

EDITORIAL

Cardiology Journal 2022, Vol. 29, No. 4, 537–539 DOI: 10.5603/CJ.2022.0062 Copyright © 2022 Via Medica ISSN 1897–5593 eISSN 1898–018X

Does ticagrelor effectively inhibit platelets in patients undergoing mild therapeutic hypothermia or it does not?

Jacek Kubica®

Department of Cardiology and Internal Medicine, Collegium Medicum, Nicolaus Copernicus University, Bydgoszcz, Poland

Out-of-hospital cardiac arrest (OHCA) is a common complication of acute myocardial infarction (MI) [1–9]. The results of studies on the impact of mild therapeutic hypothermia (MTH) in OHCA survivors are inconclusive [10–14]. Some of them confirm the efficacy of this method of treatment [11–13], while others, on the contrary, suggest the lack of superiority of MTH over temperature control in this subset of patients [14]. Therefore, the ques-



tion "Mild therapeutic hypothermia after out-of--hospital cardiac arrest: What does really matter?" asked by Ratajczak is justified indeed [15].

Several factors, including patient age, baseline heart rhythm and neurological status, presence of cardiogenic shock, duration and effectiveness of cardiopulmonary resuscitation and hypothermia induction time were shown to influence clinical outcome in patients after OHCA treated with MTH [9, 15–20]. In patients additionally undergoing primary percutaneous coronary intervention (pPCI) due to MI, effective platelet inhibition may also have a relevant impact on clinical outcome due to increased risk of stent thrombosis in this subset of patients [21–32].

Recently, two pharmacokinetic studies with seemingly contradictory conclusions appeared in the "Cardiology Journal". First, Tomala et al. [33] confirms the effectiveness of ticagrelor to inhibit platelets in MI patients after OHCA treated with pPCI undergoing hypothermia. The other, by Umińska et al. [34], states that the antiplatelet effect of ticagrelor is attenuated and delayed in MI patients undergoing MTH and pPCI due to OHCA, in comparison with patients treated with pPCI for uncomplicated MI. As stressed in the first publication, in this clinical setting ticagrelor should be the drug of choice before clopidogrel due to its better absorption, faster metabolism, quicker onset of action, and its lack of requirement for meta-

bolic activation [33]. However, in the previously published study [25], impaired bioavailability of ticagrelor and delayed maximal plasma concentration of the drug in patients undergoing MTH and pPCI due to OHCA were demonstrated. Impaired gastrointestinal absorption of ticagrelor in critically ill patients was suggested to be responsible for this finding. Moreover, the presence of different active metabolite (AR-C124910XX) formation rates in comparison with patients treated with primary PCI for uncomplicated MI, suggests diversity in ticagrelor metabolism and/or elimination in different subpopulations [25]. These results are in line with the second research by Umińska et al. [34], reporting significantly higher platelet reactivity in patients undergoing MTH, starting from the first hour up to 24 hours after ticagrelor loading dose administration. The greatest differences between patients undergoing MTH after OHCA and those with uncomplicated MI were observed between 2 and 12 hours after loading with ticagrelor. After

Address for correspondence: Prof. Jacek Kubica, Department of Cardiology and Internal Medicine, Collegium Medicum, Nicolaus Copernicus University, ul. M. Skłodowskiej-Curie 9, 85–094 Bydgoszcz, Poland, e-mail: jkubica@cm.umk.pl

Received: 20.04.2022 Accepted: 13.05.2022

This article is available in open access under Creative Common Attribution-Non-Commercial-No Derivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) license, allowing to download articles and share them with others as long as they credit the authors and the publisher, but without permission to change them in any way or use them commercially.

24 hours, effective platelet inhibition was found in all patients in both groups, however the difference in platelet reactivity between groups persisted [34]. Tomala et al. [32] reported similar findings for a time range of 12–24 hours, however in this team's observation the difference disappeared 48–72 hours after loading. This finding led the authors to a conclusion quite different from one reached by Tomala et al. [33] and Umińska et al. [34]. The analysis of the methods and results of both studies shows, however, that despite different conclusions, both studies are consistent, and moreover, they complement each other.

In conclusion, indeed the differences in antiplatelet efficacy of ticagrelor, initially clearly marked up to 12 hours after the loading dose, gradually decreased until disappearing completely by 24 hours.

Conflict of interest: Jacek Kubica: speaker fee from AstraZeneca.

