Cardiac ultrasound: a true haemodynamic monitor?
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Abstract

Cardiac ultrasound has been used in the critically ill for more than thirty years. The technology has made enormous progression with respect to image quality and quantity, various Doppler techniques, as well as connectivity, the transfer of data and offline calculations. Some consider cardiac ultrasound as the stethoscope of the Twenty-first century. The potential of eye-balling moving cardiac structures gives undeniable power to this diagnostic and monitoring tool. The main shortcoming is the discontinuous mode of monitoring and the fact that optimal information acquisition can only be obtained when one is well-trained and experienced. Cardiac ultrasound has become an indispensable tool, especially in haemodynamically unstable patients. This review summarizes some important aspects of cardiac ultrasound with use of Doppler monitoring for assessment of the three most important pillars of haemodynamics, namely cardiac preload, afterload and contractile function.
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Cardiac ultrasound has been used in the critically ill for more than thirty years. The technology has made enormous advances with respect to image quality and quantity, various Doppler techniques, as well as connectivity, the transfer of data and offline calculations. Because this technological progression, the technique has developed as an all-round and versatile tool, offering haemodynamic information at the bedside regarding major cardiac and vascular issues. Furthermore, in the critical-care setting, this tool can be utilized as a haemodynamic monitor. Whereas transthoracic echocardiography (TTE) is mostly used in ICU and postoperative patients, transoesophageal echocardiography (TEE) and Doppler is used more often intra-operatively. The latter is even so utilized to answer specific questions and as a monitoring tool in ventilated ICU patients.

No single monitoring tool can offer more information, permitting the assessment of ventricular and valvular function, flow and flow velocities and regional wall motion abnormalities, pressure gradients, and even information on intra-cardiac pressures [1]. The potential of moving cardiac structures gives undeniable power to this diagnostic and monitoring tool.

The main shortcoming is the discontinuous mode of monitoring. It goes without saying that most optimal information acquisition can only be obtained when one is well-trained and experienced not only at the level of cardiac ultrasound imaging and technology but also in the understanding (patho-)physiology of different disease states. A major difficulty of cardiac ultrasound is obtaining and recognizing the different images and structures to allow confident Doppler/2-D imaging, which permits correct estimation of pressure gradients, flows across valves or regional wall motion abnormalities. All of these requires a learning curve in order to attain a level of proficiency.

Left and right ventricular systolic function and cardiac output are determined by contractility, preload, afterload and heart rate. The present report reviews consecutively systolic function and contractility, preload and afterload as
pivotal haemodynamic variables from cardiac ultrasound in the critically ill and how these variables can be accomplished in a straightforward manner with the help of echo-Doppler techniques.

**VENTRICULAR SYSTOLIC FUNCTION**

Systolic function of both the left ventricle (LV) and right ventricle (RV) can be quickly assessed by eye-balling at different levels of the heart using short or long axis views. Systolic failure is the first issue to be assessed in shock patients and whenever hypotension occurs and persists, notwithstanding a rapid filling manoeuvre such as passive leg raising or the Trendelenburg position [2]. Managing unexplained hypotension is always a great challenge. In this respect, echo-Doppler is a great help: the short axis of the LV provides an interesting view in order to obtain an idea of global ventricular function, preload, regional wall motion abnormalities, left ventricular hypertrophy or pericardial fluid. Furthermore, a first impression of RV function can also be acquired.

Although the most frequently used technique, eye-balling requires a lot of experience, which can be attained only throughout lots of training. Different methods are available to assess LV systolic function easily. As most of these methods are load dependent, preload conditions should be taken into account to interpret LV systolic function correctly. The echo analogue of ejection fraction (EF) is the fractional area contraction (FAC), which can be estimated when end-diastolic and end-systolic area, assessed at the endocardial border at a short axis level, are taken into the following formula:

\[ \text{FAC} = \frac{(\text{LVEDA} - \text{LVESA})}{\text{LVEDA}} \]

Increase of preload (LVEDA) will augment fractional area contraction. A LVEDAI (LVEDA indexed for body surface area) < 5.5% cm² m⁻² suggests low preloading conditions [3]. In the presence of regional wall motion abnormalities, the value of LVEDA to circumscribe preloading conditions decreases. Therefore, increased susceptibility on regional wall motion abnormalities in the apical regions against the basis of the heart is important in this respect [4].

