Expert opinion on the creating and operating of the regional Pulmonary Embolism Response Teams (PERT). Polish PERT Initiative

Aleksander Araszkiewicz¹, Marcin Kurzyna², Grzegorz Kopec³, Marek Roik⁴, Szymon Darocha⁵, Arkadiusz Pietrasik⁶, Mateusz Puślecki⁶, Andrzej Biederman⁷, Roman Przybyszki⁸, Jakub Stępniowski⁹, Michał Furda³⁴, Tatiana Mularek-Kubzdela¹, Piotr Pruszczyk⁴, Adam Torbicki²

¹1st Department of Cardiology, Poznan University of Medical Sciences, Poznan, Poland
²Department of Pulmonary Circulation, Thromboembolic Diseases and Cardiology, European Health Center Otwock, Medical Center for Postgraduate Education, Otwock, Poland
³Department of Cardiac and Vascular Diseases, Jagiellonian University Medical College, John Paul II Hospital, Krakow, Poland
⁴Department of Internal Medicine and Cardiology, Medical University of Warsaw, Poland
⁵Department and Faculty of Cardiology, Medical University of Warsaw, Poland
⁶Department of Medical Rescue and Department of Cardiac Surgery and Transplantology, Poznan University of Medical Sciences, Poznan, Poland
⁷Department of Cardiac Surgery, Medicover Hospital, Warsaw, Poland
⁸Department of Cardiovascular Surgery and Transplantology, John Paul II Hospital, Krakow, Poland
⁹Department of Cardiology, Provincial Specialist Hospital, Wroclaw, Poland

Abstract

Pulmonary Embolism Response Team (PERT) is a multidisciplinary team established to stratify risk and choose optimal treatment in patients with acute pulmonary embolism (PE). Established for the first time at Massachusetts General Hospital in 2013, PERT is based on a concept combining a Rapid Response Team and a Heart Team. The growing role of PERTs in making individual therapeutic decisions is identified, especially in hemodynamically unstable patients with contraindications to thrombolysis or with co-morbidities, as well as in patients with intermediate-high risk in whom a therapeutic decision may be difficult. The purpose of this document is to define the standards of PERT under Polish conditions, based on the experience of teams already operating in Poland, which formed an agreement called the Polish PERT Initiative. The goals of Polish PERT Initiative are: improving the treatment of patients with PE at local, regional and national levels, gathering, assessing and sharing data on the effectiveness of PE treatment (including various types of catheter-directed therapy), education on optimal treatment of PE, creating expert documents and supporting scientific research, as well as cooperation with other communities and scientific societies. (Cardiol J 2019; 26, 6: 623–632)
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Introduction. PERT definition. Polish PERT Initiative

