

Management of cervical cancer during pregnancy – a systematic review

Anna Dąbrowska¹ , Adrian Perdyan² , Bartosz K. Sobocki¹ , Jacek Rutkowski³ 

¹Student Scientific Circle of Oncology and Radiotherapy, Medical University of Gdansk, Gdansk, Poland

²3P-Medicine Laboratory, Medical University of Gdansk, Gdansk, Poland

³Department of Oncology and Radiotherapy, Medical University of Gdansk, Gdansk, Poland

Diagnosis of neoplasms during pregnancy and establishing a treatment schedule that is safe for both mother and fetus is problematic. This review summarizes knowledge about the problems associated with cervical cancer during pregnancy and current recommendations for diagnosis and treatment. The systematic review was performed according to PRISMA guidelines. The search was performed using PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar. Seven articles on 317 pregnant women with cervical cancer were included. Stage of disease, gestational age at diagnosis, treatment in pregnancy, type of delivery, gestational age of delivery, treatment after delivery, follow-up and main conclusion were analysed. The rare phenomenon of neoplasms during pregnancy, as well as a limited research, do not allow for the development of clear guidelines for the treatment of cervical cancer in pregnant women. It is warrant to address discussed problems in future clinical research to provide the best care for pregnant cancer patients.

Key words: pregnancy, cervical cancer, chemotherapy, radiotherapy, surgery

Introduction

The prevalence of cancer during pregnancy is relatively low and accounts for about 0.1% of all pregnancies [1, 2]. The most commonly diagnosed malignancies are breast cancer (BC), cervical cancer, melanoma, lymphoma, and leukemia [1]. Moreover, the management of cervical cancer during pregnancy is highly challenging in the context of reproductive organ involvement and the occurrence of hormonal changes affecting the anatomy of the female pelvis [3]. Additionally, during pregnancy, an increase in vascular permeability and vascularization is observed with simultaneous immune system suppression, which can contribute to the delay in cancer detection and rapid tumor progression [1]. Thus, it is warranted to establish a relevant treatment strategy that will be safe for both mother and fetus. In this review, we summarized current knowledge about cervical cancer

management during pregnancy in the context of different oncological treatment modalities.

Material and methods

Search strategy

The systematic review was performed according to the PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) protocol [4] and the PICO (Population, Intervention, Comparison, Outcome) search tool [5].

Evidence acquisition

To find studies reporting information about management of cervical cancer during pregnancy, on the 4th of April 2023 a data searching using PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar was performed. The following search queries were used: "cancer during pregnancy", "chemotherapy

Jak cytować / How to cite:

Dąbrowska A, Perdyan A, Sobocki BK, Rutkowski J. *Management of cervical cancer during pregnancy – a systematic review*. NOWOTWORY J Oncol 2024; 74: 27–33.

during pregnancy” and “gynecologic cancer during pregnancy”, “cervical cancer during pregnancy”. We selected 28 articles for full-text analysis, and 7 of them were further analysed.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

We included original articles, reviews, cohort studies, case reports, case studies and guidelines. The included articles covered the diagnosis, treatment, pregnancy termination, and delivery of cervical cancer in pregnant women. Studies in languages other than English were excluded from this review. Studies published as abstracts or letters were excluded. We also excluded articles that focused only on gynecological cancers in pregnancy without considering cervical cancer.

Evidence synthesis

Table 1 includes the following information: name of the first author, year of the study, number of patients, stage of disease, gestational age at diagnosis, treatment in pregnancy, type of delivery, gestational age of delivery, treatment after delivery, follow-up, and main conclusion.

Results

We included and analysed 7 original articles. There were 317 pregnant women with cervical cancer, including 1 patient with carcinoma *in situ*, 213 patients with stage I, 46 patients with stage II, 2 patients with stage III, 1 patient with stage IV, 26 patients with stage II–IV, 7 patients with stage III–IV, and 21 with unknown stages.

Gestational age at diagnosis and delivery

The diagnosis was usually made in the 2nd trimester of pregnancy (5 studies). Then in the 1st trimester (2 studies), the 3rd trimester (2 studies), and postpartum (1 study). The 35th week of pregnancy was the most common time for delivery, according to the analysed articles (2 studies). In 4 articles these data were not provided.

