Difficult dual-stage transcutaneous multiple lead extraction with loss of external silicone tube of broken lead
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Abstract

The extraction of three implanted (18-, 16-, and ten year-old) damaged nonfunctional leads was complicated by a lead breaking and losing its external silicone tube. The missing part of the lead was invisible on X-ray, but was visible in ECHO as a thin, corded, very mobile limp structure without metallic reflection. Incomplete lead extraction did not cease laboratory symptoms of infection. The lost silicone tube was grasped and removed via femoral approach during the subsequent transesophageal echocardiography (TEE)-guided procedure. The presented case indicates that the criterion of full radiological success is not always correct, exposes the utility of ECHO techniques for X-ray-invisible broken lead fragments, and indicates the possibility of success for such TEE-guided procedures. (Cardiol J 2013; 20, 1: 94–99)
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Introduction

Infection in an implanted system is a categorical indication for its removal [1]. However, Heart Rhythm Society guidelines state that abandoning superfluous leads, even in young patients (progressive lead fixation by connecting tissue scar along its course) increases difficulties and the risks associated with its extraction in the future [2–4]. The risk of late extraction-related problems may be increased by previous attempts. Therefore, removal is indicated. The presented case illustrates a rare complication of damaged lead extraction in the shape of a lost long external silicone tube. It shows that ‘full radiological success’ is not always a suitable description, and how ECHO might play a role in the solution of the problem.

Case report

A 69 year-old female with permanent atrial fibrillation (AF) and VVI pacemaker had a passive fixation lead (Tesla LSK 75) implanted 19 years previously via the left jugular vein. Three years later, an increase in pacing threshold led to the implantation of a new passive fixation endocardial lead (Biotronik 60 UP SN) via the right cephalic vein. Six years after that, a decrease in lead impedance with pacing and sensing disturbances, suggesting isolation damage, appeared. When its removal by
simple traction was attempted, it stretched and ruptured. The lead extraction failed and the proximal part of the lead was cut. Another lead was introduced (Biotronik TIR 60 BP) into the right jugular vein to gain access to the right ventricle (RV).

Unfortunately, after a few years, low impedance with very low R-wave amplitude with stimulating muscle, occasional oversensing, and pacing inhibition occurred in this lead as well. Thus, implantation of a new one, the subsequent endocardial lead, seemed necessary. Because of her having three old non-functional leads, with abnormal lead loop in the ventricle, the patient was referred to the Polish Reference Centre for the extraction of the abandoned leads (Fig. 1).

On admission, the patient was without fever, but showed ESR of 65 mm/h, WBC of 8,400 cell/mL, CRP of 0.920 mg/L, and d-dimer of 903.0 µg/L. On ECHO examination, normal heart diameters (LA = 4.0 cm) with correct left ventricular ejection fraction = 62% as well as mitral and tricuspid valve regurgitation, were observed. Additionally, a conglomerate in RV with dimensions of 1.3 × 1.2 cm was assessed. It was impossible to exclude an inflammatory system-related process.

The oldest lead, a 19 year-old lead implanted via the left jugular vein, was extracted first, using a mechanical system: polypropylene telescopic green Byrd dilators (Cook), but its tip became lodged in strong connecting tissue scar in the RV apex, and only partial radiological success was obtained.

The second one, a 10 year-old lead implanted via the right jugular vein, was extracted unbroken, demonstrating full radiological success using the same technique.

The final one, an abandoned, stretched and ruptured 16 year-old lead implanted via the right cephalic vein was extracted. It was impossible to obtain surgical access for the proximal ending of this lead, with the lead being at vein-entry side and using a femoral approach, and so the following attempt was made. The extracted lead was looped by a guidewire introduced via a pigtail catheter, and the distal part of the guidewire was grabbed by a Dotter basket (Fig. 2).

Manual traction was applied to the guidewire, and simultaneously to the basket catheter, and this broke the connection of the lead with its tip. Unfortunately, fixation to the occluded vein wall tissue scar of the connecting tissue surrounding the proximal damaged and long drawn-out lead ending, was found to be more resistant than the welded joint of the metal conductor with the tip of the extracted lead.