Acute pulmonary embolism (PE) is one of the most common diseases of the cardiovascular system. It is estimated that PE occurs at a frequency of 39–115/100,000 population/year and causes over 400,000 deaths in Europe every year [1–3]. It is the third most frequent vascular disease, after myocardial infarction and stroke [1, 2]. The clinical presentation of PE is heterogenous: from mild impairment of exercise tolerance (low-risk PE), through severe dyspnoe with symptoms of right ventricular overload (intermediate-risk PE) to hemodynamic collapse, “obstructive” shock and death (high-risk patients) most often related to acute insufficiency of the right ventricle (RV) and respiratory failure [1–3]. Although most patients with PE can be successfully treated with anticoagulants, hemodynamically unstable patients require urgent systemic thrombolysis (ST). Thrombolytic therapy, however, has significant limitations. First of all, it is associated with a significant increase in the risk of major bleeding (13%), including up to 3% of patients treated with ST, who have dangerous intracranial bleeding, mainly cerebral hemorrhagic stroke [4]. In the group of patients of the ZATPOL — Polish national prospective registry, it has been shown that the occurrence of major bleeding in acute PE significantly worsened the prognosis [5]. In high-risk (hemodynamically unstable) patients, the clinical benefit of thrombolysis exceeds the risk of bleeding, but in intermediate-risk patients the potential clinical benefit of ST does not balance the risk of major bleeding and does not reduce mortality [6]. On the other hand, about 5–10% of patients who are initially hemodynamically stable may experience a sudden and unexpected clinical deterioration [7]. According to the guidelines of the European Society of Cardiology, in the case of contraindications to thrombolysis or its failure, surgical embolectomy is recommended [3]. However, a severe preoperative condition and high incidence of comorbidities, as well as limited availability of cardiac surgery result in high mortality in this group. Furthermore, it has been shown that thrombolytic therapy is often not used in high-risk patients, even though there are no contraindications [8]. Moreover, in intermediate-high-risk patients, the decision on thrombolysis or surgical therapy is often taken too late, and hemodynamic deterioration in this group of patients is associated with high mortality [9]. Consequently, new techniques for transcatheter invasive treatment of PE (catheter-directed therapy [CDT]) have been developed, which can remove thrombi from the pulmonary arteries without the additional risks posed by systemic thrombolysis or cardiac surgery. In the last decade, many new devices and techniques have been proposed for transcatheter treatment of PE [10]. There is also a growing number of scientific data from clinical observational studies and registries confirming the clinical effectiveness of interventional treatment with a reduction in the number of patients with significant bleeding. However, only few randomized trials comparing CDT with standard anticoagulant and thrombolytic therapy are available in the literature [11, 12]. Nonetheless, quick and correct diagnosis, proper risk stratification and selection of optimal therapy from a constantly growing pharmacological and intervention armamentarium, seems to be crucial in patients with acute PE.

In 2013, in Massachusetts General Hospital in Boston (United States), the world’s first multi-specialist team was created for quick consultation and selection of the therapy in patients with PE [13]. This team was named the Pulmonary Embolism Response Team (PERT). The PERT concept was based on a combination of two other proven clinical practice models: The Heart Team and the Rapid Response Team [14, 15]. The growing role of PERTs in making individual therapeutic decisions is pointed out, especially in hemodynamically unstable patients with contraindications to thrombolysis (e.g. with active bleeding shortly after surgery) or with significant co-morbidities (including cancers), as well as in patients with intermediate-high risk patients in whom this treatment may accelerate clinical improvement and improve prognosis [13–15]. Treatment methods should also include various methods of CDT as an alternative to ST and surgical embolectomy. The current guidelines of the European Society of Cardiology recommended set-up (class recommendations IIa/level of evidence C) of in-hospital PERTs adapted to local resources and access to specialists [3].

The purpose of this document is to define the standards of PERT under Polish conditions, based on the experience of teams already operating in Poland, which was formed in April 2019 an agreement called the Polish PERT Initiative (PPI). The goals of PPI are improving the treatment of patients with PE at local, regional and national levels, involving gathering, assessing and sharing of data on the effectiveness of PE treatment (including various types of CDT), disseminating the knowl-
edge about optimal treatment of PE, optimizing financing of procedures in this area, creating expert documents and supporting scientific research, as well as cooperating with other communities and scientific societies.

**PERT models, interdisciplinary cooperation, minimal organizational and institutional framework**

A clear organization model of PERT has yet to be defined. The world’s first PERT from Massachusetts General Hospital involved specialists from various fields, serving for immediate consultation and selection of therapy in patients with PE [16]. The first PERT served as a model for other medical centers and soon these centers combined their experience within the National PERT Consortium [17]. The data presented by the PERT Consortium shows a vast diversity in the organization of teams and the patient population they are dealing with [18, 19]. The essence of PERT’s activity is to coordinate the diagnostic and therapeutic process of patients with PE of severe or atypical course by choosing the optimal management strategy based on the expert knowledge of a multidisciplinary team of specialists of whom it is comprised.

