**The use of anticoagulants in morbidly obese patients**
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**Abstract**

Due to its constantly growing incidence, obesity is an increasingly serious social and medical problem. Available data on the use of novel oral anticoagulants in morbidly obese and obese patients are very limited. However, we tried to summarize the available knowledge on the use of anticoagulants in this subpopulation of patients in everyday clinical practice. Studies on the clinical use of anticoagulants provide a poor basis for any adjustment of doses in obese patients as compared to patients with normal body weight. In our opinion, further studies are required in this particular population. (Cardiol J 2016; 23, 1: 12–16)
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**Introduction**

Due to its constantly growing incidence, obesity is an increasingly serious social and medical problem. It is estimated that by the year 2030, patients with obesity will have comprised more than 50% of the general population while more than 10% will have been diagnosed with morbid obesity defined as body mass index (BMI) of above 40 kg/m² [1]. Limited data are available on the use of novel oral anticoagulants in morbidly obese patients. Incomplete data are available also with regard to the conventional anticoagulants such as low molecular weight heparins or vitamin K antagonists. Overweight and obese patients are usually either excluded from clinical trials or their participation in such trials is significantly limited.

Therefore, the use of medications in this patient group remains a significant therapeutic challenge. In addition, as shown by pharmacokinetic studies, certain pharmacokinetic parameters such as distribution volume, biological half-life and clearance can be significantly altered in obese patients [2]. As shown by these data, drug dosage in obese patients should depend on both the patient and the drug. In clinical practice, physicians may often find themselves lacking confidence with regard to therapeutic decisions as they are uncertain whether the dose of the drug should be increased proportionally to the body weight or reduced so as to prevent adverse effects.

Thus, we believe that there is a need to summarize the available knowledge on the use of anticoagulants in this subpopulation of patients in everyday clinical practice.

**Low molecular weight heparins**

The dosage of low molecular weight heparins depends on the patient’s weight; this includes also obese or morbidly obese patients. Safety and efficacy of such doses calculated in this manner were confirmed for individual heparin products: in patients with total body weight of up to 144 kg (BMI ≤ 48 kg/m²) for enoxaparin [3], patients with total body weight of up to 190 kg (BMI ≤ 58 kg/m²) for dalteparin [4], and patients with total body weight of up to 165 kg (BMI ≤ 61 kg/m²) for tin-
zariparin [5, 6]. No increased incidence of hemorrhagic complications or recurrent venous thromboembolism was found in the RIETE registry for patients with total body weight of more than 100 kg (range 100–160 kg) treated with unfractionated or low molecular weight heparin [7]. In addition, negative correlation between total body weight and anti-Xa activity ($R^2 = 0.63$) was demonstrated in obese patients in whom a fixed preventive dose of enoxaparin was recommended [8]. Similar correlations were demonstrated for nadroparin [9]. As shown by these studies, higher prophylactic doses of low molecular weight heparins should be used in patients with obesity [10]. However, it seems justified to measure anti-Xa activity in extremely obese patients.

Moreover, it is worth noticing that the drug can induce thrombocytopenia (heparin-induced thrombocytopenia [HIT]), by an immune-mediated mechanism [11]. The risk of HIT is related to many factors, including obesity, performed surgery (release of cytokines during tissue injury), sex, age, duration of heparin exposure, characteristics of heparin molecule (unfractionated heparin [UFH], low molecular weight heparin [LMWH]), genetic predisposition. It is mediated by IgG antibodies which bind to epitopes on PF4. Then these immune complexes cross-link Fcy receptors on platelets, monocytes, as well as endothelial cells. It results in intense platelet activation, releasing procoagulant rich microparticles, thus activating coagulation cascade and facilitating coagulation (by providing an anionic phospholipid membrane syndrome). Thus, HIT is most frequently associated with thromboembolic complications including deep vein thrombosis, pulmonary embolism, stroke, peripheral artery thrombosis, necrotizing lesions at the heparin injections site [11–13].

