VIA MEDICA

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

DOI: 10.5603/cj.95922 Copyright © 2025 Via Medica ISSN 1897–5593 eISSN 1898–018X

Factors influencing Systemic Coronary Risk Estimation 2 (SCORE2)

Katarzyna Gryglewska-Wawrzak¹, Maciej Banach¹⁻³, Agata Sakowicz⁴, Bożena Sosnowska², Weronika Adach², Agata Bielecka-Dąbrowa^{1, 2}

¹Department of Cardiology and Congenital Diseases of Adults, Polish Mother's Memorial Hospital Research Institute (PMMHRI), Lodz, Poland

²Department of Preventive Cardiology and Lipidology, Medical University of Lodz, Poland ³Cardiovascular Research Center, University of Zielona Gora, Poland

⁴Department of Medical Biotechnology, Medical University of Lodz, Poland

Abstract

Background: This study aimed to identify factors associated with the 10-year risk of fatal and nonfatal cardiovascular disease (CVD) events in apparently healthy individuals aged 40–69 years. **Methods:** 148 patients without established CVD were divided into low-risk (70 patients) and high-risk (78 patients) groups based on their CVD risk in SCORE2.

Results: High-risk patients presented with higher left atrial volume index (LAVI) (p = 0.003), left ventricular mass index (LVMI) (p < 0.001), and ratio of peak velocity of early diastolic transmitral flow to peak velocity of early diastolic mitral annular motion (E/E') (p < 0.001) but lower oxygen uptake at anaerobic threshold (VO₂AT) (p = 0.02) and maximal oxygen uptake (VO_{2max}) (p = 0.008), compared to their counterparts. High-risk patients also had higher values of high-sensitivity cardiac troponin T (hs-cTnT) (p < 0.001) and N-terminal prohormone of brain natriuretic peptide (NT-proBNP) (p < 0.001), and lower level of glomerular filtration rate (GFR) (p < 0.001). In a multiple logistic regression model, E/E' > 6.75 cm/s (OR 3.9, 95% CI: 1.5-10.3; p = 0.004) and hs-cTnT > 4.8 pg/mL (OR 6.02, 95% CI: 2.3-15.8; p < 0.001) were independently associated with high and very high CVD risk. SCORE2 (%) correlated positively with metabolic age (R Spearman = 0.79; p < 0.001), hs-cTnT (R = 0.6; p < 0.001), and NT-proBNP (R = 0.5; p < 0.001) and negatively with GFR (R = -0.5; p < 0.001) and VO_{2max} (mL/min/kg) (R = -0.3; p < 0.001).

Conclusions: Elevated E/E' and higher hs-cTnT level independently predict high and very high risk in SCORE2. The increasing 10-year cardiovascular disease risk correlates with higher metabolic age, higher levels of NT-proBNP and hs-cTnT, and lower level of GFR.

Keywords: SCORE2, CVD risk, coronary artery disease, atherosclerosis, spiroergometry

Introduction

Cardiovascular disease (CVD) continues to be one of the leading causes of morbidity and mortality worldwide, making accurate and early risk assessment crucial for effective prevention and management [1, 2]. In this pursuit, medical researchers and experts have developed a groundbreaking tool known as Systematic Coronary Risk Estimation 2 (SCORE2) [3]. This innovative approach to cardiovascular risk assessment is poised to revolutionize the way we evaluate an individual's risk

Address for correspondence: Katarzyna Gryglewska-Wawrzak, MD, Department of Cardiology and Congenital Diseases of Adults, Polish Mother's Memorial Hospital Research Institute (PMMHRI), 281/289 Rzgowska St., 93–338 Lodz, Poland, tel: + 48 42 271 15 97, e-mail: gryglewskak@gmail.com

Date submitted: 7.06.2023 Date accepted: 20.09.2024 Early publication date: 12.02.2025 This article is available in open access under Creative Common Attribution-Non-Commercial-No Derivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) license, allowing to download articles and share them with others as long as they credit the authors and the publisher, but without permission to change them in any way or use them commercially.

of developing heart disease and guide personalized preventive strategies. SCORE2 builds upon the success of its predecessor, the original SCORE system, which provided a standardized method for estimating an individual's risk of experiencing a fatal cardiovascular event over a 10-year period [4]. However, with advances in medical knowledge and an increased understanding of the complex interplay of risk factors, the need for a more comprehensive and accurate risk assessment tool became evident. The primary objective of SCORE2 is to refine the estimation of cardiovascular risk by incorporating additional risk factors, such as family history, high-sensitivity levels of C-reactive protein (hs-CRP), and measures of subclinical atherosclerosis. By considering a broader range of factors. including both traditional risk factors (such as age, gender, blood pressure, and cholesterol levels) and emerging markers of risk, SCORE2 provides a more nuanced and personalized assessment of an individual's susceptibility to developing coronary artery disease (CAD) [5, 6]. What sets SCORE2 apart is its integration of advanced statistical models and large-scale, population-based data from diverse cohorts, allowing for more precise risk prediction. This sophisticated tool takes into account the multifaceted nature of cardiovascular risk and provides clinicians with a more accurate and holistic picture of a patient's risk profile [7, 8]. In this article, we explore the factors influencing SCORE2. Through a comprehensive understanding of SCORE2, healthcare professionals and researchers can harness its power to identify at-risk individuals earlier, tailor preventive strategies more effectively, and ultimately reduce the burden of CVD on a global scale.

Methods

A total of 148 consecutive patients from Lodz Voivodeship, Poland without established atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (ASCVD), diabetes mellitus, chronic kidney disease (CKD), or familial hypercholesterolemia were enrolled in this study. This group was divided into a low-risk group with low-to-moderate CVD risk in SCORE2 (70 patients) and a high-risk group with high and very high CVD risk (78 patients). The subjects were hospitalized in the Department of Cardiology and Congenital Heart Diseases of Adults between December 2020 and December 2021 for diagnosis of cardiac complications after COVID-19. All subjects gave written informed consent to participate in the study. After informed consent was signed, the clinical data of the patients in the study were collected. The patients underwent a physical examination based on the standard internal medicine protocol. We paid particular attention to the inclusion and exclusion criteria during enrolment in the trial. The study complies with the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the Bioethics Commission of the Polish Mother's Memorial Hospital Research Institute (PMMHRI-BCO.75/2020). Exclusion criteria: established atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (past myocardial infarction, ischemic stroke, critical limb ischemia), uncontrolled hypertension; diagnosis of heart failure (HF) or typical symptomatic HF; left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) < 50%; documented: hyperandrogenism, hyperestrogenism, insulin resistance, diabetes mellitus, premature ovarian failure, polycystic ovary syndrome; diagnosis of cardiomyopathy (hypertrophic, dilated, restrictive, peripartum, arrhythmogenic); lysosomal storage disorders; transient ischemic attack, intracerebral hemorrhage in medical history; severe hyper- and hypothyroidism; pregnancy and lactation; CKD (stages IV and V according to the National Kidney Foundation) and dialysis treatment; documented neoplastic process; the patient's inability to cooperate and/or provide informed consent to participate in the research; active autoimmune disease; treatment with immunosuppressants, cytostatic drugs, glucocorticosteroids, or antiretroviral drugs; a history of bone marrow transplant or other organ transplant treatment with blood products in the last 6 months; active systemic infection; hepatitis B virus (HBV), hepatitis C virus (HCV), or human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) carrier or positive for hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAg) or antibodies to HCV; surgery or serious injury within the last month; and patients who did not give their informed consent to participate in the study.