Stroke volume (SV) is another measure to assess systolic function of the LV indirectly. It may be calculated from the following formula:

\[ \text{SV} = \text{TVI} \times \text{AVA} \]

TVI, the time-velocity integral, resembles the area under the Doppler curve as a distance one erythrocyte is projected forward with one heart beat if the sample volume is set at the aortic valve cusps; while AVA, is the effective time-averaged aortic valve opening area (cm²). Whereas blood pressure remains remarkably constant during the early phases of hypovolaemic shock, stroke volume declines are the earliest warning of compromised circulation. TVI monitoring is a handsome monitoring tool in this respect. From SV, cardiac output could be calculated. A good correlation was found between Doppler-based estimations and non-invasive uncalibrated pulse-contour assessments of cardiac output [5].

\[ \text{CO} = \text{SV} \times \text{HR} = \text{HR (bpm)} \times \text{AVA (cm²)} \times \text{TVI (cm)} \]

Doppler-based SV methodology can also be utilized when aortic valve stenosis is present. A continuous wave Doppler across the aortic valve will demonstrate a double envelope image as depicted in Figure 1. The dense Doppler signal demonstrates the stroke volume of the left ventricle, whereas the external contour of the peak Doppler signal depicts the pressure gradient, calculated from the modified Bernoulli equation:

\[ \Delta p = 4 \times v^2 \]

Global LV systolic function can also be circumscribed by a physiologic variable, derived from the regurgitation flow across the mitral valve, assuming no gradient across the mitral valve. The DP/dt max is assessed during catheterization and provides a flow-derived, load-dependent descriptor of global systolic LV function. The continuous wave (CW) Doppler signal of a flow wave depicting the regurgitation flow into the left atrium could be analyzed as a pressure change in time (dP/dt mean), utilizing the
modified Bernoulli equation [6]. Figure 2 shows how to assess the dP/dt mean from the ascending limb of the mitral regurgitation CW Doppler flow signal. An alternative option is the presence of a significant aortic regurgitation CW Doppler flow signal, which allows assessment of the dP/dt mean from the descending limb of the continuous wave Doppler signal. The advantage of this variable is that it may be assessed across the mitral valve, even with small high-velocity jets. It has to be remembered this variable is preload dependent and relatively afterload independent [7]. A normal value lies between 800 and 1200 mm Hg s⁻¹. Often the Doppler technique will underestimate the true value of the dP/dt mean.

The myocardial performance index has been introduced by Tei et al. [8, 9], providing an index circumscribing both systolic and diastolic performance of the left or right ventricle. The index is calculated from time intervals as shown in the following formula:

\[ \text{MPI} = \frac{a - b}{b} = \frac{\text{ICT} + \text{IRT}}{\text{ET}} \]

in which; a, time interval between end of atrial contraction wave and start of the early diastolic filling wave; b, ejection time (cfr. ET); ET, ejection time (measured in Doppler mode of the flow across the aortic valve); ICT, isovolumetric contraction time; and MPI, myocardial performance index. Figure 3 depicts the practical aspects of the calculation of this index.

The index is preload dependent, as demonstrated by several authors [10–12] and, in fact, there is a close relationship with the dP/dt max [13]. MPI is independent of ventricular geometry and therefore has been utilized in grading ventricular function in congenital heart disease [14–17], in particular in univentricular surgery [14, 16, 18], ischaemic heart disease [19] and dilated cardiomyopathy [20].