Treatment in pregnancy

We summarized various treatments before delivery. In the case of as many as 71 patients, pregnancy termination was performed. 50 patients underwent surgery. Combination therapy was used in 68 women. 51 patients received chemotherapy, 2 patients received concurrent chemoradiotherapy, and 2 patients received radiotherapy. One dilatation and curettage were performed. One patient refused treatment. The data for 3 patients was not provided.

Type of delivery

The most common type of delivery was caesarean section (156 patients, including 29 with additional procedures); 29 patients ended their pregnancies by vaginal delivery. In 2 articles these data were not provided.

Treatment after delivery

Across the included studies, 6 patients received radiotherapy alone, 20 patients received radiochemotherapy, and 6 patients received concurrent chemoradiotherapy; 24 women underwent post-delivery surgery; 23 patients received combination therapy. In the case of 9 women, no treatment or unclear treatment was declared. The data for 41 patients was not provided.

Discussion

Overall incidence of cancer in pregnant women is relatively uncommon. The strictly established guidelines for management are lacking. Hence, it is important to discuss diagnostic and treatment strategies, especially for less common and difficult-to-manage tumors, such as cervical cancer.

Diagnosis

Although symptoms of cervical cancer may often be masked by hormonal changes, due to routine prenatal screening, the detection rate is above 70% [6]. However, there is still a risk of assigning the symptoms of cervical cancer to normal pregnancy and benign conditions, which ultimately delays the diagnosis [1, 7]. Therefore, pregnant and postpartum women should be cautious about irregular vaginal bleeding or abnormal vaginal discharge (bloody, purulent, or smelly) [7, 8]. Therefore, it should be remembered that a clinical examination and histological verification of cervical cancer in a pregnant patient are obligatory [9].

Cytology and pelvic examinations are useful for the detection of asymptomatic cervical cancer. Therefore, the first visit during pregnancy is crucial, especially for patients who have not participated in screening programs [6, 10]. Cytology is a safe procedure for pregnant women and the fetus. Its specificity and sensitivity are comparable to the results of non-pregnant women [7]. In the case of abnormal cytology results, a colposcopy-directed biopsy should be performed, preferentially during the first two trimesters before the periods of the highest hormonal secretion and increased revascularization [7, 10]. Colposcopy provides high sensitivity and safety, with a complication rate up to 0.6%. The most frequent complications are hemorrhage, premature birth, or miscarriage [2]. Even if the colposcopy results are abnormal, endocervical curettage is contraindicated as it increases the risk of miscarriage and premature delivery [2, 7, 9].

In general, diagnosis and staging should be performed similarly as in non-pregnant women, with the exception of imaging procedures emitting ionizing radiation (e.g., positron emission tomography [PET-CT], computed tomography [CT], and X-ray) [11]. Therefore, ultrasound and MRI are the first-choice diagnostic methods [2, 12]. However, in exceptional circumstances, CT or X-ray may be considered. For instance, for patients with invasive cervical cancer in stage IB1 and higher, a chest X-ray to assess lung metastases should be done [13]. A chest CT scan with abdominal shielding can also be used