Laboratory tests were performed in the hospital laboratory, after a minimum 12-hour period since the last meal. Routine laboratory tests included high-sensitivity cardiac troponin T (hs-cTnT), serum concentrations of pro-B-type N-terminal natriuretic peptide (NT-proBNP), hematology, and D-dimer. Furthermore, the analyses of liver function parameters [alanine aminotransferase (ALT) and aspartate transaminase (ASP)]; renal function parameters [creatinine, glomerular filtration rate (GFR) estimated by Modification of Diet in Renal Disease (MDRD)], electrolytes, inflammatory cytokine [C-reactive protein (CRP)], glucose level, lipoprotein profile: total cholesterol (TC), low-density lipoprotein (LDL), high-density lipoprotein (HDL), and triglycerides (TG) were performed.

Comprehensive echocardiography was performed using commercially available ultrasound systems (Vivid E95 - GE Healthcare, Chicago, IL, USA) in accordance with current guidelines [9]. Left ventricular dimensions in the end-diastole: left ventricular internal diameter (LVID d), interventricular septum (IVS d) and left ventricular posterior wall (LVPW d) were calculated. Left ventricular volume (LV) and ejection fraction (EF) were measured by the quantitative 2-dimensional biplane modified Simpson method from a 4- and 2-chamber view. The 2-dimensional maximal left atrial volume (LAV) was determined based on the apical 2- and 4-chamber views at end-systole without foreshortening, using a biplane modified Simpson's method excluding the LA appendage and pulmonary vein confluences [10]. Each LAV was indexed by body surface area (LAVi). LV mass index (LVMI) was calculated by dividing LV mass (in grams) by a body size variable such as body surface area. From the pulse Doppler echocardiography of transmitral velocities, the peak early (E) and peak late (A) mitral velocities, the ratio between the peak E and A velocity (E/A), the ratio of early transmitral peak velocity to early diastolic peak annular velocity (E/E'), deceleration time (Dec), acceleration time (Ats), and isovolumic relaxation time were measured. We also assessed global peak systolic strain (GLPS) based on speckle tracking echocardiography [11]. Furthermore, the ascending aorta (AA), aortic bulb (AB), main pulmonary artery (MPA), and inferior vena cava (IVC) diameters were measured. The right ventricular (RV) functional measurements included tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion (TAPSE) and tissue Doppler echocardiography (TDE) [12]. Additionally, the right atrial volume (RA) and distal right ventricular outflow tract (RVOT d) were calculated.

Cardiopulmonary exercise testing (CPET) was performed on an electromagnetically braked upright cycle ergometer Bike M (CORTEX Biophysik GmbH, Leipzig, Germany) with a metabolic gas analyzer METALYZER 3B (CORTEX Biophysik GmbH, Leipzig, Germany) using the MetaSoft Studio application software of CORTEX Systems [13]. Basic spirometry was performed prior to exercise. Forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV₁), forced vital capacity, and FEV₁/FVC ratio (Tiffeneau index) were measured [14]. During exercise, blood pressure (BP), continuous heart rate (HR), rhythm, electrocardiogram (ECG) changes, and oxygen saturation were monitored. Oxygen uptake (VO_2) is assessed from the difference between the volume of O_2 in the inhaled and exhaled air during exercise per unit of time and in the steady state is equal to metabolic O_2 consumption. VO_2 peak represents the highest attainable VO_2 for a subject [15]. Carbon dioxide output (VCO_2) is calculated from the difference between the volume of CO_2 in the inhaled and exhaled air during exercise per unit of time. Respiratory exchange ratio (RER) corresponds to the gas exchange ratio. We also measured the anaerobic threshold (AT) and the minute ventilation/carbon dioxide production slope $(VE/VCO_2 \text{ slope})$ [16].

Segmental Body Composition Analyzer (Tanita Pro, Tokvo, Japan) is a tool for non-invasive body mass analysis. This device provides estimated values for each measured value using Bioelectrical Impedance Analysis (BIA method). The Tanita Body Composition Analyzer measures body composition using a constant current source with a high-frequency current (50 kHz, 90 μ A). Eight electrodes are positioned so that the electric current is supplied from the electrodes to the tips of the toes of both feet, and the voltage is measured to the heel of both feet. The current flows into the upper limbs or lower limbs, depending on the body parts to be measured [17]. Total and regional fat mass (FM), fat-free mass (FFM), total body water (TBW), intracellular water (ICW), extracellular water (ECW), and ECW/TBW % ratio were measured. Additionally, we measured metabolic age and basal metabolic rate (BMR) [18, 19].

The STATISTICA 13.1 software package (StatSoft, Poland) was used for analysis. The concordance of normal distribution of all variables was calculated with the Shapiro-Wilk test. To compare the 2 groups, Student's t-test for continuous variables with normal distribution and the Mann-Whitney U test for non-normally distributed variables were used. The correlations between the analyzed parameters were determined by Spearman's test. In the analyses, a p-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. The parameters for which the univariate analysis indicated statistically significant results were included in the multivariate analysis. Because these parameters presented continuous variables, they were transformed into dichotomous variables based on the median calculated for the entire studied population. Subsequently, a stepwise logistic regression analysis was conducted. The statistically significant results (p < 0.05) were presented as odds ratios (OR) with 95% confidence intervals (CI).

Results

The subjects were divided into a low-risk group with low-to-moderate CVD risk in SCORE2 (70 patients) and a high-risk group with high and very high CVD risk (78 patients). The patients with high-risk presented with significantly higher age, BMI, BSA, and SBP (p < 0.001; p < 0.001; p = 0.003; p < 0.001, respectively) compared to low-risk subjects. Patients from the high-risk group significantly more frequently had arterial hypertension (p < 0.001), hypercholesterolemia (p < 0.001), arrythmia (p < 0.001), and obesity (p < 0.001), and they more often smoked (p < 0.001) and drank too much alcohol (p = 0.02) compared to the low-risk group. Data are shown in Table 1.