Tissue Doppler imaging provides another variable, which appears extremely useful in clinical practice to assess global ventricular function, both on the left and right side [21, 22]. Tissue Doppler Imaging utilizes high filter low-velocity signals to depict velocities within the myocardial wall. If the sample volume is set at the mitral annular ring at the lateral or median border, a tissue Doppler image shows the velocities during systole and diastole (Fig. 4). The systolic velocity of the myocardial tissue is a measure of systolic function. A normal value for the LV is > 12 cm s⁻¹, whereas decreased LV systolic function offers values < 8 cm s⁻¹.
Again, this measure is load dependent, as demonstrated by our group [23] and others [24]. With decreasing systolic LV function, load dependency is lower. This variable can be utilized as a continuous monitoring tool intra-operatively with transoesophageal echocardiography [25], or in the ICU.

More complicated function assessment is also available when integrating cardiac ultrasound technology and arterial pressure tracing. Examples can be found relating time-based altering LV areas, a surrogate of ventricular volumes, with their relative arterial pressure time point to determine Emax, as an offline measure of ventricular contractility (per definition load independent) [26, 27]. Furthermore, preload-adjusted maximal power is a single-beat index of ventricular contractility, relating arterial pressure and peak trans-aortic flow velocity [28], which can be clinically replaced by preload-adjusted peak power [29]. The pumping heart is seen as an energy source generating hydraulic energy, exerting a certain amount of ventricular work (power). Both approaches have been abandoned because of the complexity in assessing contractility [30]. In addition, preload-adjusted maximal power has some important physiologic shortcomings, related to the correction factor and correct preload estimation, both at the level of the left [30] and right ventricle [31]. Both methods, however, clearly demonstrate the potential within cardiac ultrasound in conjunction with an arterial pressure trace analysis.

**PRELOAD AND FILLING PRESSURES**

The filling status of the patient is a static variable, which does not imply filling necessity per se. Each two-dimensional or three-dimensional measure of the LV, such as left ventricle end-diastolic diameter (LVEDD), LVEDA or left ventricle end-diastolic volume (LVEDV), serves as a static variable of preload. Fluid responsiveness is the predictability of a beneficial consequence of filling, without an association with filling necessity. The introduction of a (reversible) fluid challenge allows for testing a static variable in a dynamic manner. Whereas echo-Doppler is mostly directed towards flow assessment, this technology is able to estimate pressures. Echo-Doppler often indirectly offers information of right atrial pressures and the pulmonary circulation using right atrial or and ventricular (RV) dilatation, as well as the presence of tricuspid or and pulmonary valve regurgitation. RV dilatation is defined as RV diameter > 0.6 of the LV diameter and significant RV dilatation as RV diameter > LV diameter. Though RV dilatation is sometimes related with severe RV dysfunction after acute myocardial infarction, most often RV dilatation is related with increased RV afterload. Right ventricular end-systolic pressure (RVESP) is a good ultrasound measure of the pulmonary artery systolic pressure (Fig. 5).

Quantification of pulmonary valve regurgitation is often more difficult and could be most easily be assessed in a deep transgastric view (120°).
Figure 5. Tricuspid flow velocity. Right ventricular end-diastolic pressure can be estimated from tricuspid flow velocity, applying the modified Bernoulli equation ($P = 4 \times v^2$)

For many years, dynamic variables have been introduced and discussed during mechanical ventilation with altering intra-thoracic pressures, such as pulse pressure (PPV) or stroke volume variation (SVV). The echo-Doppler analogue is a TVI variation, as a measure of SVV, with the sample volume across the aortic valve. In a rabbit model of hypovolaemic shock with controlled bleeding during mechanical ventilation, Slama et al. [32] demonstrated a decreasing TVI. Intra-thoracic pressure variations induced increased TVI variations, which coincided with augmented systolic pressure variations (SPV). Moreover, the 2-D and 3-D measures of static preload could be assessed in a dynamic way, namely: after basic estimation, a passive leg raising manoeuvre can be performed examining the evolution of the particular variable with a filling volume of ± 300 mL. An overview of different approaches at the bedside to assess preload responsiveness in an elegant approach with echo-Doppler was published recently [34].