References

- Szczerbinski S, Ratajczak J, Lach P, et al. Epidemiology and chronobiology of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest in a subpopulation of southern Poland: A two-year observation. Cardiol J. 2020; 27(1): 16–24, doi: 10.5603/CJ.a2018.0025, indexed in Pubmed: 29611174.
- Szczerbiński S, Ratajczak J, Jasiewicz M, et al. Observational analysiS of out-of-hospital Cardiac Arrest occurRence and temporal variability patterns in subpopulation of southern POLand from 2006 to 2018: OSCAR-POL registry. Cardiol J. 2021 [Epub ahead of print], doi: 10.5603/CJ.a2021.0060, indexed in Pubmed: 34312830.
- Berdowski J, Berg RA, Tijssen JGP, et al. Global incidences of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest and survival rates: Systematic review of 67 prospective studies. Resuscitation. 2010; 81(11): 1479–1487, doi: 10.1016/j.resuscitation.2010.08.006, indexed in Pubmed: 20828914.
- Nadolny K, Bujak K, Kucap M, et al. The Silesian Registry of Out-of-Hospital Cardiac Arrest: Study design and results of a three-month pilot study. Cardiol J. 2020; 27(5): 566–574, doi: 10.5603/CJ.a2018.0140, indexed in Pubmed: 30444257.
- Borkowska MJ, Smereka J, Safiejko K, et al. Out-of-hospital cardiac arrest treated by emergency medical service teams during COVID-19 pandemic: A retrospective cohort study. Cardiol J. 2021; 28(1): 15–22, doi: 10.5603/CJ.a2020.0135, indexed in Pubmed: 33140396.
- Szczerbiński S. Observational, retrospective analysis of the circadian variability of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest within days of the week. Med Res J. 2020, doi: 10.5603/mrj.a2020.0020.
- Nadolny K, Szczerbiński S, Ładny J, et al. Out-of-hospital cardiac arrest and COVID-19 pandemic. Med Res J. 2021; 6(2): 83–85, doi: 10.5603/mrj.2021.0029.
- 8. Ratajczak J, Łach P, Szczerbiński S, et al. Atmospheric conditions and the occurrence of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest in Poland —

preliminary analysis of poorly understood phenomena. Med Res J. 2018; 3(3): 121–126, doi: 10.5603/mrj.a2018.0019.

- Kołtowski Ł, Średniawa B, Tycińska A, et al. Predicting survival in out-of-hospital cardiac arrest patients undergoing targeted temperature management: The Polish Hypothermia Registry Risk Score. Cardiol J. 2021; 28(1): 95–100, doi: 10.5603/ CJ.a2019.0035, indexed in Pubmed: 30994183.
- Freund B, Kaplan PW. A review of the utility of a hypothermia protocol in cardiac arrests due to non-shockable rhythms. Cardiol J. 2017; 24(3): 324–333, doi: 10.5603/CJ.a2017.0016, indexed in Pubmed: 28150290.
- Bernard SA, Gray TW, Buist MD, et al. Treatment of comatose survivors of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest with induced hypothermia. N Engl J Med. 2002; 346(8): 557–563, doi: 10.1056/ NEJMoa003289, indexed in Pubmed: 11856794.
- Hypothermia after Cardiac Arrest Study Group. Mild therapeutic hypothermia to improve the neurologic outcome after cardiac arrest. N Engl J Med. 2002; 346(8): 549–556, doi: 10.1056/NEJ-Moa012689, indexed in Pubmed: 11856793.
- Kozinski M, Pstragowski K, Kubica JM, et al. ACS networkbased implementation of therapeutic hypothermia for the treatment of comatose out-of-hospital cardiac arrest survivors improves clinical outcomes: the first European experience. Scand J Trauma Resusc Emerg Med. 2013; 21: 22, doi: 10.1186/1757-7241-21-22, indexed in Pubmed: 23531402.
- Nielsen N, Wetterslev J, Cronberg T, et al. Targeted temperature management at 33°C versus 36°C after cardiac arrest. N Engl J Med. 2013; 369(23): 2197–2206, doi: 10.1056/nejmoa1310519, indexed in Pubmed: 24237006.
- Ratajczak J, Łach P, Umińska JM, et al. Mild therapeutic hypothermia after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: What does really matter? Cardiol J. 2021; 28(2): 293–301, doi: 10.5603/ CJ.a2019.0023, indexed in Pubmed: 30799547.
- Kubica J, Pstrągowski K, Adamski P, et al. Mild therapeutic hypothermia for patients with acute coronary syndrome and cardiac arrest treated with percutaneous coronary intervention (UNICORN). The design and rationale for the prospective, observational, multicenter study. Med Res J. 2016; 1(1): 23–27, doi: 10.5603/mrj.2016.0004.
- Pstrągowski K, Koziński M, Jabłoński M, et al. Optymalizacja postępowania w nagłym pozaszpitalnym zatrzymaniu krążenia w przebiegu ostrego zawału serca — opis przypadku i przegląd piśmiennictwa. Folia Cardiologica. 2011; 6: 27–276.
- Umińska JM, Buszko K, Ratajczak J, et al. Comparison of temperature measurements in esophagus and urinary bladder in comatose patients after cardiac arrest undergoing mild therapeutic hypothermia. Cardiol J. 2020; 27(6): 735–741, doi: 10.5603/ CJ.a2018.0115, indexed in Pubmed: 30246234.
- Klimczuk T, Kubica J, Kasprzak M, et al. Łagodna hipotermia terapeutyczna po nagłym zatrzymaniu krążenia w przebiegu ostrego zespołu wieńcowego – doświadczenia z wdrażania metody. Folia Cardiologica. 2015; 10(1): 19–24, doi: 10.5603/fc.2015.0005.
- Kubica A. Rationale of cardiopulmonary resuscitation training as an element of multilevel educational and motivational project (MEDMOTION). Disaster Emerg Med J. 2020, doi: 10.5603/ demj.a2020.0017.
- Umińska J, Koziński M, Pstrągowski K, et al. Platelet reactivity during mild therapeutic hypothermia in patients with acute myocardial infarction treated with ticagrelor: study protocol of a single-centre study. Med Res J. 2017; 1(4): 115–119, doi: 10.5603/mrj.2016.0021.