Table 1. Summary of analyzed studies

First author, year [ref.]	Stage (n)	Gestational age at diagnosis in weeks – median (range)	Treatment in pregnancy (n)	Type of delivery	Gestational age at delivery in weeks – median (range)	Treatment after delivery	Follow-up – median (range)	Main conclusion
Fukushima, 2009 [10]	IA1 (6) IB1 (13) IB2 (2) IIA (1) IIB (1) IVB (1)	16 (6–33)*	TAH (1) TOP + sRAH (1) TOP + RAH (9) TOP + EXL + CCRT (1) TOP + RAH + RT (1) DC (1) denied (1)	CD (1) VD (10)	35.4 (22–42)	Cone + TAH (2) RAH (3) ExL + CT (1) CCRT (1) Cone + EXL + CCRT (1)	50.5 (9–150)	treatment delay during pregnancy should be discussed due to the risk of underestimated disease severity in pregnant patients (p = 0.016)
Halaska, 2019 [17]	IA (19) IB1 (62) IB2 (25) II–IV (26)	18.4 (7–39)	S (23) TOP (35) NACT (28)	CD (84) VD (12) ND (1)	ND	CT + RT (18) S (17)	67 (2–269)	the prognosis of pregnant patients with cervical cancer is similar to non-pregnant women the hazard ratio for progression-free survival was 1.17 (95% CI: 0.64–2.12, p = 0.62)
Li, 2020 [19]	IA (7) IB1 (30) IB2 (33) II (28) III–IV (7)	TOP: 14.8 (5–31) COP: 30.8 (6–41)	RH (23) abortion + RH (20) abortion + CCRT (2) HT + RH (19) ND (3)	CD (34) VD (1) CD + RH (3)	ND	RH + CT (11) RH + CCRT (8) RH (3) CCRT (4) NT/UT (9)	61 ± 6 (1–173)	the hazard ratio for overall survival was 1.063 (95% CI: 0.24–4.71, p = 0.964)
Köhler, 2015 [22]	ND (21)	17 (13–23)	NACT (21)	CD (2) CD + RH (16) CD + SH (1) CD + TMMR (1) CD + pelvic LND (1)	33 (30–36)	ND	33 (7–88)	the overall survival rate was 95.3%
Ustaalioğlu, 2010 [23]	IB2 (1)	1 st trimester	therapeutic abortion	ND	ND	RT + CT	19.7 (2–122)	the prognosis was poor due to the early diagnosis
Zhang, 2015 [26]	<i>in situ</i> (1) IA1 (1) IB1 (5) IB2 (1) IIA (8) IIB (3) IIIB (1)	2 nd trimester (4) 1 st trimester (8) 3 rd trimester (2) PP (6)	S (3) S + RT (3) S + CCRT (5) NACT + S + CCRT (1) S + CCRT + CT (2) NACT + S + CT (1) NACT + CCRT (1) CCRT (2) RT (1) CCRT + CT (1)	CD (4) VD (3)	ND	ND	68 (14–142)	women with cervical cancer during pregnancy require personalized treatment



Table 1 cont. Summary of analyzed studies

First author, year [ref.]	Stage (n)	Gestational age at diagnosis in weeks – median (range)	Treatment in pregnancy (n)	Type of delivery	Gestational age at delivery in weeks – median (range)	Treatment after delivery	Follow-up – median (range)	Main conclusion
Bo, 2021 [29]	IA1 (4) IA2 (2) IB1 (1) IB3 (1) IIA1 (1) IIA2 (3) IIB (2)	18 (7–36)*	CKC+ NSD (2) CT (2) TOP + RH (1) NSD (1)	VD (3) CD + RH (7) miscarriage (1) CD (2)	35 (9–39)	RT (6) RT + CT (1) CCRT (1) RH (1)	NA	early diagnosis and effective treatment improve the survival rate of pregnant women

* – hard to estimate; CCRT – concurrent chemoradiotherapy; CD – cesarean delivery; CKC – cold knife conization; COP – continuation of pregnancy; CT – chemotherapy; DC – dilation and curettage; DL – delay; DV – delivery; Ecl – exploratory laparotomy; HT – hysterotomy; LND – lymphadenectomy; NA – not applicable; NACT – neoadjuvant chemotherapy; ND – no data; NSD – nominal standard dose; NT/UT – no treatment/unclear treatment; PP – postpartum; PTD – pre-term delivery; RAH – radical abdominal hysterectomy; RH – radical hysterectomy; RT – radiotherapy; S – surgery; SH – simple hysterectomy; TAH – total abdominal hysterectomy; TMHR – total mesometrial resection; TOP – termination of pregnancy; VD – vaginal delivery

as an alternative to diffusion-dependent magnetic resonance imaging (whole-body diffusion-weighted MRI [WB-DWI/MRI]) to evaluate nodal and distant metastases [9], although it should be remembered that any exposure of the fetus to ionizing radiation may be associated with negative effects. If performed in the first trimester, the risk of fetal impairment, childhood cancer, and leukemia is significantly increased [1, 14]. Gadolinium, which is commonly used for MRI as a contrast, is not recommended during pregnancy due to an increased risk of stillbirth, neonatal death, rheumatologic and skin diseases [12, 15].

