimendan within the first 24 h after admission in 2 centres as a routine therapy; infusion rate was 0.1 \(\mu g/\)kg/min with 10 \(\mu g/\)kg loading dose for 24 h. The loading dose was used as a standard levosimendan therapy for all patients without hypotension (systolic/diastolic blood pressure < 90/60 mm Hg). Twenty-five patients (female, \(n = 18\)) were treated without i.v. continuous infusion of levosimendan in the other 4 centres. All patients were administered a standard of care including angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor/angiotensin receptor blockers and beta-blocker unless contraindicated. Medical records, including medical history, physical examination, laboratory tests, coronary angiography, 12-lead electrocardiogram (ECG) also echocardiographic findings for cases in which they were available were carefully reviewed. The following data were obtained by analyzing age, gender, physical — emotional stress factors, days of hospitalization and coronary risk factors including smoking, hypertension (as defined by the Joint National Committee VIII) [8], diabetes mellitus (as defined by the World Health Organization study group) [9], dyslipidemia was considered present if the total cholesterol concentration on admission was higher than 220 mg/dL or the low-density lipoprotein cholesterol concentration on admission was higher than 140 mg/dL, and a family history of premature coronary artery disease defined as myocardial infarction or sudden death in a first relative, male < 55 years and female < 65 years.

All patients underwent continuous ECG and hemodynamic monitoring for 48 h. Troponin I levels were measured for all patients using a chemiluminescent immunoenzymatic assay (Access AccuTnI, Beckman Coulter, Fullerton, CA, USA). The detection limit of this assay is 0.01 ng/mL and the 99th percentile in an apparently healthy reference population is reported to be 0.04 ng/mL. Patients underwent coronary angiography procedure which showed the absence of coronary disease. Transthoracic echocardiography examination was performed in all patients at admission, during the hospital stay and at discharge. LVEF was calculated using the biplane method (modified Simpson’s rule).
from the apical 4-chamber and 2-chamber views. LVEF recovery to > 50% was the only criterion for discharge from hospital. The study protocol was in agreement with the guidelines of the ethics committee of aforementioned institutions.

Statistical analysis

All data are expressed as mean ± standard deviation. Distributions of continuous variables in the two groups were compared with either the unpaired t test or the Mann-Whitney U-test according to whether data followed the normal distribution. Comparison between the two groups was performed by using the $\chi^2$ test for categorical variables. ANOVA test was used where > two groups were present to compare. Missing values were replaced by multiple regression imputation. All tests were performed with IBM SPSS for Windows, version 21.0. (Chicago, USA). All results were considered statistically significant at the level of $p < 0.05$.

Results

Retrospectively 42 consecutive patients were enrolled and diagnosed with TC and of these, 17 were treated with levosimendan (group 1) and 25 were treated without levosimendan (group 2). Baseline characteristics listed in Table 1 were similar in both groups. Triggers of TC such as physical and emotional stress were also similar in both groups ($p = 0.453$).

Only 1 patient was admitted with cardiogenic shock and she was treated with levosimendan plus dopamine. Fortunately she has recovered quite well. No serious complications including arrhythmia were observed in either groups.

Echocardiographic findings at admission and discharge were similar in both groups (Table 2). In group 1, the mean LVEF at admission was $32.3 ± 3.1\%$ and $32.0 ± 3.8\%$ in group 2 ($p = 0.754$). All patients showed improvement in LVEF during discharge where mean LVEF at discharge was $57.3 ± 5.0\%$ in group 1 and $55.2 ± 4.4\%$ in group 2 ($p = 0.152$).

