The role of abdominal compliance, the neglected parameter in critically ill patients — a consensus review of 16.

Part 2: measurement techniques and management recommendations

Manu L.N.G. Malbrain1, Inneke De laet1,2, Jan J. De Waele3, Michael Sugrue3, Alexander Schachtrupp4, Juan Duchesne5, Gabrielle Van Ramshorst6, Bart De Keulenaer7, Andrew W. Kirkpatrick8, Siavash Ahmadi-Noorbakhsh9, Jan Mulier10, Paolo Pelosi11, Rao Ivatury12, Francisco Pracca13, Marcelo David14, Derek J. Roberts15

1Intensive Care Unit and High Care Burn Unit, ZiekenhuisNetwerkAntwerpen, ZNA Stuivenberg, Lange Beeldekenstraat 267, B-2060 Antwerpen 6, Belgium
2Surgical ICU, University Hospital, UZ Gent, Gent, Belgium
3Department of Surgery, Letterkenny General Hospital, Donegal Ireland
4Department of Medical Science, B.Braun, Carl-Braun Str. 1, 34243 Melsungen, Germany
5Tulane School of Medicine Health Science Center, Section of Trauma and Critical Care Surgery, Department of Surgery and Anesthesia, New Orleans, LA, USA
6Department of Surgery, Erasmus MC, Room FF-219, P.O. Box 2040, 3000 CA Rotterdam, Netherlands
7Intensive Care Unit, Fremantle Hospital, Fremantle, Western Australia, Australia and School of Surgery, The University of Western Australia, 35 Sterling Hwy, Crawley WA 6009, Australia
8Regional Trauma Services, Foothills Medical Center, Calgary, Alberta, Canada
9Preclinical Intensive Care Research Unit (PICRU), School of Anatomy, Physiology and Human Biology, The University of Western Australia, Perth, Western Australia, Australia
10Department of Anaesthesiology, AZ Sint Jan Brugge-Oostende AV, Ruddershove 10, 8000 Brugge, Belgium
11Department of Surgical Science and Integrated Diagnostics, The University of Genoa, Genoa, Italy
12Professor emeritus, former Chair, Division of Trauma, Critical Care and Emergency Surgery, VCU Medical Center, 1200 East Broad Street, Richmond, VA, USA
13Department of Intensive Care, Hospital de Clínicas, University of the Republic, Montevideo, Uruguay
14MSc Biomechanical Electrical Engineer, The Jerusalem College of Engineering, Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Jerusalem, Israel
15Departments of Surgery and Community Health Sciences, University of Calgary and the Foothills Medical Centre, Calgary, Alberta, Canada

Abstract

The recent definitions on intra-abdominal pressure (IAP), intra-abdominal volume (IAV) and abdominal compliance (C_{ab}) are a step forward in understanding these important concepts. They help our understanding of the pathophysiology, aetiology, prognosis, and treatment of patients with low C_{ab}. However, there is still a relatively poor understanding of the different methods used to measure IAP, IAV and C_{ab} and how certain conditions may affect the results. This review will give a concise overview of the different methods to
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Abdominal compliance (C_{ab}) is defined as a measure of the ease of abdominal expansion, which is determined by the elasticity of the abdominal wall and diaphragm [1]. It should be expressed as the change in IAP per change in IAV (ml/mm Hg). The given C_{ab} (albeit rarely measured) at a certain point together with the corresponding actual IAV will determine the resulting IAP, as discussed in a recent review [2]. Correct measurement or estimation of C_{ab} together with identification of patients at risk for poor C_{ab} will help avoid progression from normal IAP to IAH to ACS and its associated complications [3]. Vice versa, for a given laparoscopic insufflation pressure (limited at 14 mm Hg) the C_{ab} will determine the additional ‘workspace’ volume to perform the laparoscopic intervention [4, 5]. As suggested by others, the C_{ab} plays a key role in understanding the deleterious effects of unadapted IAV on IAP and end-organ perfusion and function. If we can identify patients with low C_{ab} we can anticipate and select the most appropriate surgical treatment to avoid complications such as IAH or ACS.
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This narrative review article will describe in detail the different methods for the measurement and/or estimation of abdominal wall compliance. Measurement of C_{ab} is difficult at the bedside and can only be done in a case of change (removal or addition) in IAV. The different measurement techniques will be discussed in relation to decreases (ascites drainage, haematoma evacuation, gastric suctioning) or increases in IAV (gastric insufflation, laparoscopy with CO₂ pneumoperitoneum, peritoneal dialysis). More specific techniques to estimate C_{ab} using the interactions between the thoracic and abdominal compartment during positive pressure ventilation will also be discussed (low flow PV loop, respiratory IAP variations, respiratory abdominal variation test, mean IAP and abdominal pressure variation), together with the concept of the polycompartment model. The relation between IAV and IAP is linear at low IAV and becomes curvilinear and exponential at higher volumes. Specific conditions in relation to increased (previous pregnancy or laparoscopy, gynoid fat distribution, ellipse-shaped internal abdominal perimeter) or decreased C_{ab} (obesity, fluid overload, android fat distribution, sphere-shaped internal abdominal perimeter) will be discussed as well as their impact on baseline IAV, IAP, reshaping capacity and abdominal workspace volume.