Mean right atrial pressure is the consequence of venous return, right ventricular systolic function and pulmonary artery pressure (PAP). It is seldom estimated with echo-Doppler [35]. In particular, right atrial dilation is a measure of overload, as well as a permanent shift of the inter-atrial septum towards the left atrium.

In contrast, pulmonary artery pressure is often assessed. Pulmonary artery systolic pressure can be derived from RVESP, which is estimated from the regurgitation flow across the tricuspid valve (Fig. 5). For three decades, a clear relationship has been demonstrated between these two pressures [36]. If significant pulmonary valve regurgitation is present, right ventricular volume will increase and result in severe tricuspid insufficiency, from which pulmonary artery systolic pressure could be estimated. If pulmonary stenosis is present (very rare in our region), RVESP will underestimate true pulmonary hypertension.

Pulmonary capillary wedge pressure (PCWP) can be estimated from the ratio of the transmitral early filling wave velocity (E) versus the tissue Doppler analogue (e') [37–39]. Although there is no direct correlation between E/e' and PCWP, it can be derived from the following formula [40]:

$$PCWP \text{ (mm Hg)} = 1.24 \times (E/e') + 1.9 \text{ mm Hg}$$

It is important to remark that E/e' is easily and rapidly obtained at the bedside with a transthoracic echo-Doppler, without any invasiveness. This variable has been shown to be a very practical monitoring tool in various situations: predicting successful weaning off the ventilator [41], filling status in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy [42], and the predictability and stratification of survival in sepsis and septic shock [43, 44].

**AFTERLOAD**

The determinants of arterial afterload are arterial compliance and systemic vascular resistance; both are derived from arterial pressure and flow. They reflect the primary and steady pulsatile component of arterial load, respectively. Both in cardiac failure and septic shock, large and small artery elastic dysfunction occur and, as they both contribute to an increased cardiovascular risk, monitoring is warranted. More than 60% of total arterial compliance resides in the ascending and thoracic aorta, focusing monitoring of this variable to these parts of the aorta [45]. Traditional haemodynamic monitoring offers only limited access to afterload indices. An echo-Doppler, in conjunction with arterial tracing characteristics, could result in a more appropriate approach of afterload.

End-systolic meridional wall stress $\sigma_m(\text{es})$ is calculated from the following formula:

$$\sigma_{m(\text{es})} = 1.33 \times RRs \times \left(\frac{A_m}{A_c}\right) \text{ (dyne . cm}^{-5}\right)$$

in which $A_c$, left ventricular short axis end-systolic area within the endocardial borders; $A_m$, left ventricular short axis end-systolic area of the myocardial wall; RRs, systolic blood pressure. It exemplifies the end-systolic wall stress and increases with hypertrophy of the myocardial wall and with systolic blood pressure [46]. Table 1 offers more insight into the contrasting differences of information obtained from the systemic vascular resistance versus end-systolic meridional wall stress.

Another measure which could be used in clinical practice to circumscribe ventricular afterload can be derived from the end-systolic pressure-area product:

$$SVR = RRs \times LVESA$$

with LVESA, left ventricular end-systolic area and RRs, systolic blood pressure.

It is obvious that afterload estimation by means of echo-Doppler techniques is not at all easy and simple, as many factors have to be taken into account: not only 2-D image and
Doppler signal quality but, in particular, the alignment of area changes and pressure changes, suggesting the most complex assessments and calculations cannot be conveyed properly. Two-D imaging is in particular hampered at the level of the ascending aorta, especially in postoperative cardiac surgical patients [45]. Therefore, the most useful afterload descriptors in clinical practice can be reduced to SVR as a measure of the steady components of arterial load, and $E_p$, being a measure of the main pulsatile components of arterial load [45, 47]. Both incorporate flow components (cardiac output and stroke volume, respectively) and arterial pressure.