However, the recovery period significantly differs between the two groups (Table 3). The time to LVEF rise above 50% was significantly lower in group 1 compared to group 2 ($8.3 ± 1.9$ days vs. $10.8 ± 3.1$ days, $p = 0.001$). Hospitalization time also showed statistical significance (lower in group 1) compared to group 2 ($9.4 ± 1.7$ days vs. $14.3 ± 1.5$ days, $p < 0.001$). The recovery time to baseline

### Table 1. Baseline characteristics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Group 1 (n = 17)</th>
<th>Group 2 (n = 25)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age [years]</td>
<td>59.2 ± 10.9</td>
<td>63.3 ± 6.5</td>
<td>0.140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (female)</td>
<td>12 (70.6%)</td>
<td>18 (72%)</td>
<td>0.065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index [kg/m²]</td>
<td>26.7 ± 4.1</td>
<td>28.6 ± 4.5</td>
<td>0.186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>5 (29.4%)</td>
<td>9 (36%)</td>
<td>0.666</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyslipidemia</td>
<td>1 (5.9%)</td>
<td>1 (4%)</td>
<td>0.143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus</td>
<td>5 (29.4%)</td>
<td>5 (20%)</td>
<td>0.494</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking</td>
<td>7 (41.2%)</td>
<td>13 (52%)</td>
<td>0.503</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotional stress</td>
<td>13 (76.5%)</td>
<td>15 (60%)</td>
<td>0.082</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical stress</td>
<td>4 (23.5%)</td>
<td>10 (40%)</td>
<td>0.065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic arterial pressure [mm Hg]</td>
<td>115 ± 28</td>
<td>118 ± 25</td>
<td>0.176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic arterial pressure [mm Hg]</td>
<td>73 ± 11</td>
<td>74 ± 10</td>
<td>0.172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate [bpm]</td>
<td>88 ± 25</td>
<td>86 ± 27</td>
<td>0.184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Troponin levels [ng/dL]</td>
<td>6.9 ± 3.1</td>
<td>7.3 ± 3.0</td>
<td>0.628</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medications:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACEI/ARB</td>
<td>17 (100%)</td>
<td>24 (96.0%)</td>
<td>0.147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beta-blocker</td>
<td>15 (88.2%)</td>
<td>21 (84.0%)</td>
<td>0.068</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spironolactone</td>
<td>8 (47.1%)</td>
<td>12 (48.0%)</td>
<td>0.854</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diuretic (furosemide)</td>
<td>2 (11.8%)</td>
<td>3 (12.0%)</td>
<td>0.912</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(+) inotropes including dopamine and dobutamine</td>
<td>1 (5.9%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ACEI — angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor; ARB — angiotensin receptor blockers
troponin values were also significantly lower in group 1 compared to group 2 (5.1 ± 1.6 days vs. 8.2 ± 2.4 days, p = 0.001).

### Discussion

This study evaluated the efficacy of the treatment strategy with levosimendan compared to standard therapy in TC patients with severe LV dysfunction independent of baseline blood pressure. Actually, this is the first study investigating the efficacy of loading dose followed by the i.v. continuous infusion of levosimendan in TC setting. We have found that all patients were safely treated with i.v. infusion of levosimendan and also it has reduced hospital stay and recovery to baseline clinical parameters.

In the literature, there were only a few levosimendan (without loading dose) treated TC cases that were complicated with cardiogenic shock [10–13]. However in this present study, none of the patients in both groups were complicated by cardiogenic shock and all were treated with loading dose of levosimendan. In a comprehensive case series recently published by Santoro et al. [6], it was evaluated that the use of levosimendan without loading dose in patients with TC might be safe and feasible with low rates of adverse events. Herein, we used loading dose and found similar results with this study. Santoro et al. [6] have also demonstrated that mean hospital stay of patients treated with levosimendan was 10 ± 4 days and was quite similar to results found in this study (9.4 ± 1.7 days). Additional findings disclosed that time to recovery to the baseline troponin values and time to LVEF rise above 50% were significantly lower in patients treated with levosimendan.

Levosimendan infusion was well tolerated in group 1 patients. No malignant cardiac arrhythmias were observed and none of the patients developed LV outflow tract obstruction. LVEF improved in all patients independent of treatment with levosimendan, however LVEF improvement was faster in the patients treated with levosimendan.