To establish the clinical stage of disease, a lymph node assessment is done, preferably by the 24th week of pregnancy. This is particularly important due to the prognostic significance and determination of further management [9]. PET-CT, which is commonly used for this purpose, is not recommended during pregnancy. Unfortunately, standard MRI scanning is not specific enough to assess the lymph nodes. Thus, the best approach is to perform a laparoscopic lymphadenectomy and histopathological examination afterward, as it has been proven to be a safe and effective method in women before the 22nd week of pregnancy [2, 12]. That said, in the advanced stages of pregnancy, lymphadenectomy should be avoided [12]. An acceptable alternative to PET-CT and lymphadenectomy in these circumstances can be a WB-DWI / MRI, which has no negative effects on the fetus and has higher specificity than standard MRI scanning [6, 15].

Each patient should be consulted by a multidisciplinary team to establish a treatment plan, considering not only the tumor stage and gestational age at diagnosis but also patient preferences. Further treatment should only be carried out in gynecology and oncology centers affiliated with perinatal centers [9].

Treatment

Treatment of cervical cancer in pregnant patients can be challenging due to balancing between positive oncological effects on the mother, the protection of the fetus, and the preservation of fertility [7, 16]. After fertility-sparing treatment, any pregnancy should be considered a high-risk pregnancy [9]. Moreover, the choice of treatment regimen is highly dependent on the gestational age at the time of diagnosis. Hence, during the first trimester, a standard of care adequate to the FIGO stage and pregnancy termination is preferred [17, 18]. During the second or third trimester, neoadjuvant chemotherapy or definitive treatment delay and induction of delivery are used, respectively. Importantly, the tumor size and local extension International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics (FIGO) influence cancer management. Small tumors are more often treated surgically, whereas neoadjuvant chemotherapy is used to treat tumors bigger than 2–4 cm [17]. Nevertheless, in the case of lymph node involvement, neoadjuvant chemotherapy should be administered as

soon as possible [8]. On the other hand, it has been stated that a treatment delay during pregnancy should be discouraged due to the risk of underdiagnosis, which occurs more frequently in pregnant than non-pregnant women [12]. According to the latest guidelines, delaying oncological treatment until fetal maturity should be considered if the term of delivery or fetal maturity is approaching (>34 weeks of age). Then, immediately after the caesarean section, treatment can be started [9]. In conclusion, due to limited and conflicting data, a safe delay time for treatment cannot be determined. Due to the difficulties associated with the treatment of cervical cancer in pregnant women, it is important to have access to several therapeutic methods and discuss them with the patient [9].

Surgery

In women with early-stage cancer (IA2–IB2 and IIA1), a hysterectomy or trachelectomy is performed, whereas chemoradiotherapy is administered for locally advanced tumors [19, 20]. Conization or simple trachelectomy can remove the tumor if the patient wants to preserve the pregnancy [9]. Radical trachelectomy is not recommended during pregnancy due to the high prevalence of surgical and obstetric complications [15, 21]. After a simple or radical trachelectomy, delivery is possible only by caesarean section [9]. If surgery is decided upon, the best time is between the 15th and 20th week of pregnancy [2, 15]. If there is a residual tumor after surgery that cannot be completely removed, chemotherapy may be started [9]. All in all, surgical treatment seems to be the safest option during pregnancy. However, the condition of the fetus should be checked with ultrasonography before and after the induction of general anesthesia [11]. Interestingly, the negative effects of anesthesia on the fetus are related to complications on the mother's side rather than the direct influence of administered drugs [1, 14, 21]. The condition of the fetus should be constantly monitored and consulted with an obstetrician during the whole treatment period.

Chemotherapy

Neoadjuvant chemotherapy provides the opportunity for regression of not only the primary tumor but also the site of nodal and distant metastases if present. However, the main drawback is the loss of ovarian reserve [19, 22]. Hence, pregnancy preservation should be considered before the administration of chemotherapy [16]. Teratogenicity is highly associated with exposure time, applied dose, type of drug, and placental transfer. Thus, most standard regimens are implemented after the 14th week of pregnancy.