Figure 1. A. X-ray picture before lead extraction. Pandiculated V lead visible; B. Venography; RVA — right ventricular apex.
lead. The connection was broken and one free end-
ing of the lead, although a distal one, was obtained
and was firmly grasped with a Dotter basket cathe-
ter. The tip (as with the second one) remained in
the RV endocardium. Afterwards, 13 F sheath of the
Femoral Work Station (Cook) with oblique tip (ma-
nually cut) was introduced. By rotating the catheter
(in the same way as with the Byrd dilatators), we
managed to liberate the proximal (subclavian) end-
ing of the lead and removed it successfully, but with-
out its silicone tube. At the moment of grasping the
long-drawn-out lead, we could not evaluate what we
captured in the Dotter basket (Figs. 3, 4).

Post-operative transesophageal echocardiog-
raphy (TEE) performed with SONOS 5500 (Philips,
Andover, MA, USA) revealed an unusual striped,
thin, corded, very limp structure without metallic
reflection. Incomplete lead extraction did not bring
to an end the laboratory symptoms of infection,
because leucocytosis with high levels of CRP was
noted (Fig. 5).

Therefore, we decided to try to remove this
‘invisible on X-ray’ structure mainly using TEE to
navigate the tools introduced through the femoral
vein. Several dozen opening and closing basket
catheters inside the right atrium, superior cava vein,
and inferior cava vein were ineffective, but one out
of the ten opening and closing lasso 35-mm cathe-
ter allowed us to catch something invisible, and
pulling it changed the TEE picture. Finally, we re-
moved the lasso catheter along with the lost 21-cm-
long silicone tube, which was connected with the
disappearance of the thin, corded, very limp, and
mobile structure. The patient, after both the proce-
dures, recovered quickly, with normalization of the
laboratory markers of inflammatory process. TEE did
not demonstrate abnormalities in the heart or avail-
able venous system (Fig. 6).

**Discussion**

Extraction of endocardial leads can cause comp-
lications connected to rupture, and the distal frag-
ment can remain within the RV or venous system
[5–7]. In our patient, the connecting tissue sur-
rounding the proximal lead ending was very strong,
and as with the first one, it was the distal part of
the lead that was obtained, which was the cause of
Figure 3. A, B. Distal ending of lead became free (without tip) and was grasped with Dotter catheter; C, D, E. Proximal part of lead liberation from the connecting tissue scars with 13 F teflon catheter with oblique tip (manually cut).

Figure 4A–D. Landscape after the battle; all leads extracted, but two tips of the leads remained in right ventricular endocardium. From the third (UP) lead, only the spiral metal conductor was extracted.
Figure 5. A. Transesophageal echocardiography after the procedure; arrows: in right atrium (RA), highly mobile structure with lead appearance; B. Transesophageal echocardiography; arrows: extensive movement of this structure (A) in the same second; C. Transesophageal echocardiography; long arrows: long linear structure in RA, near RA wall connected to RA wall (appendage); short arrows: thickening of this structure with fibrotic tissue (confirmed after extraction); D. Transesophageal echocardiography; arrow: in this view, a small structure in RA appendage only is seen; LA — left atrium; RV — right ventricle; AOV — aortic valve.

Figure 6. Silicone tube removal. A. Something invisible retracted with lasso catheter into the sheath; B, C. Removed during previous silicone tube procedure.
atypical extraction with surprising result. Several studies have demonstrated the usefulness of ECHO examination in the diagnosis of infection and complications during lead extraction [8–10]. However, to the best of our knowledge, ours is the first case in which TEE examination alone revealed an ‘invisible structure’ which was successfully removed through the joint efforts of an electrophysiologist, interventional radiologist, and cardiologist with ECHO examination experience.

This case proves that patients with increasing complications where there is a need for ‘troubleshooting’ will be referred to our hospitals. So, we must always provide the best high-volume medical centers to treat their complications. Such complications pose real challenges and highlight the need for experienced interventional radiologists and cardiologists with great ECHO examination experience.

Conclusions

The presented case is of a rare but serious lead-extraction complication owing to the loss of a lead silicone tube. Complete radiological success is not the only definition of success. Our case shows that ECHO techniques can be used to detect X-ray-invisible broken lead fragments, and indicates the possibility of success of a TEE-guided procedure.
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