Importantly, the risk of HIT is higher after administration of UFH than LMWH to patients after surgery [11, 12].

**Vitamin K antagonists**

The effect of obesity on the use of warfarin remains a largely unexamined and academic problem due to the possibilities to effectively monitor the efficacy of treatment. Routledge et al. [14] point out that the key factors affecting the pharmacokinetic properties of warfarin are age followed by body weight. The study by Wallace et al. [15], including 211 patients with various body weights, determined the percentage of patients achieving international normalized ratio (INR) values within the therapeutic range before being discharged from hospital: the obtained ratios were 71.1% of patients with normal body weight as compared to 42.3% of patients with obesity and 38% of patients with morbid obesity. Compared to patients with normal body weight, obese patients, and particularly morbidly obese patients, required more time to achieve therapeutic INR values (6 days vs. 8 and 10 days, respectively). Additionally, compared to normal weight, obese and morbidly obese patients were reported to have a decreased initial response to warfarin.

It is worth noting that Breuer et al. [18], in their article published in NEJM, present a case of a 48-year-old patient with morbid obesity (BMI 44.7 kg/m²) admitted to hospital due to an ischemic stroke during dabigatran treatment (150 mg bid). The peak concentration of dabigatran measured in the patient was as low as 50 ng/mL (4 h after administration, i.e. at the maximum expected concentration time), which was unambiguously lower than 90% confidence interval (CI) in the group of patients with average body weight. However, the fact that the patient had received a proton pump inhibitor, which reduced the bioavailability of the drug by 12.5%, should also be taken into account [19]. The authors of the case report concluded that the dose of the drug might have been insufficient for effective treatment of patients with morbid obesity. In their analysis of pharmacokinetic properties of dabigatran from RE-LY study data, Liesenfeld et al. [19] demonstrated that obesity affects the drug distribution volume, increasing it by 0.77% per each kilogram of body weight above the median value (80 kg). At the same time, the authors pointed out that the body weight had no effect on the area under the concentration vs. time curve (AUC$_{ss}$). The authors concluded that no dosage changes are required depending on patient’s weight [19].

Lachant et al. [20] reported a patient with a history of bariatric surgery undergoing dabigatran...
treatment (150 mg twice daily). According to the authors, anatomical changes due to surgery made it impossible to efficiently absorb the drug.

In their study including 1,826 obese patients (32.1% of the overall study population), Eriksson et al. [21] observed similar (statistically insignificant, 0.08% reduction) risk of adverse endpoints (venous thromboembolism, death due to venous thromboembolism, significant bleeding) in patients receiving dabigatran 220 mg once daily vs. enoxaparin in primary prevention in patients subjected to orthopedic surgeries. Of note are the significantly better results obtained with dabigatran 220 mg once daily vs. enoxaparin 40 mg once daily in patients with obesity (33% relative risk reduction) and patients with normal body weights (52% relative risk reduction).

Could an increased dosage of dabigatran provide better therapeutic effects in obese patients? According to the current summary of product characteristics, obesity is not an indication for increasing the dosage of the drug [17].

**Rivaroxaban**

According to the summary of product characteristics, there is no need to modify the dosage for extreme body weights, including body weights of > 120 kg. Thus, obesity was not an indication for an increase in drug dosage [22].

Kubitza et al. [23] compared the safety profile, pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of rivaroxaban in groups of patients with body weights of < 50 kg, 70–80 kg, and > 120 kg but < 150 kg. Slightly shorter elongations of prothrombin times were observed in patients with increased body weights. The increased body weight did not significantly disturb the pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of the drug. This was most probably due to the low volume of distribution of rivaroxaban. According to the results of the study, no dose adjustments are required in patients with body weights of up to 150 kg.

Friedman et al. [24] compared the efficacy of anticoagulation treatment with rivaroxaban (occurrence of asymptomatic/symptomatic deep vein thrombosis, pulmonary embolism, bleedings and other adverse effects in orthopedic surgery patients with BMI > 40 kg/m² and < 40 kg/m² [subanalysis of a group of 12,355 patients]). The authors observed no differences in the incidence rates of aforementioned disorders.