The most common neoadjuvant chemotherapy regimen is a combination of cisplatin and paclitaxel as both provide the lowest risk of adverse effects [11, 23]. In the case of preeclampsia or renal failure, carboplatin may be considered instead of cisplatin as it is less nephrotoxic, but more hematotoxic [14, 16].

Furthermore, it is possible to combine platinum derivatives with taxanes. It is noteworthy that the use of bevacizumab and immune checkpoint inhibitors is contraindicated [9].

Currently, the main challenge to overcome is the placental transfer of maternally administered drugs. In comparison to taxanes, cisplatin and carboplatin penetrate this barrier more easily and increase fetal side effects [8, 23]. For instance, children whose mothers have received cisplatin may have impaired hearing [7, 15]. Further research in this field is needed to find ways of decreasing the placental transfer of teratogenic drugs.

A rarely addressed issue is drug pharmacokinetics, which may significantly vary from the 4th week of pregnancy [8]. Specifically, an increase of plasma volume, glomerular filtration rate, and enterohepatic circulation, reduce the concentration of the drug in the body [1, 16]. Additionally, the amniotic fluid serves as a "third space", extending drug exposure [21, 23]. The standard dosing schedule is based on height and current weight, the same as for non-pregnant women. Thus, it is important to take into account the patient's weight during pregnancy when calculating the dose of chemotherapy in each cycle [8, 14].

The administration of chemotherapy in each trimester increases the prevalence of different complications. As such, in the first trimester, there is an increased risk of malformations and spontaneous miscarriage [2, 11, 24]. On the other hand, in the second and third trimesters there is an increased chance of stillbirth, premature birth, intrauterine growth restriction (IUGR), and low birth weight [1, 2]. To decrease the occurrence of congenital abnormalities, chemotherapy should be delayed until the second trimester, but the consequences of this approach should be considered in the light of maternal health [15]. Despite some negative effects in the second and third trimesters, which need to be monitored, chemotherapy is considered to be quite safe during this period [1, 2].

Each cycle of chemotherapy should be preceded by a clinical examination and transvaginal or transrectal ultrasound to assess the patient's response to treatment. If there is no response after 2 cycles of chemotherapy, treatment should be evaluated [9].

Importantly, chemotherapy should be stopped 3 weeks before delivery or before the 37th week of pregnancy to regenerate the bone marrow of the mother and the fetus [1, 15]. Intriguingly, there is a lack of consensus about the long-term side effects of children whose mothers have received chemotherapy during pregnancy. However, there are studies reporting negative results [17, 19], including associations with a higher risk of growth restriction, cognitive impairment, ototoxicity and cardiotoxicity [8].

Radiotherapy

Due to the emission of high doses of ionizing radiation, radiotherapy is generally forbidden in pregnant women as it induces

spontaneous abortion within one month of completion [21]. Hence, postponing the radiotherapy until delivery is the only option when pregnancy preservation is the primary goal.

Concomitant radiochemotherapy and brachytherapy are applied when the disease stage is defined as FIGO IB and above [25]. When the patient chooses to preserve pregnancy, radiotherapy with chemotherapy is postponed until after delivery [6].

Interestingly, postpartum radiotherapy planning might be challenging. The uterus returns to its original shape and size within 6 weeks of delivery [26]. Due to these dynamic changes and organ motion, monitoring and eventual modification of the irradiated area during radiotherapy should be applied.

Delivery and breastfeeding

Cancer progression may enforce premature delivery. If possible, delivery after the 37th week of pregnancy is preferred. If early delivery is unavoidable, steroids should be administered to induce fetal lung maturation [7, 15]. Spontaneous delivery is associated with a negative prognosis [9]. Therefore, in the management of invasive cervical cancer, caesarean section is the preferred method of delivery, followed by definitive treatment [7, 14, 18, 27]. This type of schedule may decrease the risk of neoplastic cell implantation in the episiotomy scar as well as reduce metastasis spreading during vaginal delivery [14, 15]. Finally, as chemotherapy passes into breast milk, breastfeeding is forbidden during treatment. However, it can be reintroduced at least 3 weeks after the last cycle [2, 15, 21].