Jacek Kubica, The effect of ticagrelor during hypothermia

- 22. Kubica J, Adamski P, Paciorek P, et al. Treatment of patients with acute coronary syndrome: Recommendations for medical emergency teams: Focus on antiplatelet therapies. Updated experts' standpoint. Cardiol J. 2018; 25(3): 291–300, doi: 10.5603/ CJ.a2018.0042, indexed in Pubmed: 29671864.
- Kubica J. The optimal antiplatelet treatment in an emergency setting. Folia Medica Copernicana. 2014; 2(3): 73–76.
- Trąbka-Zawicki A, Tomala M, Zeliaś A, et al. Adaptation of global hemostasis to therapeutic hypothermia in patients with out-ofhospital cardiac arrest: Thromboelastography study. Cardiol J. 2019; 26(1): 77–86, doi: 10.5603/CJ.a2017.0080, indexed in Pubmed: 28695976.
- Umińska JM, Ratajczak J, Buszko K, et al. Impact of mild therapeutic hypothermia on bioavailability of ticagrelor in patients with acute myocardial infarction after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. Cardiol J. 2020; 27(6): 780–788, doi: 10.5603/CJ.a2019.0024, indexed in Pubmed: 30799546.
- Ibrahim K, Christoph M, Schmeinck S, et al. High rates of prasugrel and ticagrelor non-responder in patients treated with therapeutic hypothermia after cardiac arrest. Resuscitation. 2014; 85(5): 649–656, doi: 10.1016/j.resuscitation.2014.02.004, indexed in Pubmed: 24555950.
- Kander T, Dankiewicz J, Friberg H, et al. Platelet aggregation and clot formation in comatose survivors of cardiac arrest treated with induced hypothermia and dual platelet inhibition with aspirin and ticagrelor; a prospective observational study. Crit Care. 2014; 18(5): 495, doi: 10.1186/s13054-014-0495-z, indexed in Pubmed: 25292183.
- Schoergenhofer C, Hobl EL, Staudinger T, et al. Prasugrel in critically ill patients. Thromb Haemost. 2017; 117(8): 1582–1587, doi: 10.1160/TH17-03-0154, indexed in Pubmed: 28692105.

- Liu Y, Kang S, Li X, et al. Modified ticagrelor loading doses according to the vasodilator-stimulated phosphoprotein phosphorylation index improve the clinical outcome in ST-elevation myocardial infarction patients with high on-treatment platelet reactivity. Cardiol J. 2021 [Epub ahead of print], doi: 10.5603/ CJ.a2021.0105, indexed in Pubmed: 34581430.
- Niezgoda P, Barańska M, Adamski P, et al. Influence of METHoxyflurane on ANtiplatelet Effect of ticagrelor in patients with unstable angina pectoris: Rationale and a protocol of a randomized clinical METHANE-SIRIO 4 study. Cardiol J. 2022; 29(2): 324– -328, doi: 10.5603/CJ.a2021.0126, indexed in Pubmed: 34642919.
- Kubica J, Adamski P, Gorog DA, et al. Low-dose ticagrelor with or without acetylsalicylic acid in patients with acute coronary syndrome: Rationale and design of the ELECTRA-SIRIO 2 trial. Cardiol J. 2022; 29(1): 148–153, doi: 10.5603/CJ.a2021.0118, indexed in Pubmed: 34622433.
- Penela D, Magaldi M, Fontanals J, et al. Hypothermia in acute coronary syndrome: brain salvage versus stent thrombosis? J Am Coll Cardiol. 2013; 61(6): 686–687, doi: 10.1016/j. jacc.2012.10.029, indexed in Pubmed: 23265329.
- Tomala MT, Trąbka-Zawicki A, Machnik A, et al. Ticagrelor effectively inhibits platelet aggregation in comatose survivors of cardiac arrest undergoing primary percutaneous coronary intervention treated with mild therapeutic hypothermia. Cardiol J. 2021 [Epub ahead of print], doi: 10.5603/CJ.a2021.0064, indexed in Pubmed: 34165181.
- Umińska JM, Ratajczak J, Pstragowski K, et al. The impact of mild therapeutic hypothermia on platelet reactivity in comatose survivors of cardiac arrest with acute myocardial infarction treated with ticagrelor. Cardiol J. 2022 [Epub ahead of print], doi: 10.5603/CJ.a2022.0029, indexed in Pubmed: 35514087.