The PERT coordinator should be continuously available by phone (24/7) on a dedicated phone number and after accepting the application must be able to organize quick consultations (< 30 min) with relevant specialists. These consultations may be a teleconference, during which all participants have access to a patient’s medical data and imaging tests. The PERT should include specialists with practical experience in the treatment of acute PE using various methods, as well as experts to assist in case of complications or the presence of comorbidities that require modification of standard methods of acute PE. Among the physicians directly involved in the process of treating a patient upon PERT care there are usually specialists who have experience in the field of intensive cardiac therapy, echocardiography and interventional cardiology, as well as cardiac surgeons, specialists in emergency medicine, anesthesiologists and radiologists, including interventional radiologists. The second group of specialists whose consultations may be necessary in selected cases should include neurologists, neurosurgeons, oncologists, vascular surgeons, hematologists and specialists in lung disease. It seems that the specialists listed in the first group should constitute a permanent PERT team. However, the final composition of PERT varies between centers and depends on resources and experience. The most important tasks of PERT in the acute phase of PE include choosing optimal pharmacotherapy (including determining indications or contraindications for thrombolysis), interventional treatment (thrombus fragmentation, CDT, venous filter implantation) or cardiac surgery (pulmonary embolectomy). For those reasons, the ideal organizational solution is to create PERT in hospitals with all available treatment options in one location. If PERT is located in a hospital without a cardiac surgery department, it should have the formal cooperation with a cardiac surgery center ensuring the possibility of immediate transfer of patients for further treatment. Optimally, every PERT should have access to treatment with extra corporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO) device, which is the equipment of cardiac surgery departments in Poland. In addition to the acute phase of PE treatment, the role of PERT may be to support the optimization of management in subsequent months, including determining the method and duration of chronic anticoagulation, possible implantation of the inferior vena cava filter and patient monitoring for the occurrence of chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension (CTEPH).

**Risk stratification in PE, qualification to treatment**

Hemodynamically unstable patients, i.e. with systemic hypotension, in shock, requiring infusion of catecholamines or cardiopulmonary resuscitation constitute a group of patients with high risk of early death with early mortality exceeding 15% and contain about 5% of patients with PE. Hypotonia is defined as systolic blood pressure < 90 mmHg or a decrease in systolic blood pressure of at least 40 mmHg for > 15 min if it is not associated with new arrhythmias, hypovolemia or sepsis. Confirmation of the diagnosis of high-risk PE is not only the finding of RV dysfunction in echocardiographic or tomographic assessment [3].

Patients with high-risk PE require immediate reperfusion treatment. Systemic thrombolysis in high-risk PE patients is recommended as class I (evidence level B), surgical embolectomy as class I recommendation (evidence level C), and catheter-directed therapy as class IIA recommendation (evidence level C) [3]. Among initially hemodynamically stable patients with the presence of RV overload and positive markers of myocardial overload, 5–10% will develop hemodynamic instability despite anticoagulation [6]. Therefore, patients...
Table 1. Bova score — risk stratification scale in medium-risk acute pulmonary embolism.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Systolic pressure 90–100 mmHg</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elevated troponin concentration (above the cutoff level)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right ventricle dysfunction:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Echocardiography: ≥ 1 of the following: RV/LV &gt; 0.9, sPAP &gt; 30 mmHg, RV diameter &gt; 30 mm, or RV hypokinesis;</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multidetector computed tomography: RV/LV &gt; 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tachycardia &gt; 110/min</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

≥ 5 points – 30-day risk:
- 42% complications (death of PE, decompensation, recurrence of acute PE);
- 10% mortality in PE

LV — left ventricle; PE — pulmonary embolism; RV — right ventricle; sPAP — systolic pulmonary artery pressure.

with intermediate-high-risk PE at an early stage of hospitalization require monitoring, preferably in an intensive care unit. In the case of hemodynamic instability, it is advisable to implement thrombolytic treatment (class I recommendation/evidence level B), for which the alternative is surgical embolectomy or CDT (class IIa recommendation/evidence level C) [3]. The Bova scale allows the identification of patients at risk of decompensation and death dependent on PE from among initially stable hemodynamically stable patients (Table 1) [20].