Pregnancy termination

If the patient wishes to preserve the pregnancy, neoadjuvant chemotherapy should be considered for locally advanced cervical cancer. However, if the woman decides not to preserve the pregnancy, the standard of care adapted to the FIGO stage should be the same as for non-pregnant women [2, 6]. In general, pregnancy termination is offered to patients diagnosed with cervical cancer before the 20th week of pregnancy [14]. However, at different stages of pregnancy, the use of specific treatments may carry different risks of complications. Thus, in stages IB3 and higher, the patient may undergo chemo-radiotherapy with the fetus present in the uterus in the first trimester. However, in the second trimester, a hysterectomy followed by chemoradiotherapy should be performed, as it reduces the risk of obstetric complications [15].

Future directions

Due to the low incidence of cervical cancer among pregnant women, there are no specific treatment guidelines established [28]. Thus, the current recommendations are based on limited data derived from a small number of retrospective trials [17]. There is a definite need for true evidence-based data that would define the cancer treatment schedule with adequate and safe drug doses. However, such data may only be obtained from prospective clinical trials, which obviously

cannot succeed due to ethical considerations [29]. Moreover, due to the rising rate of cervical cancer in young women, it is necessary to establish fertility-sparing management and guidelines [10]. Furthermore, there is no relevant data assessing the impact of pregnancy on the course of gynecological neoplasms [15]. Recently, Li et al. observed no survival differences between women who preserved their pregnancy and those who terminated it [20]. However, this observation should be further confirmed by others, as physiological changes during pregnancy may accelerate the development of cancer [1, 7, 30].

Conclusions

The treatment of pregnant women with cancer unequivocally presents a serious challenge. The lack of experience in the diagnosis and treatment of neoplasms in pregnant women may lead to delayed and inappropriate management. As such, it can harm both maternal and fetal health. The analyzed literature does not define uniform treatment methods, as it is based on general recommendations and small sample case studies. Therefore, the pregnant patient with cervical cancer should be cared for by experienced board of obstetricians, gynecologists, neonatologists, and oncologists. It is worth addressing the discussed problems in future clinical research so as to provide the very best care possible for pregnant cancer patients.

Article information and declarations

Author contributions

Anna Dąbrowska – conceptualization, data curation, formal analysis, investigation, methodology, project administration, validation, visualization, roles/writing – original draft, review and editing.

Adrian Perdyan – data curation, formal analysis, investigation, methodology, resources, validation, visualization, roles/writing – original draft, review and editing.

Bartosz K. Sobocki – investigation, methodology, resources, validation, roles/writing – original draft, review and editing.

Jacek Rutkowski – conceptualization, funding acquisition, investigation, project administration, resources, supervision, validation, roles/writing – original draft, review and editing.

Acknowledgments

We thank Amar Balihodzic for proofreading the article.

Conflict of interest

None declared

Anna Dąbrowska

*Medical University of Gdansk
Student Scientific Circle of Oncology and Radiotherapy
ul. Marii Skłodowskiej-Curie 3a
80-210 Gdańsk, Poland
e-mail: anna.dabrowska@gumed.edu.pl*