The levels of hs-cTnT, NT-proBNP, creatinine, urea, glucose, ALT, ASP, D-dimer, and triglycerides were significantly higher (p < 0.001; p < 0.001; p = 0.004; p < 0.001; p < 0.001; p = 0.02; p = 0.02; p = 0.001; p = 0.003, respectively) in the high-risk group in comparison to low-risk group. High-risk patients also presented with decreased level of thrombocytes (PLT) and HDL (p = 0.03; p = 0.04, respectively). The results are shown in Table 2.

High-risk subjects presented with significantly greater LVID d, IVS d, LVPW d, LA, LAV, LAVi, LVMI, A, and E/E' (p = 0.047; p < 0.001; p < 0.001; p < 0.001; p = 0.003; p < 0.001; p < 0.001; p = 0.008; p < 0.001, respectively) compared to the low-risk group. The high-risk patients also had also larger AB, AA, and MPA and longer deceleration time than the low-risk subjects (p < 0.001; p < 0.001; p < 0.001; p = 0.02, respectively). RVOT d and E/A were lower in the high-risk group (p < 0.001; p < 0.001, respectively). The data are presented in Table 3.

The high-risk patients presented with significantly lower level of effort, HR max, FVC, RER, VO_{2max} , and $VO_{2}AT$ (p = 0.006; p < 0.001; p = 0.01; p = 0.04; p = 0.008; p = 0.02, respectively) compared to the low-risk group. The results are shown in Table 4.

Fat content (in % and kg), FFM, TBW (in kg), ECW, ECW/TBW \times 100%, and metabolic age were significantly higher in the high-risk group (p < 0.001; p < 0.001; p = 0.008; p = 0.03; p < 0.001; p < 0.0

Parameters with a p value < 0.05 in the univariate analysis were entered into the multivariate analysis using logistic regression analysis. In a multiple logistic regression model, the following variables were independently associated with high and very high CVD risk: E/E' > 6.75 cm/s (OR 3.9 95% CI: 1.5–10.3; p = 0.004) and hs-cTnT > 4.8 pg/ mL (OR 6.02, 95% CI: 2.3-15.8; p<0.001) (Tab. 6).

SCORE2 (%) correlated positively with metabolic age (R Spearman= 0.79; p < 0.001), hs-cTnT (R = 0.6; p < 0.001), and NT-proBNP (R = 0.5; p < 0.001) and negatively with GFR (R= -0.5; p < 0.001) and VO_{2max} (mL/min/kg) (R = -0,3; p<0.001) (Fig. 1).

Discussion

SCORE2 is a tool that incorporates various factors to provide a comprehensive assessment of an individual's risk of developing CVD. Understanding the factors that influence SCORE2 is crucial for healthcare professionals to accurately estimate risk and guide preventive strategies.

In our findings, patients from the high-risk group presented with significantly more frequent obesity. This group also had significantly higher BMI, fat content, and TBW content. The relationship between obesity and cardiovascular risk is complex. Obesity is a chronic medical condition characterized by excessive accumulation of body fat, and it is often accompanied by other risk factors, such as sedentary lifestyle, poor dietary choices, and insulin resistance, which further contribute to the development of cardiovascular disease. Additionally, obesity can have detrimental effects on the structure and function of the cardiovascular system, leading to conditions like hypertension, atherosclerosis, and HF [20]. BMI is a measure of body fat based on height and weight and serves as a useful indicator of obesity [21]. The association between obesity and higher risk of CAD was demonstrated in many epidemiological studies [22–25]. The association of obesity with CAD and its independence from metabolic cardiovascular risk factors linked to excess weight has generated conflicting results. Some large prospective analyses suggest that hypertension, dyslipidemia, diabetes, and other comorbidities largely mediate the link between obesity and CAD [26]. On the other hand, other prospective studies indicate a significant residual CAD risk in obesity even after considering these risk factors [27]. Likewise, certain studies show that obesity without metabolic syndrome is not associated with incident myocardial infarction [27], unlike other studies [28]. A meta-analysis of 21 studies involving 1.8 million individuals indicates that approximately half of the connections between overweight/obesity and CAD can be explained by blood pressure, cholesterol, and

Parameter	Low-to-moderate CVD risk in SCORE2 n = 70	High and very high CVD risk in SCORE2 n = 78	P-value
Basic characteristics			
Age	(32.00–48.00), 43.00*	(58.00–70.00), 64.00*	< 0.001
BMI, kg/m²	(22.28–27.70), 24.76*	(23.85–32.53), 29.65*	< 0.001
BSA, m ²	(1.69–1.95), 1.83*	(1.79–2.08), 1.93*	0.003
SBP, mmHg	(121.00–135.00), 129.00*	(128.00–149.00), 136.00*	< 0.001
DBP, mmHg	(74.00–87.00), 80.00*	(68.00–78.00), 72.00*	0.14
HR	(70.00–84.00), 73.00*	(68.00–78.00), 72.00*	0.19
Arrythmia (%)	2	23	< 0.001
Arterial hypertension (%)	25	81	< 0.001
Hypercholesterolemia (%)	25	77	< 0.001
Use of β -blockers (%)	44	74	0.001
Use of ACEIs/ARBs (%)	20	77	< 0.001
Use of CCBs (%)	12	32	0.03
Use of diuretics (%)	13	53	< 0.001
Use of statins (%)	14	77	< 0.001
Obesity (%)	10	45	< 0.001
Abuse alcohol (%)	0	8	< 0.02
Smoking (%)	0	23	< 0.001

Table 1. Evaluation of basic characteristics among the investigated groups

*median; values with non-normal distribution are expressed as median (range) values. Values with normal distribution are expressed as mean \pm standard deviation (SD). ACEIs — angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors; ARBs — angiotensin II receptor antagonists; CCBs, calcium channel blockers; BMI — body mass index; BSA — body surface area; DBP — diastolic blood pressure; HR — heart rate; SBP — systolic blood pressure