Levosimendan is a new molecule with both inotrophic and vasodilator effect. The main mechanism of action described for this drug is elaborated as the increase in the troponin C affinity for Ca$^{2+}$ and the stabilization of troponin C conformation. The administration of this calcium sensitizer presents other effects such as peripheral vasodilatation, anti-ischaemic benefits and cardioprotection [14]. The main mechanism of increasing myocardial contractility is based on the increase of sensitivity of cardiac troponin C towards intra-cytoplasmic calcium [15–18]. Experimental studies have demonstrated that levosimendan has a preconditioning-like effect

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Group 1 (n = 17)</th>
<th>Group 2 (n = 25)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hospital stay [days]</td>
<td>9.4 ± 1.7</td>
<td>14.3 ± 1.5</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time to recover to the baseline troponin values [days]</td>
<td>5.1 ± 1.6</td>
<td>8.2 ± 2.4</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time to rise in left ventricular ejection fraction above 50% [days]</td>
<td>8.3 ± 1.9</td>
<td>10.8 ± 3.1</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Group 1 (n = 17)</th>
<th>Group 2 (n = 25)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AoD [mm]</td>
<td>27.0 ± 2.3</td>
<td>26.9 ± 2.8</td>
<td>0.216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAD [mm]</td>
<td>38 ± 2.7</td>
<td>35.9 ± 1.8</td>
<td>0.306</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVEDD [mm]</td>
<td>51.6 ± 2.1</td>
<td>50.1 ± 2.6</td>
<td>0.635</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVESD [mm]</td>
<td>36.0 ± 3.2</td>
<td>31.0 ± 1.8</td>
<td>0.430</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IVSD [mm]</td>
<td>10.3 ± 1.1</td>
<td>10.9 ± 3.8</td>
<td>0.143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PWD [mm]</td>
<td>10.5 ± 1.8</td>
<td>10.7 ± 3.4</td>
<td>0.096</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVEF [%]</td>
<td>32.3 ± 3.1</td>
<td>57.3 ± 5.0</td>
<td>0.152</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AoD — aortic root diameter; LAD — left atrial diameter; LVEDD — left ventricular end-diastolic diameter; LVESD — left ventricular end-systolic diameter; IVSD — interventricular septum diastolic dimension; PWD — posterior wall dimension; LVEF — left ventricular ejection fraction
on myocardial function [19] as it protects the heart against ischaemia and reperfusion damage by the opening of cardiac mitochondrial ATP-sensitive potassium [mito.K (ATP)] channels, one of the main mediators of cell protection pathways [20]. This mechanism of positive inotropic myocardial contraction strengthening occurs without increasing oxygen demand, intracellular cAMP or intracellular calcium concentration at clinically relevant doses [21–23]. Levosimendan activates the opening of ATP-sensitive K+ channels of smooth muscle cells allowing their hyperpolarization by leveraging vasodilation [24, 25]. These vasodilatory properties lead to a dramatic increase in cardiac output with a concomitant reduction in cardiac filling pressures in the failing heart to enable it to generate more efficient systolic and diastolic functions [26, 27]. All these mechanisms mentioned above may contribute to more rapid improvement of ventricular functions and clinical recovery in patients who were treated with levosimendan in this study.

There are case reports of successful levosimendan use in cardiomyopathy due to acute Chagas’ disease, peri-partum cardiomyopathy, anthracycline-induced cardiotoxicity and TC [6, 10–13, 28–30]. In the present study, it was demonstrated that levosimendan can be used safely and efficiently in TC as a non-catecholaminergic inotropic agent for faster symptomatic and clinical improvement for the first time.

Limitations of the study

Our results indicate that levosimendan may have positive effects in TC with a loading dose safely and efficiently. The present study, however, has some limitations due to the small number of patients and its retrospective nature. Further prospective randomized studies enrolling more patients are needed to validate these results.

Conclusions

This is the first study using loading dose and subsequent continuous intravenous administration of levosimendan demonstrating accelerated recovery in patients with TC.
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