Received: 16 Aug 2023

Accepted: 21 Nov 2023

References

1. Hepner A, Negrini D, Hase EA, et al. Cancer During Pregnancy: The Oncologist Overview. *World J Oncol*. 2019; 10(1): 28–34, doi: 10.14740/wjon1177, indexed in Pubmed: 30834049.
2. Korenaga TRK, Tewari KS. Gynecologic cancer in pregnancy. *Gynecol Oncol*. 2020; 157(3): 799–809, doi: 10.1016/j.ygyno.2020.03.015, indexed in Pubmed: 32268951.
3. Amant F, Halaska MJ, Fumagalli M, et al. ESGO task force 'Cancer in Pregnancy': Gynecologic cancers in pregnancy: guidelines of a second international consensus meeting. *Int J Gynecol Cancer*. 2014; 24(3): 394–403, doi: 10.1097/JG.C.0000000000000062, indexed in Pubmed: 24445819.
4. Page M, McKenzie J, Bossuyt P, et al. The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. *BMJ*. 2021; n71, doi: 10.1136/bmj.n71.
5. Methley AM, Campbell S, Chew-Graham C, et al. PICO, PICOS and SPIDER: a comparison study of specificity and sensitivity in three search tools for qualitative systematic reviews. *BMC Health Serv Res*. 2014; 14: 579, doi: 10.1186/s12913-014-0579-0, indexed in Pubmed: 25413154.
6. Morice P, Uzan C, Gouy S, et al. Gynaecological cancers in pregnancy. *Lancet*. 2012; 379(9815): 558–569, doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(11)60829-5, indexed in Pubmed: 22325661.
7. Beharee N, Shi Z, Wu D, et al. Diagnosis and treatment of cervical cancer in pregnant women. *Cancer Med*. 2019; 8(12): 5425–5430, doi: 10.1002/cam4.2435, indexed in Pubmed: 31385452.
8. Han SN, Verheecke M, Vandenbroucke T, et al. Management of gynecological cancers during pregnancy. *Curr Oncol Rep*. 2014; 16(12): 415, doi: 10.1007/s11912-014-0415-z, indexed in Pubmed: 25344340.
9. Cibula D, Raspollini MR, Planchamp F, et al. ESGO/ESTRO/ESP Guidelines for the management of patients with cervical cancer - Update 2023. *Int J Gynecol Cancer*. 2023; 33(5): 649–666, doi: 10.1136/ijgc-2023-004429, indexed in Pubmed: 37127326.
10. Fukushima K, Ogawa S, Tsukimori K, et al. Can we diagnose invasive cervical cancer during pregnancy as precise as in nonpregnant women?: maternal and perinatal outcome in pregnancies complicated with cervical cancers. *Int J Gynecol Cancer*. 2009; 19(8): 1439–1445, doi: 10.1111/JG.C.0b013e3181a83ebf, indexed in Pubmed: 20009904.
11. Salani R, Billingsley CC, Crafton SM. Cancer and pregnancy: an overview for obstetricians and gynecologists. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2014; 211(1): 7–14, doi: 10.1016/j.ajog.2013.12.002, indexed in Pubmed: 24316272.
12. Fox CR, Burdeaux S, Downing KT. A 33-Year-Old Woman in the Third Trimester of Pregnancy Diagnosed with Advanced-Stage Squamous Cell Cervical Carcinoma by Magnetic Resonance Imaging and Biopsy. *Am J Case Rep*. 2021; 22: e933639, doi: 10.12659/AJCR.933639, indexed in Pubmed: 34644286.
13. Cordeiro CN, Gemignani ML. Gynecologic Malignancies in Pregnancy: Balancing Fetal Risks With Oncologic Safety. *Obstet Gynecol Surv*. 2017; 72(3): 184–193, doi: 10.1097/OGX.0000000000000407, indexed in Pubmed: 28304416.
14. Amant F, Van Calsteren K, Vergote I, et al. Gynecologic oncology in pregnancy. *Crit Rev Oncol Hematol*. 2008; 67(3): 187–195, doi: 10.1016/j.critrevonc.2008.01.006, indexed in Pubmed: 18296060.
15. Amant F, Berveiller P, Boere IA, et al. Gynecologic cancers in pregnancy: guidelines based on a third international consensus meeting. *Ann Oncol*. 2019; 30(10): 1601–1612, doi: 10.1093/annonc/mdz228, indexed in Pubmed: 31435648.
16. Perrone AM, Bovicelli A, D'Andrilli G, et al. Cervical cancer in pregnancy: Analysis of the literature and innovative approaches. *J Cell Physiol*. 2019; 234(9): 14975–14990, doi: 10.1002/jcp.28340, indexed in Pubmed: 30790275.
