Intra-ventricular thrombus resolution after anticoagulation therapy with rivaroxaban in patient with poor anticoagulation quality
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Intra-ventricular thrombus formation remains a serious complication after acute myocardial infarction (MI) despite early reperfusion therapy with primary percutaneous coronary intervention \cite{1}. Vitamin K antagonists (VKAs) have been established as the main anticoagulant treatment. Nevertheless, patients treated with VKAs need good anticoagulation control for optimum effect, avoiding bleeding and ischaemic events. Therefore, non-vitamin K oral anticoagulants (NOACs) emerged as effective drugs as VKAs, and safer but the effectiveness for a resolution of pre-existing intra-ventricular thrombus has, to date, not been analyzed. Herein described, for the first time, is a case of acute MI complicated by intra-ventricular thrombus with poor anticoagulation control with VKAs. It was successfully resolved under rivaroxaban 20 mg treatment.

A 43-year-old smoker male with type 2 diabetes undergoing treatment with metformin and good metabolic control was referred to the documented Emergency Department due to prolonged chest pain. The patient had no personal or family history of thromboembolic events. Electrocardiogram revealed sinus rhythm with ST-segment elevation in leads V2–V5 and chest radiography showed pulmonary congestion. The patient was diagnosed with ST-segment elevation MI complicated and was administered a loading dose of aspirin 250 mg and 600 mg of clopidogrel and underwent emergency cardiac catheterization. The coronary angiography showed a subtotal occlusion of medium left anterior descending coronary artery. Subsequent percutaneous coronary intervention was performed by placement of 2 drug eluting stents (Absorb 3.5 × 20 mm and 3 × 12 mm). Transthoracic echocardiography showed anteroseptal and apical akinesia with impaired ejection fraction (EF, 35%) and a thrombus in the apex of the left ventricle (Fig. 1). Magnetic cardiac resonance imaging (Fig. 1) confirmed the presence of two small thrombi in the apex. During the admission period, no clotting abnormalities were observed and platelet count was normal in daily blood tests. The patient was discharged receiving dual antiplatelet treatment, with aspirin 100 mg and clopidogrel 75 mg and oral anticoagulation treatment with acenocoumarol. After 2 months of anticoagulation, the patient showed only one international normalized ratio (INR) value within the therapeutic range (INR 2.0–3.0), with time in therapeutic range assessed by Rosendaal method of 0% and 12.5% by direct method (Fig. 1). Frequent visits to the anticoagulation clinic were increased and therapeutic adherence was assessed to improve the quality of anticoagulation. Unfortunately, the transthoracic echocardiography confirmed the presence of thrombi in apex, even with an improvement of systolic function (EF 45%) (Fig. 1). Because the patient had high SAMe-TT\textsubscript{2}R\textsubscript{2} score that predicts poor anticoagulation control under VKA therapy, rivaroxaban 20 mg once a day was initiated as anticoagulant therapy, maintaining dual antiplatelet therapy with aspirin and clopidogrel. After 3 months of anticoagulation with rivaroxaban,
a new echocardiography confirmed the resolution of left ventricular thrombus. The patient presented no major bleeding or thromboembolic events during treatment with rivaroxaban after 18 months of follow-up.