Table 2. Evaluation of laboratory tests among the investigated groups

Parameter	Low-to-moderateHigh and very high CVDCVD risk in SCORE2risk in SCORE2n = 70n = 78		P-value
Laboratory tests			
hs-cTnT, pg/mL	(3.00–4.70), 3.20*	(4.70–10.50), 6.85*	< 0.001
NT-proBNP, pg/mL	(31.00–96.00), 55.00*	(51.50–334.00), 115.00*	< 0.001
RBC, 10 ⁶ /uL	(4.23–5.03), 4.60*	(4.15–4.75), 4.44*	0.08
Hemoglobin, g/dL	(12.60–14.60), 13.50*	(12.80–14.50), 13.70*	(0.98)
PLT, 10 ³ /uL	230.96 (± 56.77)	210.47 (± 50.67)	0.03
Creatinine, mg/dL	(0.65–0.85), 0.72*	(0.72–0.95), 0.82*	0.004
GFR, ml/min/1.73 m ²	(89.90–107.30), 98.00*	(72.30–94.50), 82.10*	< 0.001
Urea, mg/dL	29.19 (± 7.89)	38.66 (±11.15)	< 0.001
Glucose, mg/dL	(84.00–93.00), 89.00*	(89.00–100.00), 92.00*	< 0.001
ALT, U/L	(15.00–27.00), 20.00*	(20.00–35.00), 23.00*	0.02
ASP, U/L	(22.00–29.00), 26.00*	(25.00–35.00), 27.00*	0.02
CRP, mg/dL	(0.50–0.50), 0.50*	(0.50–0.50), 0.50*	0.42
D-dimer, ng/mL	(149.50–316.50), 261.00*	(235.00–511.00), 334.00*	0.001
TC, mg/dL	174.86 (± 30.80)	169.43 (± 50.32)	0.44
LDL, mg/dL	100.22 (± 26.67)	90.74 (± 37.59)	0.08
HDL, mg/dL	(44.00–59.00), 53.00*	(39.00–57.00), 44.00*	0.04
TG, mg/dL	(78.00–134.00), 98.00*	(89.00–175.00), 116.00*	0.003
Na, mmol/L	(138.00–140.00), 139.00*	(138.00–141.00), 139.00*	0.06
K, mmol/L	(4.20–4.50), 4.40*	(4.10–4.60), 4.30*	0.49

*median; values with non-normal distribution are expressed as median (range) values. Values with normal distribution are expressed as median ± standard deviation (SD). ALT — alanine aminotransferase; AST — aspartate aminotransferase; CRP — c-reactive protein; GFR — glomerular filtration rate; HDL — high-density lipoprotein; hs-cTnT — high-sensitivity cardiac troponin T; K — serum potassium; LDL — low-density lipoprotein; Na — serum natrium; NT-proBNP — N-terminal prohormone of brain natriuretic peptide; PLT — thrombocytes; RBC — red blood cells; TC — total cholesterol; TG — triglycerides. Conversion factors to SI units are as follows: for creatinine, 88.4020; urea, 0.1665; glucose, 0.05551; cholesterol, 0.02586; and triglycerides, 0.0114

Cardiology Journal

Parameter	Low-to-moderate CVD risk in SCORE2 n = 70	High and very high CVD risk in SCORE2 n = 78	P-value
Echocardiography			
LVID d, mm	(43.00–50.50), 47.50*	(45.00–54.50), 49.00*	0.047
IVS d, mm	(8.00–9.00), 9.00*	(9.00–11.00), 10.00*	< 0.001
LVPW d, mm	(8.00–9.00), 8.00*	(9.00–11.00), 10.00*	< 0.001
LA, mm	(31.50–37.00), 34.00*	(35.50–44.00), 40.00*	< 0.001
LAV, mL	(41.50–70.00), 49.00*	(53.50–92.50), 67.50*	< 0.001
LAVi, mL/m²	(23.00–36.50), 27.00*	(27.79–43.50), 28.65*	0.003
RA, cm ²	(12.00–17.00), 14.50*	(15.00–20.90), 17.45*	< 0.001
RVOT d, mm	29.42 (± 4.54)	32.72 (± 3.95)	< 0.001
AB, mm	(28.00–34.00), 31.00*	(31.00–37.00), 34.00*	< 0.001
AA, mm	(27.50–32.00), 29.00*	(31.00–36.00), 34.00*	< 0.001
MPA, mm	(18.00–19.00), 19.00*	(19.00–22.00), 20.00*	< 0.001
IVC, mm	(3.00–10.00), 7.00*	(5.00–9.00), 6.00*	0.85
LVMI, g/m²	(61.00–82.00), 73.00*	(74.00–109.00), 86.50*	< 0.001
LVEF, %	(59.50–67.00), 62.00*	(55.00–65.00), 62.00*	0.11
EDV, cm ³	(75.00–103.00), 89.00*	(68.00–105.00), 90.00*	(0.98)
ESV, cm³	(27.00-40.00), 33.00*	(24.00–44.00), 34.00*	0.90
TAPSE, mm	22.86 (± 3.21)	23.44 (± 4.36)	0.38
TDE S', cm/s	(12.00–15.00), 13.00*	(12.00–16.00), 14.00*	0.04
GLPS, %	19.86 (± 2.21)	19.63 (± 1.59) 0.56	
E, cm/s	(64.00–90.00), 77.00*	(62.00–85.00), 70.00*	0.09
A, cm/s	(53.00–74.00), 67.00*	(62.00–86.00), 72.00*	0.008
E/A	(0.98–1.67), 1.25*	(0.77–1.12), 0.95*	< 0.001
E/E'	(5.50–7.00), 6.10*	(6.26–9.30), 7.55*	< 0.0001
Dec, ms	(159.50–225.00), 190.50*	(175.50–260.40), 212.00*	0.02
Ats, ms	(118.00–153.50), 135.00*	(110.00–141.00), 130.00*	0.10

Table 3. Evaluation of selected echocardiographic parameters among the investigated groups

*median; values with non-normal distribution are expressed as median (range) values. Values with normal distribution are expressed as mean ± standard deviation (SD). A — late diastolic filling velocity; AA — ascending aorta; AB — aortic bulb; Ats — acceleration time; Dec — deceleration time; E — early diastolic filling velocity; E/A — ratio of early to late diastolic transmitral flow velocity; E/E' — ratio of peak velocity of early diastolic transmitral flow to peak velocity of early diastolic mitral annular motion as determined by pulsed wave Doppler; EDV — enddiastolic volume; ESV, end-systolic volume; GLPS — global peak systolic strain; IVC — inferior vena cava; IVS d — interventricular septum end-diastole; LA — left atrial diameter; LAV — left atrial volume; LAVi — left atrial volume index; LVEF — left ventricular posterior fraction; LVID d — left ventricular internal diameter end-diastole; LVMI — left ventricular mass index; LVPW d — left ventricular posterior wall end-diastole; MPA — main pulmonary artery; RA — right atrial area; RVOT d — distal right ventricular outflow tract; TAPSE — tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion; TDE S — tissue Doppler echocardiography

glucose levels [29]. However, this estimation may be lower than the actual value due to the remaining confounding factors that were evaluated at a single time point or were not directly measured in some studies.