Mahlmann et al. [25] described a case of a morbidly obese patient after bariatric surgery in whom rivaroxaban was used due to the high risk of venous thromboembolism. Rivaroxaban levels were determined 3 h, 6 h, 12 h, and 24 h after administration to obtain a typical pharmacokinetic profile. The authors concluded that no adjustments of rivaroxaban treatment were required in a patient after bariatric surgery. Another clinical case report was published by Safouris et al. [26] who suggested a better pharmacokinetic profile of rivaroxaban as compared to dabigatran in an obese patient with no comorbid diabetes. However, it should be underlined that rivaroxaban, similarly to dabigatran, is mostly absorbed in upper gastrointestinal tract including stomach and small intestine, therefore gastrectomy or sleeve surgery can potentially affect their absorption.

**Edoxaban**

Edoxaban is a direct and reversible inhibitor of factor Xa. Hokusai-VTE study compared the efficacy and safety of an enoxaparin/unfractionated heparin lead-in followed by edoxaban 60 mg once daily vs. standard of care (enoxaparin/unfractionated heparin lead-in followed by warfarin) in the treatment of symptomatic venous thromboembolism, with a primary efficacy outcome of recurrent symptomatic venous thromboembolism and a primary safety outcome of major or clinically relevant bleeding [27]. In this study including 611 (14.8%) patients with body mass > 100 kg in the group treated with edoxaban and 654 (15.9%) patients with body mass > 100 kg in the group treated with warfarin, similar effects in both relative efficacy and safety were observed [27].

According to the available data, there is no need to modify the dosage for extreme body weights, including body weights of > 100 kg. Thus, obesity was not an indication for an increase in drug dosage [27, 28].

**Apixaban**

According to the summary of product characteristics (5.2. Pharmacodynamic properties [29]), body weight of > 120 kg was associated with the exposure to medicinal product being reduced by ca. 30%, while body weight of < 50 kg was associated with the exposure to medicinal product being increased by ca. 30% as compared to patients with body weights in the range of 65–85 kg [29]. Upeti et al. [30] determined both plasma and urine levels of apixaban, as well as measured anti-Xa activity following administration of a single 10 mg dose of apixaban to 54 healthy volunteers (18 subjects with body weights of ≤ 50 kg, 18 subjects with body weights...
of 65–85 kg, and 19 subjects with body weights of ≥ 120 kg [120–175 kg] and BMI ≥ 30 kg/m², indicative of obesity). Maximum blood levels of the drug and areas under the concentration vs. time curve (AUC_{0-\infty}) were found to be respectively 31% (90% CI 18–41%) and 23% (90% CI 9–35%) lower in obese patients. The time to maximum concentration of apixaban in the group of obese patients was similar to that in the reference group and amounted to 3.90 h (1.00–6.00). In conclusion, the authors do not recommend any adjustments of apixaban dose depending on body weight [30, 31]. In a clinical study, Pandit et al. [31] found that apixaban is more effective than low molecular weight heparin in obese patients (OR 0.54, p = 0.01) with the lower dose of dabigatran (150 mg) being less effective (OR 1.81, p = 0.02).

Conclusions

Studies on clinical use of anticoagulants provide no basis for any adjustment of doses in obese patients as compared to patients with normal body weight [10, 16]. In our opinion, however, further studies are required in this specific population. Therefore, an increased caution should be exercised when using these drugs, particularly in morbidly obese patients.

Importantly, data on the use of anticoagulants in patients after various types of bariatric surgery are based on individual case reports only, and therefore, special attention should be paid when using oral anticoagulants, particularly after surgeries interfering with food absorption, until appropriate guidelines are established. Since no methods of new oral anticoagulants monitoring are widely available, bariatric surgery may affect new oral anticoagulants absorption and clinical experience in this population is highly limited; so we prefer anticoagulation with INR adjusted vitamin K antagonists in this group.
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