**Interventional therapy in PE**

At the beginning of transcatheter therapy for a patient with PE, a pulmonary artery angiography from the femoral or internal jugular vein should be performed initially using 5–7 F diameter pigtail catheters [21]. Pulmonary angiography enables visualization of thrombi not only in the main pulmonary, lobar or segmental arteries but even in smaller (subsegmental) vessels. During pulmonary angiography, hemodynamic measurements should be made in the right atrium and right ventricle, and the pulmonary trunk to assess the severity of PE and exclude the overlapping of acute PE on CTEPH. Mechanical reperfusion involves the introduction of a catheter into the pulmonary arteries from the femoral or internal jugular vein to remove thrombi and reduce pulmonary resistance, facilitate the return of RV function, improve the patient’s clinical condition and prognosis [22]. Percutaneous embolectomy involves a variety of methods, from mechanical thrombus fragmentation, to thrombus aspiration and a pharmacomechanical approach of mechanical or ultrasound-assisted thrombus fragmentation with local administration of reduced-dose thrombolysis (Table 2). Before the procedure, one should perform echocardiographic examination not only to assess RV function, but also to exclude thrombi in the right heart cavities and exclude thrombosis in the punctured femoral vein. In the published meta-analysis of Bajaj et al. [23], peri-procedural success, defined as hemodynamic stabilization, reduction of hypoxia and discharge from hospital, was achieved in 87% of patients treated with endovascular treatment. The first Polish experiences with transcatheter methods (AngioJet system, Indigo Penumbra aspiration thrombectomy, EKOS system and Cleaner system) have recently been published [24–29].

Another interventional technique supporting the treatment of acute PE is the implantation of vascular filters into the inferior vena cava. Venous filters protect the patient’s pulmonary arteries from subsequent embolism from deep veins of the lower extremities or the pelvic venous plexus. The results of PREPIC studies indicate that venous filters reduce the incidence of PE, while slightly increasing the incidence of venous thrombosis, without reducing overall mortality [30, 31]. In a recent analysis of the database of an American health fund, which included 16,950 patients with PE and concomitant cancer, a venous filter was used in 19% of patients. A reduction of mortality was demonstrated in a group of patients > 60 years of age in whom the filter was implanted, in relation to the group of conservatively treated patients [32]. Current European Society of Cardiology guidelines recommend the implantation of venous filters in patients with PE and absolute contraindications to anticoagulation or in patients
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transcatheter therapies with local thrombolysis</th>
<th>Transcatheter therapies without thrombolysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Method</strong></td>
<td><strong>Catheter/device</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rheolytic thrombectomy with local thrombolysis</td>
<td>AngioJet PE® catheter 6 F with the local thrombolysis application system (Boston Scientific, Minneapolis, MN USA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ultrasound assisted catheter-directed thrombolysis</td>
<td>EkoSonic® 5.2 F (EKOS, Boston Scientific, Minneapolis, MN, USA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thrombus fragmentation with local thrombolysis</td>
<td>Pigtail catheters (5–6 F) or balloon catheters (5–10 mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Method</strong></td>
<td><strong>Catheter/device</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rheolytic thrombectomy</td>
<td>6 F AngioJet PE® catheter (Boston Scientific, Minneapolis, MN, USA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspiration thrombectomy</td>
<td>Aspirex® 8 F, 10 F catheters (Straub Medical, Switzerland): AngioVac cannula — veno-venous bypass (26 F – 16–20 F access) (AngioDynamics, Latham, NY, USA): Continuous aspiration catheter Indigo® (Penumbra, Alameda, CA, USA): 8 F catheter connected to the suction pump Aspiration using vacuum (40–60 mL syringe) with guiding catheter (e.g. 8–9 F multi-purpose catheter)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanical thrombectomy</td>
<td>Flowtriever® (Inari Medical, Irvine, CA, USA): 20 F catheter and the device made by three self-expanding nitinol disks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thrombus fragmentation</td>
<td>Pigtail catheters (5–6 F) or balloon catheters (5–10 mm)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Methods of surgical treatment of PE. Application of ECMO

In high-risk PE, surgical pulmonary embolectomy should be used in patients with absolute contraindications to thrombolytic therapy or if it is ineffective and should be considered in selected patients at intermediate risk [3, 34, 35]. A separate group consists of patients with thrombi passing from the right atrium to the left side through the foramen ovale.