Our next findings indicate that higher LAVI, LVMI, and E/E' were significantly increased in the high-risk group in comparison with the low-risk group. Furthermore, E/E' was independently associated with high and very high CVD risk. LAVI is a measurement that assesses the size of the left atrium relative to body surface area and can be indicative of various cardiovascular conditions, e.g., hypertension, atrial fibrillation, valvular heart disease, and HF [30]. Some studies demonstrated the relationship between higher LAVI and cardiovascular events [31, 32]. The study of Bombelli et al. showed that LVMI is an independent predictor of cardiovascular events [33]. E/E' is a ratio that represents the ratio of the early diastolic transmitral flow velocity (E) to the early diastolic tissue Doppler velocity of the mitral annulus (E'). It provides valuable information about left ventricular filling pressure and can help in evaluating diastolic dysfunction [34]. The prognostic significance of the E/E' ratio in patients with different cardiac

Parameter	low-to-moderatehigh and very highCVD risk in SCORE2CVD risk in SCORE2n = 70n = 78		P-value
Spiroergometry			
Exercise time, s	(428.50–743.00), 559.00*	(378.00–641.00), 507.50*	0.06
Level of effort, watts	(123.00–171.00), 128.50*	(86.00–150.00), 110.50*	0.006
HR max	154.35 (± 23.81)	129.56 (± 24.71)	< 0.001
Peripheral SBP max, mmHg	(140.00–180.00), 160.00*	(140.00–200.00), 175.00*	0.09
Peripheral DBP max, mmHg	(70.00–85.00), 80.00*	(75.00–90.00), 80.00*	0.31
FEV ₁ , L	(2.64–3.67), 3.15*	(2.45–3.48), 2.91*	0.06
FVC, L	4.09 (± 1.03)	3.61 (± 0.88)	0.01
FVC, %	106.38 (± 15.99)	106.81 (± 18.14)	0.89
FEV ₁ /FVC	(78.00–87.50), 83.00*	(75.00–86.00), 81.00*	0.13
FEV ₁ /FVC, %	(96.00–110.00), 105.00*	(94.50–108.50), 103.00*	0.29
FEF 25-75, L/s	3.27 (± 1.05)	2.57 (± 1.24)	0.002
RER	(1.04–1.12), 1.09*	(0.99–1.11), 1.07*	0.04
VO₂max, mL/min/kg	(19.00–27.50), 22.00*	(16.00–25.00), 20.00*	0.008
VO₂AT, mL/min/kg	(12.00–20.00), 15.00*	(10.00–17.00), 13.00*	0.02
Peak VO₂max, L	(1.37–2.07), 1.69*	(1.21–1.98), 1.54*	0.18
VE/VCO ₂ slope	(25.00–32.60), 29.50*	(26.70–33.90), 29.80*	0.43

Table 4. Evaluation of spiroergometry among the investigated groups

*median; values with non-normal distribution are expressed as median (range) values. Values with normal distribution are expressed as median (range) values. Values with normal distribution are expressed as median (range) values. Values with normal distribution are expressed as mean \pm standard deviation (SD). DBP — diastolic blood pressure; FEF 25–75% — forced expiratory flow over the middle one half of the forced vital capacity; FEV₁ — forced expiratory volume in one second; FEV₁/FVC — ratio of forced expiratory volume in one second to forced vital capacity; FVC — forced vital capacity; HR — heart rate; peak VO₂ — highest respiratory oxygen uptake (VO₂) achieved by the subject during the maximal exercise; RER — respiratory exchange ratio; SBP — systolic blood pressure; VE/VCO₂ slope — the minute ventilation/carbon dioxide production slope; VO₂AT — oxygen uptake at anaerobic threshold per kilogram; VO_{2max} — the maximum amount of oxygen the body can utilize during a specified period of usually intense exercise

Table 5. Evaluation of body mass analysis among the investigated groups

Parameter	low-to-moderate CVD risk in SCORE2 n = 70	high and very high CVD risk in SCORE2 n = 78	P-value
Body mass analysis			
Fat, %	27.67 (± 6.95)	32.45 (± 5.66)	< 0.001
Fat, kg	(15.30–26.60), 19.05*	(23.30–31.90), 27.45*	< 0.001
FFM, kg	(45.90–58.50), 50.40*	(50.00–64.80), 57.20*	0.008
TBW, kg	(33.40–43.40), 38.10*	(36.10-45.80), 41.10*	0.03
TBW, %	52.61 (± 6.12)	48.87 (± 4.36)	< 0.001
ECW, kg	(14.00–18.90), 16.45*	(16.30–20.20), 18.30*	< 0.001
ICW, kg	(19.01–25.50), 21.65*	(20.30–26.20), 22.40*	0.29
ECW/TBW \times 100%	42.34 (± 3.20)	44.64 (± 2.18)	< 0.001
Metabolic age	39.66 (± 11.80)	63.40 (± 8.31)	< 0.001
BMR, kcal	(1317.00–1668.00), 1473.00*	(1269.00–1829.00), 1517.50*	0.36

*median; values with non-normal distribution are expressed as median (range) values. Values with normal distribution are expressed as mean ± standard deviation (SD). BMR — basal metabolic rate; ECW — extracellular water; ECW/TBW% — ratio of extracellular water to total body water; FFM — fat-free body mass; ICW — intracellular water TBW, total body water

conditions has been investigated in several studies [35, 36].

In our study, lower VO_2AT and VO_{2max} were observed in high-risk patients compared to counterparts. VO_{2max} is a measurement that represents the maximum amount of oxygen an individual can utilize during intense exercise. It is considered one of the most valid and reliable indicators of cardiorespiratory fitness and aerobic endurance [37]. VO_{2max} is a valuable marker for assessing overall

Table 6.	Multivariate	analysis -	stepwise	logistic	regression
----------	--------------	------------	----------	----------	------------

Variable	OR	95% CI for OR		P-value
		Lower limit	Upper limit	
E/E'	3.90	1.50	10.30	0.004
hs-cTnT	6.02	2.30	15.80	< 0.001

The following parameters were included in the multivariate analysis: $VO_2max < 22 \text{ mL/min/kg}$, $VO_2AT < 14 \text{ mL/min/kg}$, E/E' > 6.75, $LVMI > 79 \text{ g/m}^2$, Fat > 23.7 kg, ECW/TBW x 100% > 39.9, NT-proBNP > 78 pg/mL, hsTnT > 4.8 pg/mL; CI — confidence interval; E/E' — ratio of peak velocity of early diastolic transmitral flow to peak velocity of early diastolic mitral annular motion as determined by pulsed wave Doppler; ECW/TBW x 100% — ratio of extracellular water to total body water; hs-CTnT — high-sensitivity cardiac troponin T; LVMI — left ventricular mass index; NT-proBNP — N-terminal prohormone of brain natriuretic peptide; OR — odds ratio; VO_2AT — oxygen uptake at anaerobic threshold per kilogram; VO_2max — the maximum amount of oxygen the body can utilize during a specified period of usually intense exercise



Figure 1. Significant correlations with SCORE2. GFR — glomerular filtration rate; hs-cTnT — high-sensitivity cardiac troponin T; NT-proBNP — N-terminal prohormone of brain natriuretic peptide; VO_{2max} — the maximum amount of oxygen the body can utilize during a specified period of usually intense exercise

cardiovascular health and predicting the risk of cardiovascular disease and all-cause mortality. Low VO_{2max} levels have been associated with an increased risk of chronic conditions such as heart disease, diabetes, and obesity [38–40].