Presented herein, for the first time, records the use of rivaroxaban 20 mg as a treatment of ventricular thrombus after MI in a patient with poor anticoagulation quality with VKA. Recent studies have reported an incidence of left ventricular thrombus from 2.5% to 15%, up to 23.5% in anterior MI and embolization of left ventricular thrombi has been reported in up to 20% of patients [1]. Clinical guidelines recommend anticoagulation with VKA in addition to dual platelet when MI complicated by a ventricular thrombus to avoid thromboembolic events [2]. Unfortunately, triple therapy (dual antiplatelet treatment plus anticoagulation treatment) increases bleeding risk by more than double than with only dual antiplatelet therapy [3]. VKAs have shown to be highly effective for stroke prevention but the effectiveness is dependent on
the quality of anticoagulation control. VKA therapy has many shortcomings that include routine monitoring to achieve its narrow therapeutic index (INR 2.0–3.0), bleeding complications, drug interactions and a slow onset of action [3, 4]. Therapeutic effect with VKAs has a high inter and intra-patient variability and quality of anticoagulation control is the result of a dynamic process with the potential for rapid and long term variation within the individual patient in relation to compliance or intercurrent disease. Time in therapeutic range (TTR) is a recognized measure of quality of anticoagulation treatment, calculated by Rosendaal or by proportion of INR values in range (PINRR). Clinical guidelines recommend TTR > 70% to achieve high quality of anticoagulation treatment. Patients with poor anticoagulation control are at risk of both bleeding and ischaemic events. Moreover, during the first 30 days of VKA treatment, there was a 71% increased risk of ischemic stroke when compared with no use of any antithrombotic therapy, being highest in the first weeks due to INR fluctuations and suboptimal TTR in the inception phase. Besides the above, there is increasing evidence that VKAs have poor capability to resolve large intracardiac thrombi [4]. To ensure high quality of anticoagulation control, many visits to the anticoagulation clinics and several dose adjustments are necessary and practical barriers such as long distance or sociodemographic characteristics of the patients could affect the adherence to VKA therapy [5]. Another reason may be access to a health care system and the routine follow-up of patients by specialists/cardiologist involved in the anticoagulation control. Other factors that could affect the adherence to anticoagulation therapy depend on clinical and demographic characteristics of patients: elderly, fragility, polypharmacy and comorbidities such as diabetes, kidney or liver disease and intercurrent hospitalizations during the oral anticoagulants (OAC) therapy [6]. Alternatively, the NOACs have shown at least as effective as VKAs for thromboembolism prevention with fewer intracranial haemorrhages and less drug and food interactions [7], with predictable effects. In this context, the SAMe-TT<sub>R</sub><sub>R</sub> score (sex female, age < 60, medical history [more than two comorbidities], treatment [drugs for rhythm control], tobacco use [doubled], race [doubled]) arises as a simple clinical score to help clinicians decide if a patient is likely to do well (score 0–2) or not (≥ 2) with VKA [8, 9]. This score may predict patients with poor INR control who require additional interventions to achieve acceptable anticoagulation control with VKAs or perhaps the best initial anticoagulation treatment is direct OAC to avoid the thromboembolic risk of INR fluctuations of the inception phase of trial of VKA [10]. The present patient had SAMe-TT<sub>R</sub><sub>R</sub> = 4 [age < 60: 1 point, diabetes and MI with congestive heart failure: both 1 point and a smoking habit: 2 points] so it was expected the patient would have poor control with VKA. Therefore, in this patient, NOACs seem to be more effective, stable and safe alternative to VKAs for anticoagulation treatment, although the effect on ventricular thrombus of NOACs has scarcely been explored.

Rivaroxaban, an oral direct inhibitor of factor Xa, has performed at least as effective as VKAs in stroke prevention in atrial fibrillation patients with a better safety profile due the lower rates of intracranial hemorrhage (ROCKET-AF) [11]. Indeed, rivaroxaban is effective and safe for the prevention of venous thromboembolism after major orthopedic surgery [12], in the treatment of acute deep-vein thrombosis and pulmonary embolism and acute coronary syndromes [13]. However, no randomized controlled trials have been performed to analyze the role of rivaroxaban to resolve large and organized intracardiac thrombi. X-TRA (Xarelto–ThRombus Accelerated resolution) was the first prospective study to investigate rivaroxaban treatment over 6 weeks for left atrial thrombus resolution in 60 patients with atrial fibrillation [14]. In addition, a retrospective registry (CLOT-AP) analyzed the thrombus resolution rate and rivaroxaban had a rate of 62.5% [15]. Makrides et al. [16] explored the effect of rivaroxaban on ventricular thrombus but at low dose (15 mg). However, no large studies have shown the role of rivaroxaban in organized left ventricular thrombi resolution with a complete dose (20 mg). Despite this, rivaroxaban 20 mg was selected because the NOAC has more studies in the resolution of cardiac thrombi (clinical cases, X-TRA and CLOT-AP) and one daily dose could guarantee better adherence in young patients.

When physicians decide to initiate oral anticoagulation with VKAs, the quality of anticoagulation should be closely controlled while trying to achieve high TTR. However, if patients have poor anticoagulation quality (such as in this case report) despite clinical interventions to improve TTR, should be changed to NOACs. In this case report, presented for the first time, was a new method of management of rivaroxaban 20 mg as a safe and effective alternative to VKAs for ventricular thrombus resolution in a patient with poor anticoagulation control with VKAs. Thus, triple therapy with NOACs may be
a new safe and effective treatment for resolution of ventricular thrombus in patients with acute coronary syndrome, especially in those patients who predict poor control with VKA.
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