Finally, we suggest possible treatment options in situations of unadapted IAV according to existing C_{ab}, which results in high IAP. A large overlap exists between the treatment of patients with abdominal hypertension and those with low C_{ab}. The C_{ab} plays a key role in understanding the deleterious effects of unadapted IAV on IAP and end-organ perfusion and function. If we can identify patients with low C_{ab} we can anticipate and select the most appropriate surgical treatment to avoid complications such as IAH or ACS.

**METHODS**

The methods with regard to writing this review are the same as previously described [2]. While preparing for the fifth World Congress on ACS (WCACS), several international surgical, trauma, and medical critical care specialists recognised the lack of existence and uniformity among current definitions for abdominal compliance. The 5th WCACS meeting was held on 10–13 August 2011, in Orlando, Florida, USA and afterwards the present co-authors corresponded, providing feedback to questions and issues raised. During the whole writing process, a systematic or structured Medline and PubMed search was conducted to identify
relevant studies relating to the topic using the search terms ‘abdominal compliance’ in combination with ‘measurement’ and ‘treatment’ or ‘management’. The content of this paper will focus on the different methods to measure or estimate IAP, IAV and \( C_{ab} \), followed by guidelines and recommendations for clinical management of patients with low \( C_{ab} \). The reader must take into account that, as pointed out in the title, this manuscript is the reflection of the consensus of 16 experts in the field; therefore, some of the statements are based on expertise and clinical judgement only.

**MEASUREMENT**

**INTRA-ABDOMINAL PRESSURE (IAP)**

As explained previously, and because of the fluid-like nature of the abdomen following Pascal’s law, the IAP can be measured in nearly every body part. Rectal, uterine, inferior vena cava, bladder and gastric pressure measurements have all been described [7]. The use of direct intraperitoneal pressure measurement cannot be advocated in patients because of the complication risks (bleeding, infection) and should only be used in an experimental setting or when a drainage catheter is already in place (paracentesis, peritoneal dialysis, surgical drain). Bladder pressure measurements have been put forward as the gold standard with the technique suggested in the WSACS consensus guidelines [1]. Intermittent screening for IAH by measuring the height of the urine column as an estimate for IAP (with the Foley Manometer, Holtech Medical, Charlottenlund, Denmark) is a cost-effective method [8]. Recently continuous IAP monitoring by means of a balloon-tipped nasogastric probe also became available [9–11]. It is beyond the scope of this review to list the different measurement methods in detail, as these are discussed elsewhere [7, 12, 13].

**INTRA-ABDOMINAL VOLUME (IAV)**

The abdominal volume is difficult to measure. As most body organs do not have a linear relationship between their volume and internal pressure, the value of the calculated compliance depends on the body volume. Therefore a calculated compliance, or its reciprocal elastance, usually has no clinical value if the corresponding volume is not given. One way to overcome this problem is to look at the clinically important part of the pressure volume relationship and linearise it. A high IAP does not correlate well with a high IAV [14].

**ANTHROPOMORPHY AND IAV**

**Body Mass Index (BMI):** Anthropomorphic-based indices for estimation of IAV have been described in obesity [15]. The best-known index is the body mass index (BMI). However BMI is not an index of IAV, but rather an index of body mass according to body height. BMI does not correlate with \( C_{ab} \) but does correlate with IAP at the resting volume (i.e. when the abdomen is not inflated) [16, 17].

\[
BMI = \frac{\text{body mass}}{\text{height}^2} \quad \text{[kg m}^{-2}\text{]}\]

Studies have shown that BMI is correlated to IAP, but only in healthy individuals, and not always in critically ill patients [18, 19]. With regard to obesity, only central obesity, the so-called ‘apple-shaped body’ (with central fat redistribution above the waist), is related to increased IAP, whereas the pear-shaped form (with peripheral fat distribution below the waist) is not (Fig. 1) [20]. The latter body shape is also associated with a better prognosis whereas the former is linked to the metabolic syndrome with diabetes, arterial hypertension, abdominal hypertension, high triglycerides, insulin resistance, and low HDL cholesterol [21–23]. The apple- and pear-shaped individual probably has an increased tendency towards an increased IAP due to the compressive effects of the fat mass. However, in theory, these effects will be more pronounced in the former. The patients with an apple-shaped internal abdominal perimeter usually have an increased amount of intra-abdominal visceral fat to such an extent that the abdominal peritoneum has become sphere-shaped. The resulting effect is that they have a non-linear PV relation at very high additional IAV. All other obese and non-obese apple- and pear-shaped individuals show a rather constant \( C_{ab} \) or a linear PV relation up to a pressure of 15 mm Hg. This is in contrast with many other mammals like pigs or sheep where the PV relationship is non-linear from the beginning with a varying compliance. Hence data from animal literature cannot uniformly be extrapolated to humans [24].