Our next findings revealed that high-risk patients presented with higher values of hs-cTnT and NT-proBNP, and lower level of GFR. In multiple logistic regression, hs-cTnT was independently associated with high and very high CVD risk. Additionally, SCORE2 correlated positively with hs-cTnT and NT-proBNP, and negatively with GFR. Hs-cTnT plays a crucial role in the diagnosis and risk stratification of patients with suspected or confirmed CAD, which encompasses a range of conditions related to the narrowing or blockage of coronary arteries. Hs-cTnT is a highly sensitive biomarker that detects very low levels of cardiac troponin T, a protein released into the bloodstream following myocardial cell injury or damage [41]. Numerous studies have verified the diagnostic importance of increased troponin levels as a risk

factor for CAD [42-45]. NT-proBNP is widely used as a diagnostic marker in suspected cases of HF. Elevated levels of NT-proBNP are indicative of cardiac dysfunction and can help differentiate between cardiac and non-cardiac causes of dyspnea and other symptoms. Although NT-proBNP is not specific to CAD, it serves as an important indicator of underlying cardiac pathology. In the study of Niccoli, the authors revealed that NT-proBNP levels were higher in CAD patients experiencing major adverse cardiac events [46]. In another study, NT-proBNP was an independent predictor for patients with CAD [47]. One observational study showed that both NT-proBNP and hs-cTnT are predictors of incident CAD, independent of established risk factors and ethnicity, in a multiethnic population without known CVD [48]. We observed that the high-risk group had lower LDL cholesterol levels compared to the low-risk group, although this difference was not statistically significant. This finding underscores the effectiveness of statins in reducing LDL cholesterol, as the high-risk group used statins significantly more frequently than the lowrisk group (p < 0.001). The lower LDL levels in the high-risk group, despite their elevated overall risk, highlight the importance of aggressive lipidlowering therapy in reducing CVD risk. Low LDL cholesterol is a well-established protective factor against cardiovascular events, further supporting the need for intensive lipid management in highrisk individuals [49].

Chronic kidney disease (CKD) is a well-established risk factor for the development and progression of CAD. Reduced GFR is a hallmark of CKD and indicates impaired kidney function [50]. CKD is associated with a higher risk of cardiovascular complications, including CAD, due to various mechanisms such as inflammation, oxidative stress, endothelial dysfunction, and dyslipidemia [51].

Our study contributes to a better understanding of the factors influencing systemic coronary risk estimation using SCORE2 and provides valuable insights for risk stratification and management in the studied population. However, this study has some limitations, including the relatively small sample size of patients (n = 148). The study did not account for certain confounding variables, such as socioeconomic status, or lifestyle factors (e.g., dietary habits, physical activity), which may influence CVD risk. It is important to consider these limitations with caution. Further research with larger sample sizes, diverse populations, longitudinal design, and comprehensive assessments would be valuable in addressing these limitations and enhancing our understanding of CVD risk estimation. These variables also need to be validated in the context of better risk stratification with c-statistic analysis in patients with long follow-up and data on CVD events and mortality.

Conclusions

In conclusion, higher left ventricular filling pressure assessed by E/E' and higher hs-cTnT level are independent predictors of high and very high-risk in SCORE2. The increasing 10-year cardiovascular disease risk correlates with higher metabolic age, higher levels of NT-proBNP and hs-cTnT, and lower level of GFR. These conclusions highlight the importance of assessing multiple factors in estimating CVD risk. Identifying these predictors can assist healthcare professionals in implementing appropriate preventive strategies and interventions to mitigate the risk of fatal and non-fatal CVD events in apparently healthy individuals with underlying risk factors. Further research and validation studies are warranted to confirm and expand upon these findings.

Contribution statement: ABD, MB — substantial contribution to the conception and design of the work; ABD and KGW — collected the data and performed patients' examinations; AS — performed statistical analysis; BS and WA — prepared tables and figures; KGW — drafted the manuscript; ABD and MB — substantially revised the work. All authors edited and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Conflict of interest: None declared.

Funding statement: This work was supported by the Polish Mother's Memorial Hospital Research Institute, Lodz, Poland.

References

- Visseren FLJ, Mach F, Smulders YM, et al. ESC Scientific Document Group, ESC Scientific Document Group, ESC National Cardiac Societies, ESC Scientific Document Group. 2021 ESC Guidelines on cardiovascular disease prevention in clinical practice. Eur Heart J. 2021; 42(34): 3227–3337, doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehab484, indexed in Pubmed: 34458905.
- Roth GA, Mensah GA, Johnson CO, et al. GBD 2019 Stroke Collaborators, GBD-NHLBI-JACC Global Burden of Cardiovascular Diseases Writing Group. Global Burden of Cardiovascular Diseases and Risk Factors, 1990-2019: Update From the GBD 2019 Study. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2020; 76(25): 2982–3021, doi: 10.1016/j. jacc.2020.11.010, indexed in Pubmed: 33309175.