Pulmonary embolectomy can be performed in any center equipped with an extracorporeal circulation device. Under Polish conditions, mainly cardiac surgery centers with their personnel and equipment are accessible.

An alternative and attractive tool can also be mobile devices that are extracorporeal techniques (ECLS/ECMO), in which, by using quick access through peripheral vessels, it is possible to stabilize the patient in shock or hypotension. The prior mentioned devices for extracorporeal perfusion techniques are more and more often used in areas of modern intensive therapy of critical states.

In the Wielkopolska region, the PERT program was created in parallel with the program of univer-
Extracorporeal techniques with the use of ECMO in PE therapy with potential use in the treatment of PE are as follows:

1. Veno-arterial (VA) ECMO — as a partial RV bypass in cardiogenic shock with hypotension:
   - As part of extended cardiopulmonary resuscitation when PE is the cause of in-hospital cardiac arrest or out-hospital cardiac arrest;
   - As a bridge to surgical embolectomy or transcatheter therapy;
   - As RV support after surgical embolectomy in extracorporeal circulation;
   - As a support during parenteral heparin anticoagulation.

2. Veno-arteriovenous (VA-V) ECMO — incidentally in the treatment of shock with concomitant RV failure as a RV assist device.

3. Veno-venous (VV) ECMO — incidentally after pulmonary embolism therapy with concomitant refractory respiratory failure with hypoxia and hypercapnia.

4. Partial VV AngioVac system with the possibility of conversion to VA ECMO.

PERT: Proposed operating model

As PERT is modeled on a philosophy of rapid response, it is crucial to constitute a clear and sound operating protocol [13–16]. This protocol should accommodate PERT structure, activation pathways and operating mode. There are two basic elements of any PERT operating model. First of all, the PERT activation should be accessible via a commonly known telephone number. A dedicated on-call PERT consultant should be ready to answer a PERT activation call. The activation call may come from any healthcare provider in the region (district...
Aleksander Araszkiewicz et al., Opinion on creating of the regional PERT hospital, outpatient clinic, ambulance) networked with the PERT center in a hub-and-spoke model. The role of the PERT consultant is to collect necessary information on the patient consulted from a referring physician, including: clinical status and duration of symptoms, PE burden and hemodynamic significance, RV function and adverse outcome risk factors, comorbidities, contraindications to specific treatments like thrombolysis, surgical embolectomy or CDT. To facilitate the process of data collection, a standardized form should be in use (see the next paragraph). Depending on the PERT center institutional policies, structure and personnel resources, the PERT consultant may be an intentionally dedicated individual or any other on-call physician, who is capable of executing the PERT operating model upon activation.

The second key element of the PERT operating model is cooperation between PERT specialists. The on-call PERT specialists including, at least, an interventional cardiologist and cardiac surgeon who should be ready to enter cooperation upon a PERT consultant request. There are several modes of PERT specialist mobilization, among which a staged approach seems to be the most resource efficient and practical (Fig. 1). In contrast to activation of all PERT members up-front, in the staged model the PERT consultant initially contacts one on-call PERT specialist to determine whether reperfusion therapy is needed and discuss treatment options. If a decision is not possible, another PERT specialist(s) may be asked for an opinion. After reaching a final conclusion it is communicated back to the referring physician as a PERT therapeutic recommendation. The goal should be to complete this process within 30 min from activation.

If no reperfusion therapy is required at the time of consultation, the patient may continue anticoagulation treatment at the referring hospital. The referring physician should stay in touch with the PERT center in case a patient deteriorates. If, in contrast, reperfusion therapy is indicated at the site, systemic thrombolysis should primarily