On the right side, a pulsed wave Doppler of the pulmonary artery permits the calculation of the pulmonary vascular resistance (PVR) in a simple formula:

$$\text{PVR} = \frac{\text{PEP} \times \text{AcT}}{\text{ET}}$$

with AcT, acceleration time, the time from baseline to peak pulmonary artery pulsed wave Doppler velocity; ET, ejection time, measured during the complete systole of the pulmonary artery pulsed wave Doppler signal; PEP, pre-ejection period, time interval from QRS on the ECG until the start of ejection on the pulmonary artery pulsed wave Doppler signal. Figure 6 depicts the different time intervals used in this formula. Finally, pulmonary arterial elastance ($E_p$) may be estimated from:

$$E_p = \frac{\text{RVESP}}{\text{SV}} = \frac{\text{RVESP}}{(\text{TVI}_a \times \text{AVA})}$$

with AVA, mean aortic valve area; RVESP, right ventricular end-systolic pressure; SV, stroke volume; TVI$_a$, time velocity integral of aortic flow [48] (Table 2).

### CONCLUSIONS

Echo-Doppler provides important bedside monitoring facilities. Traditional invasive haemodynamic pressure monitoring offers haemodynamic information only in an incomplete manner, without any knowledge of ventricular performance, pressure gradients or any valve regurgitation. This review summarizes some important aspects of echo-Doppler monitoring in view of monitoring the three most important pillars of haemodynamics.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Jan Poelaert declares no conflicts of interest with regard to this article. Manu Malbrain is founding President of WSACS (The Abdominal Compartment Society) and current Treasurer, he is also member of the medical advisory Board of Pulsion Medical Systems (part of Maquet Getinge group) and consults for Convatec, Acelity, Spiegelberg and Holtech Medical. He is co-founder of the International Fluid Academy (IFA). This article is endorsed by the IFA. The mission statement of the IFA is to foster education, promote research on fluid management and hemodynamic monitoring, and thereby improve the survival of the critically ill by bringing together physicians, nurses, and others from throughout the world and from a variety of clinical disciplines. The IFA is

### Table 1. Comparison of information provided by systemic vascular resistance and end-systolic meridional wall stress in a normal left ventricle versus a dilated left ventricle

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Formula</th>
<th>Normal value / units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Systemic vascular resistance</td>
<td>SVR</td>
<td>$\frac{\text{MAP-CVP}}{\text{CO}}$</td>
<td>800–1200 dynes.s.cm$^{-5}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total arterial compliance [49]</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>$\frac{\text{SV}}{\text{PP}}$</td>
<td>mL mm Hg$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective arterial elastance</td>
<td>$E_a$</td>
<td>$\frac{\text{PE}_{es}}{\text{SV}}$</td>
<td>1.5–2.5 mm Hg mL$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary vascular resistance</td>
<td>PVR</td>
<td>$\frac{(\text{PEP}/\text{AcT}) \times \text{ET}}$</td>
<td>40–250 dynes.s.cm$^{-5}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary arterial elastance</td>
<td>$E_p$</td>
<td>$\frac{\text{RVESP}}{\text{SV}}$</td>
<td>mm Hg mL$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2. Various afterload variables and the respective formulas

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Formula</th>
<th>Normal value / units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
integrated within the not-for-profit charitable organization iMERIT, International Medical Education and Research Initiative, under Belgian law. The IFA website (http://www.fluidacademy.org) is now an official SMACC affiliated site (Social Media and Critical Care) and its content is based on the philosophy of FOAM (Free Open Access Medical education — #FOAMed). The site recently received the HONcode quality label for medical education (https://www.healthonnet.org/HONcode/Conduct.html?HONConduct519739).

References:


Corresponding author:
Prof. Jan Poelaert, M.D., Ph.D.
Department of Anaesthesiology
University Hospital Brussels
Laarbeeklaan 101
1090 Jette, Belgium
e-mail: jan.poelaert@uzbrussel.be

Received: 11.10.2017
Accepted: 11.11.2017