Cardiology Journal

- SCORE2-Diabetes Working Group and the ESC Cardiovascular Risk Collaboration, SCORE2 working group and ESC Cardiovascular risk collaboration. SCORE2 risk prediction algorithms: new models to estimate 10-year risk of cardiovascular disease in Europe. Eur Heart J. 2021; 42(25): 2439–2454, doi: 10.1093/ eurheartj/ehab309, indexed in Pubmed: 34120177.
- Conroy RM, Pyörälä K, Fitzgerald AP, et al. SCORE project group. Estimation of ten-year risk of fatal cardiovascular disease in Europe: the SCORE project. Eur Heart J. 2003; 24(11): 987–1003, doi: 10.1016/s0195-668x(03)00114-3, indexed in Pubmed: 12788299.
- Ferraro RA, Leucker T, Martin SS, et al. Contemporary Management of Dyslipidemia. Drugs. 2022; 82(5): 559–576, doi: 10.1007/ s40265-022-01691-6, indexed in Pubmed: 35303294.
- Correction to: 2018 AHA/ACC/AACVPR/AAPA/ABC/ACPM/ ADA/AGS/APhA/ASPC/NLA/PCNA Guideline on the Management of Blood Cholesterol: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines. Circulation. 2019; 139(25): e1182– e1186, doi: 10.1161/CIR.000000000000698, indexed in Pubmed: 31206330.
- Viera AJ, Reamy BV. Jr. Cardiovascular Disease Prevention: Risk Assessment. FP Essent. 2022; 520: 8–14.
- Barrios V, Escobar C, Banach M. Primary prevention. The cornerstone to reduce the burden of cardiovascular disease. Rev Esp Cardiol (Engl Ed). 2021; 74(10): 827–828, doi: 10.1016/j. rec.2021.04.013, indexed in Pubmed: 34083166.
- Mitchell C, Rahko PS, Blauwet LA, et al. Guidelines for Performing a Comprehensive Transthoracic Echocardiographic Examination in Adults: Recommendations from the American Society of Echocardiography. J Am Soc Echocardiogr. 2019; 32(1): 1–64, doi: 10.1016/j.echo.2018.06.004, indexed in Pubmed: 30282592.
- Kosaraju A, Goyal A, Grigorova Y, et al. Left Ventricular Ejection Fraction. 2023 Apr 24. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing.
- Zhang X, Wei X, Kong L, et al. Evaluating the left ventricular global systolic function of patients with diabetes mellitus by the real-time three-plane speckle tracking imaging]. Sheng Wu Yi Xue Gong Cheng Xue Za Zhi. 2013; 30(3): 513–517, indexed in Pubmed: 23865310.
- Tousignant C, Kim H, Papa F, et al. Evaluation of TAPSE as a measure of right ventricular output. Can J Anaesth. 2012; 59(4): 376–383, doi: 10.1007/s12630-011-9659-3, indexed in Pubmed: 22302303.
- Datta D, Normandin E, ZuWallack R. Cardiopulmonary exercise testing in the assessment of exertional dyspnea. Ann Thorac Med. 2015; 10(2): 77–86, doi: 10.4103/1817-1737.151438, indexed in Pubmed: 25829957.
- Pierce R. Spirometry: an essential clinical measurement. Aust Fam Physician. 2005; 34(7): 535–539, indexed in Pubmed: 15999163.
- Bjørke AC, Raastad T, Berntsen S. Criteria for the determination of maximal oxygen uptake in patients newly diagnosed with cancer: Baseline data from the randomized controlled trial of physical training and cancer (Phys-Can). PLoS One. 2020; 15(6): e0234507, doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0234507, indexed in Pubmed: 32526771.
- Grinstein J, Sawalha Y, Medvedofsky DA, et al. VE/VCO2 slope predicts RV dysfunction and mortality after left ventricular assist device: a fresh look at cardiopulmonary stress testing for prognostication. J Artif Organs. 2021; 24(4): 425–432, doi: 10.1007/ s10047-021-01261-9, indexed in Pubmed: 33792816.

- Marra M, Sammarco R, De Lorenzo A, et al. Assessment of Body Composition in Health and Disease Using Bioelectrical Impedance Analysis (BIA) and Dual Energy X-Ray Absorptiometry (DXA): A Critical Overview. Contrast Media Mol Imaging. 2019; 2019: 3548284, doi: 10.1155/2019/3548284, indexed in Pubmed: 31275083.
- Park I, Lee JH, Jang DH, et al. Assessment of body water distribution in patients with sepsis during fluid resuscitation using multi-frequency direct segmental bioelectrical impedance analysis. Clin Nutr. 2020; 39(6): 1826–1831, doi: 10.1016/j. clnu.2019.07.022, indexed in Pubmed: 31416662.
- Bi X, Forde CG, Goh AiT, et al. Basal Metabolic Rate and Body Composition Predict Habitual Food and Macronutrient Intakes: Gender Differences. Nutrients. 2019; 11(11), doi: 10.3390/ nu11112653, indexed in Pubmed: 31689964.
- Sørensen TIA, Martinez AR, Jørgensen TS. Epidemiology of Obesity. Handb Exp Pharmacol. 2022; 274: 3–27, doi: 10.1007/164_2022_581, indexed in Pubmed: 35419622.
- Nuttall FQ. Body Mass Index: Obesity, BMI, and Health: A Critical Review. Nutr Today. 2015; 50(3): 117–128, doi: 10.1097/ NT.000000000000092, indexed in Pubmed: 27340299.
- Calle EE, Thun MJ, Petrelli JM, et al. Body-mass index and mortality in a prospective cohort of U.S. adults. N Engl J Med. 1999; 341(15): 1097–1105, doi: 10.1056/NEJM199910073411501, indexed in Pubmed: 10511607.
- Manson JE, Willett WC, Stampfer MJ, et al. Body weight and mortality among women. N Engl J Med. 1995; 333(11): 677– 685, doi: 10.1056/NEJM199509143331101, indexed in Pubmed: 7637744.
- Folsom AR, Stevens J, Schreiner PJ, et al. Body mass index, waist/hip ratio, and coronary heart disease incidence in African Americans and whites. Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities Study Investigators. Am J Epidemiol. 1998; 148(12): 1187–1194, doi: 10.1093/oxfordjournals.aje.a009608, indexed in Pubmed: 9867265.
- Hubert HB, Feinleib M, McNamara PM, et al. Obesity as an independent risk factor for cardiovascular disease: a 26-year followup of participants in the Framingham Heart Study. Circulation. 1983; 67(5): 968–977, doi: 10.1161/01.cir.67.5.968, indexed in Pubmed: 6219830.
- Ndumele CE, Matsushita K, Lazo M, et al. Obesity and Subtypes of Incident Cardiovascular Disease. J Am Heart Assoc. 2016; 5(8), doi: 10.1161/JAHA.116.003921, indexed in Pubmed: 27468925.
- Wilson PWF, Bozeman SR, Burton TM, et al. Prediction of first events of coronary heart disease and stroke with consideration of adiposity. Circulation. 2008; 118(2): 124–130, doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.108.772962, indexed in Pubmed: 18591432.
- Thomsen M, Nordestgaard BG. Myocardial infarction and ischemic heart disease in overweight and obesity with and without metabolic syndrome. JAMA Intern Med. 2014; 174(1): 15–22, doi: 10.1001/jamainternmed.2013.10522, indexed in Pubmed: 24217719.
- Lu Y, Hajifathalian K, Ezzati M, et al. Global Burden of Metabolic Risk Factors for Chronic Diseases Collaboration (BMI Mediated Effects). Metabolic mediators of the effects of body-mass index, overweight, and obesity on coronary heart disease and stroke: a pooled analysis of 97 prospective co-horts with 1.8 million participants. Lancet. 2014; 383(9921): 970–983, doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(13)61836-X, indexed in Pubmed: 24269108.