17. Halaska MJ, Uzan C, Han SN, et al. Characteristics of patients with cervical cancer during pregnancy: a multicenter matched cohort study. An initiative from the International Network on Cancer, Infertility and Pregnancy. *Int J Gynecol Cancer*. 2019 [Epub ahead of print], doi: 10.1136/ijgc-2018-000103, indexed in Pubmed: 30898935.
18. Danielska J, Moszyńska-Zielińska M, Gottwald L, et al. Pregnancy-related cervical cancer in the material of the Regional Cancer Centre, Łódź, between 2011 and 2014. *Nowotwory. Journal of Oncology*. 2017; 66(5): 359–366, doi: 10.5603/njo.2016.0065.
19. Ricci C, Scambia G, Vincenzo RDe. Locally Advanced Cervical Cancer in Pregnancy: Overcoming the Challenge. A Case Series and Review of the Literature. *Int J Gynecol Cancer*. 2016; 26(8): 1490–1496, doi: 10.1097/igc.0000000000000795.
20. Li M, Zhao Y, Qie M, et al. Management of Cervical Cancer in Pregnant Women: A Multi-Center Retrospective Study in China. *Front Med (Lausanne)*. 2020; 7: 538815, doi: 10.3389/fmed.2020.538815, indexed in Pubmed: 33365317.
21. Halaska M, Rob L, Robova H, et al. Treatment of gynecological cancers diagnosed during pregnancy. *Future Oncology*. 2016; 12(19): 2265–2275, doi: 10.2217/fo-2016-0271.
22. Di Donato V, Caruso G, Sassu CM, et al. Fertility-sparing surgery for women with stage I cervical cancer of 4 cm or larger: a systematic review. *J Gynecol Oncol*. 2021; 32(6): e83, doi: 10.3802/jgo.2021.32.e83, indexed in Pubmed: 34431254.
23. Köhler C, Oppelt P, Favero G, et al. How much platinum passes the placental barrier? Analysis of platinum applications in 21 patients with cervical cancer during pregnancy. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2015; 213(2): 206.e1–206.e5, doi: 10.1016/j.ajog.2015.02.022, indexed in Pubmed: 25731691.
24. Ustaalioglu BB, Gumus M, Unal A, et al. Anatolian Medical Oncology Society Group. Malignancies diagnosed during pregnancy and treated with chemotherapy or other modalities (review of 27 cases): multicenter experiences. *Int J Gynecol Cancer*. 2010; 20(5): 698–703, doi: 10.1111/igc.0b013e3181daaf3e, indexed in Pubmed: 20973261.
25. Holschneider CH, Peterreit DG, Chu C, et al. Brachytherapy: A critical component of primary radiation therapy for cervical cancer: From the Society of Gynecologic Oncology (SGO) and the American Brachytherapy Society (ABS). *Brachytherapy*. 2019; 18(2): 123–132, doi: 10.1016/j.brachy.2018.11.009, indexed in Pubmed: 30665713.
26. Paliulyte V, Drasutiene GS, Ramasauskaite D, et al. Physiological Uterine Involution in Primiparous and Multiparous Women: Ultrasound Study. *Obstet Gynecol Int*. 2017; 2017: 6739345, doi: 10.1155/2017/6739345, indexed in Pubmed: 28555159.
27. Zhang X, Gao YI, Yang Y. Treatment and prognosis of cervical cancer associated with pregnancy: analysis of 20 cases from a Chinese tumor institution. *J Zhejiang Univ Sci B*. 2015; 16(5): 388–394, doi: 10.1631/jzus.B1400251, indexed in Pubmed: 25990056.
28. Kong TW, Lee EJ, Lee Y, et al. Neoadjuvant and postoperative chemotherapy with paclitaxel plus cisplatin for the treatment of FIGO stage IB cervical cancer in pregnancy. *Obstet Gynecol Sci*. 2014; 57(6): 539–543, doi: 10.5468/ogs.2014.57.6.539, indexed in Pubmed: 25469346.
29. THE WORLD MEDICAL ASSOCIATION, INC. DECLARATION OF HELSINKI Ethical Principles for Medical Research Involving Human Subjects.
30. Bo Di, Liu J, Li Y, et al. Analysis of Cases of Cervical Cancer in Pregnant Women. *Cancer Manag Res*. 2021; 13: 9201–9208, doi: 10.2147/CMAR.S305565, indexed in Pubmed: 34934363.