Figure 2. Proposed therapeutic algorithm in acute pulmonary embolism for the use of Pulmonary Embolism Response Teams. A/C — anticoagulation; CDT — catheter-directed therapy; CTPA — computed tomography pulmonary angiography; ECMO — extracorporeal membrane oxygenation; Embol — surgical embolectomy; HR — heart rate; PE — pulmonary embolism; RV/LV — right-to-left ventricular diimeter index; SatO2 — arterial blood oxygen saturation; SBP — systolic blood pressure; ST — systemic thrombolysis; sPESI — simplified Pulmonary Embolism Severity Index; TTE — transthoracic echocardiography; *If ST is contraindicated or has failed; #Monitoring and observing period of the deterioration/improvement of the patient’s condition should be individualized depending on clinical conditions and should not exceed 6–12 hours to decide on intensification of treatment.
be considered, or if contraindications to ST are present, risk of major bleeding is high or surgical intervention is needed, the patient should be transferred to the PERT center. To support the decision-making process a therapeutic algorithm may be adapted (Fig. 2).

**Communication and data collection tools**

To promote rapid and efficient course of PERT consultations, it may be useful to formulate PERT activation and decision cards. These documents should i.e. contain referral center contact details, patient demographic data, duration of symptoms, distribution of thrombi in computed tomography, clinical status and risk of death defined by contemporary algorithms (e.g. Bova score), comorbidities, risk factors of venous thromboembolism, contraindications to thrombolysis and anticipated risk of bleeding complications. Said forms may then serve as a background for the PERT decision-making process. With the advent of modern technologies, it has become available to acquire PERT activation documents in the form of mobile applications (Fig. 3). Use of mobile technologies is expected to make the process of data collection easier and more universal and to facilitate sharing information between PERT specialists during consultations.

It is also desirable to record data on activations, operating modes, PERT decisions and patient follow-up in the form of a registry. A regular evaluation of accumulated data should provide insight in general PE quality measures such as mortality and morbidity, but also PERT — specific measures such as: time from activation to decision, time from decision to therapeutic anticoagulation or to reperfusion therapy, methods and effects of reperfusion treatment, PERT structure and activation modes, application of contemporary guidelines, etc. Such data may also help to improve knowledge on the role of PERT in acute PE care.
Conclusions

1. Organization of multidisciplinary PERT in reference centers for management of high- and intermediate-high risk PE is recommended depending on local resources and available expertise.

2. The most important tasks of PERT in the acute phase of PE include choosing optimal pharmacotherapy (including determining indications or contraindications for thrombolysis), interventional treatment (catheter-directed therapy, venous filter implantation) or cardiac surgery (pulmonary embolectomy).

3. It is crucial to constitute a clear and sound operating protocol. Such protocol should accommodate the PERT structure, activation pathways and operating mode.

4. A PERT coordinator should continuously be available by phone (24/7) on a dedicated phone number and after accepting the application must be able to organize a quick consultation (< 30 min) with relevant specialists.

5. Among the physicians directly involved in the process of treating a patient under PERT care there are usually specialists who have experience in the field of intensive cardiac therapy, echocardiography and interventional cardiology, as well as cardiac surgeons, specialists in emergency medicine, anesthesiologists and radiologists including interventional radiologists.

6. The second group of specialists whose consultations may be necessary in selected cases should include neurologists, neurosurgeons, oncologists, vascular surgeons, hematologists and specialists in lung diseases.

7. To promote rapid and efficient course of PERT consultations, it may be useful to formulate PERT activation and decision cards (if possible, in the form of mobile applications).

**PERTs operating in Poland**

- **Centrum Interwencyjnego Leczenia Zatorowości Płucnej (CELZAT):** Department and Faculty of Cardiology, Medical University of Warsaw; Banacha 1a, tel: 691 520 108; Department of Pulmonary Circulation, Thromboembolic Diseases and Cardiology European Health Center, Otwock, tel: 22 710 30 58
- **POZ-PERT — Pulmonary Embolism Response Team at Lord’s Transfiguration University Hospital, Poznan University of Medical Sciences, Poznań, tel: 608 574 375**
- **DJ-PERT — Pulmonary Embolism Response Team at the Infant Jesus University Hospital; Lindleya 4, Warsaw, Department of Internal Medicine and Cardiology, Medical University of Warsaw, Poland, tel: 507 121 347/507 121 367**
- **Pulmonary Embolism Response Team at the John Paul II Hospital in Krakow (PERT JPII, tel: 606 762 306**
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