- Tan BYQ, Ho JS, Sia CH, et al. Left Atrial Volume Index Predicts New-Onset Atrial Fibrillation and Stroke Recurrence in Patients with Embolic Stroke of Undetermined Source. Cerebrovasc Dis. 2020; 49(3): 285–291, doi: 10.1159/000508211, indexed in Pubmed: 32554958.
- Gunasekaran R, Maskon O, Hassan HH, et al. Left atrial volume index is an independent predictor of major adverse cardiovascular events in acute coronary syndrome. Can J Cardiol. 2012; 28(5): 561–566, doi: 10.1016/j.cjca.2012.02.015, indexed in Pubmed: 22560463.
- 32. Ri T, Saito C, Arashi H, et al. Increased left atrial volume index is associated with more cardiovascular events in patients with acute coronary syndrome: HIJ-PROPER study findings. Echocardiography. 2022; 39(2): 260–267, doi: 10.1111/echo.15301, indexed in Pubmed: 35043458.
- Bombelli M, Facchetti R, Carugo S, et al. Left ventricular hypertrophy increases cardiovascular risk independently of in-office and out-of-office blood pressure values. J Hypertens. 2009; 27(12): 2458–2464, doi: 10.1097/HJH.0b013e328330b845, indexed in Pubmed: 19654559.
- Nagueh S, Mikati I, Kopelen H, et al. Doppler Estimation of Left Ventricular Filling Pressure in Sinus Tachycardia. Circulation. 1998; 98(16): 1644–1650, doi: 10.1161/01.cir.98.16.1644.
- Hillis GS, Møller JE, Pellikka PA. Noninvasive estimation of left ventricular filling pressure by e/é is a powerful predictor of survival after acute myocardial infarction. ACC Current Journal Review. 2004; 13(5): 42, doi: 10.1016/j.accreview.2004.04.018.
- Wang M, Yip GWK, Wang AYM, et al. Peak early diastolic mitral annulus velocity by tissue Doppler imaging adds independent and incremental prognostic value. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2003; 41(5): 820–826, doi: 10.1016/s0735-1097(02)02921-2, indexed in Pubmed: 12628728.
- Hawkins MN, Raven PB, Snell PG, et al. Maximal oxygen uptake as a parametric measure of cardiorespiratory capacity. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2007; 39(1): 103–107, doi: 10.1249/01. mss.0000241641.75101.64, indexed in Pubmed: 17218891.
- Bielecka-Dabrowa A, Gryglewska K, Sakowicz A, et al. Obesity and Body Mass Components Influence Exercise Tolerance and the Course of Hypertension in Perimenopausal Women. J Cardiovasc Dev Dis. 2022; 9(8), doi: 10.3390/jcdd9080238, indexed in Pubmed: 36005402.
- Bielecka-Dabrowa A, Gryglewska K, Sakowicz A, et al. Factors and Prognostic Significance of Impaired Exercise Tolerance in Women over 40 with Arterial Hypertension. J Pers Med. 2021; 11(8), doi: 10.3390/jpm11080759, indexed in Pubmed: 34442403.
- Caron J, duManoir GR, Labrecque L, et al. Impact of type 2 diabetes on cardiorespiratory function and exercise performance. Physiol Rep. 2017; 5(4), doi: 10.14814/phy2.13145, indexed in Pubmed: 28242825.
- Allen BR, Christenson RH, Cohen SA, et al. Diagnostic Performance of High-Sensitivity Cardiac Troponin T Strategies and Clinical Variables in a Multisite US Cohort. Circulation. 2021; 143(17): 1659–1672, doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONA-HA.120.049298, indexed in Pubmed: 33474976.

- Sandoval Y, Bielinski SJ, Daniels LB, et al. Atherosclerotic Cardiovascular Disease Risk Stratification Based on Measurements of Troponin and Coronary Artery Calcium. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2020; 76(4): 357–370, doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2020.05.057, indexed in Pubmed: 32703505.
- Stelzle D, Shah ASV, Anand A, et al. High-STEACS investigators. High-sensitivity cardiac troponin I at presentation in patients with suspected acute coronary syndrome: a cohort study. Lancet. 2015; 386(10012): 2481–2488, doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(15)00391-8, indexed in Pubmed: 26454362.
- Parikh RH, Seliger SL, de Lemos J, et al. Prognostic Significance of High-Sensitivity Cardiac Troponin T Concentrations between the Limit of Blank and Limit of Detection in Community-Dwelling Adults: A Metaanalysis. Clin Chem. 2015; 61(12): 1524–1531, doi: 10.1373/clinchem.2015.244160, indexed in Pubmed: 26506994.
- Willeit P, Welsh P, Evans JDW, et al. High-Sensitivity Cardiac Troponin Concentration and Risk of First-Ever Cardiovascular Outcomes in 154,052 Participants. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2017; 70(5): 558–568, doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2017.05.062, indexed in Pubmed: 28750699.
- Niccoli G, Conte M, Marchitti S, et al. NT-proANP and NT-proB-NP circulating levels as predictors of cardiovascular outcome following coronary stent implantation. Cardiovasc Revasc Med. 2016; 17(3): 162–168, doi: 10.1016/j.carrev.2016.02.012, indexed in Pubmed: 26987266.
- 47. Wu N, Ma F, Guo Y, et al. Association of N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide with the severity of coronary artery disease in patients with normal left ventricular ejection fraction. Chin Med J (Engl). 2014; 127(4): 627–632, indexed in Pubmed: 24534213.
- Daniels LB, Clopton P, deFilippi CR, et al. Serial measurement of N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide and cardiac troponin T for cardiovascular disease risk assessment in the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA). Am Heart J. 2015; 170(6): 1170–1183, doi: 10.1016/j.ahj.2015.09.010, indexed in Pubmed: 26678639.
- Silverman MG, Ference BA, Im K, et al. Association Between Lowering LDL-C and Cardiovascular Risk Reduction Among Different Therapeutic Interventions: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. JAMA. 2016; 316(12): 1289–1297, doi: 10.1001/ jama.2016.13985, indexed in Pubmed: 27673306.
- 50. Sarnak MJ, Levey AS, Schoolwerth AC, et al. American Heart Association Councils on Kidney in Cardiovascular Disease, High Blood Pressure Research, Clinical Cardiology, and Epidemiology and Prevention. Kidney disease as a risk factor for development of cardiovascular disease: a statement from the American Heart Association Councils on Kidney in Cardiovascular Disease, High Blood Pressure Research, Clinical Cardiology, and Epidemiology and Prevention. Circulation. 2003; 108(17): 2154–2169, doi: 10.1161/01.CIR.0000095676.90936.80, indexed in Pubmed: 14581387.
- Subbiah AK, Chhabra YK, Mahajan S. Cardiovascular disease in patients with chronic kidney disease: a neglected subgroup. Heart Asia. 2016; 8(2): 56–61, doi: 10.1136/heartasia-2016-010809, indexed in Pubmed: 27933104.