**Figure 1.** Example of central fat distribution. The so-called apple-shape is depicted on the left (with fat distribution above the waist (W) and hip (H) and high IAP, while the so-called pear-shape is depicted on the right (with maximal fat distribution below the waist and around the hip, normal IAP, and lower waist-to-hip ratio)
**Abdominal perimeter:** The abdominal perimeter (or circumference), often used in the past, correlates reasonably with IAV, but poorly with IAP [25]. Changes in abdominal perimeter over time on the other hand may correlate well with changes in IAP [25].

**Waist to hip ratio:** Another parameter is the waist to hip ratio (WHR) or the waist: hip girth. The waist is the smallest horizontal girth between the rib cage and iliac crest where the hip is the largest horizontal girth between waist and thigh. The WHR correlates with IAP in men only [15]. Normal WHR is below 0.8 and it is considered pathologically increased if it is above 1.0.

**Sagittal abdominal diameter:** The sagittal abdominal diameter (SAD) is defined as the height between the table or bed and the apex of the abdomen [15].

\[
IAP = -0.03 \times BMI + 0.8 \times SAD + 0.02 \times \text{age} \ (\text{for men})
\]

In cases of increased SAD, the IAP may be increased. However, IAP is influenced by a multitude of other factors, including previous pregnancy and surgery. All these parameters have been linked to increased IAP and abdominal distension in the obese, but not in the critically ill; therefore they cannot be used for prognostication or relation to IAV.

**Abdominal volume index:** A promising index is the abdominal volume index (AVI) calculated using volume formulas for a cylinder \((V_{cil}) \) and a cone \((V_{cone}) \), with the radius \(r \) and height \(h \):

\[
V_{cil} = \pi \times r^2 \times h
\]

\[
V_{cone} = \frac{\pi \times r^2 \times h}{3}
\]

The formula developed for calculating AVI estimates the overall abdominal volume between the symphysis pubis and the xiphoid process. This measure theoretically includes intra-abdominal fat and adipose volumes, with the waist \([W]\) and the hip \([H]\) dimensions:

\[
AVI = \frac{2 \times [W^2 + 0.7 \times ((W) - (H))^2]}{1,000}
\]

Although this index is superior to BMI, WHR, and waist circumference, it has not been correlated to IAP to date [26].

**IMAGING TECHNIQUES FOR DETERMINING IAV**

Recently, techniques for estimating abdominal volume via three-dimensional (3D) ultrasound (US), water-suppressed breath hold magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), and computed tomography (CT) have been described. These techniques have not yet gained entrance to the intensive care unit (ICU). Although 3D US cannot measure IAV in toto, it estimates the volumes of separate intra-abdominal organs. Organ volumes can be determined by slicing through collected images and recording truncated pyramidal volumes. MRI and CT techniques calculate the visceral and subcutaneous fat volume or thus the volume of the adipose tissue (VAT). The VAT is related to SAD and IAP [15].

\[
\begin{align*}
VAT &= 0.8 \times SAD - 11.5 \ (\text{men}) \\
VAT &= 0.4 \times SAD - 4.9 \ (\text{women}) \\
IAP &= 1.3 \times VAT + 3.8 \ (\text{men}) \\
IAP &= 2.2 \times VAT + 3.4 \ (\text{women})
\end{align*}
\]

The CT images are representative of the distribution of the attenuation coefficient \(\mu\) of the object in a certain area [27]. The analysis is based on the close correlation between the X-ray attenuation in a given volume of tissue or voxel (the CT unit of volume) and the physical density of that volume of tissue. The X-ray attenuation of tissues is expressed by CT numbers or Hounsfield Units (HUs). This CT number is obtained, in any given voxel, by determining the percentage of radiation absorbed by that volume of tissue. As with any X-ray technique, the greater the absorption, the less the radiation hitting the CT detector. The attenuation scale arbitrarily assigns to bone a value of +1,000 HU (complete absorption), water a value of 0 HU, and air a value of -1,000 HU (no absorption). Blood and tissues are within an overall range of between +20–40 HU. Indeed, the relationship between the physical density and volume in any abdominal region of interest, assuming the specific weight of the tissue is equal to 1, may be expressed as follows:

\[
\frac{\text{volumegas}}{\text{volumegas} + \text{volumetissue}} = \frac{\text{mean CT number}_{\text{observed}}}{\text{CT number}_{\text{gas}} - \text{CT number}_{\text{water}}}
\]

Rearranging this equation, it is possible to compute for any abdominal region of interest (contiguous voxels) in which the total volume is known, the volume of gas, the volume (and the weight) of tissue, and the gas/tissue ratio (Fig. 2).

For example, a voxel of -1,000 HU is exclusively composed of gas, a voxel with 0 HU is exclusively composed of water (or ‘tissue’), and a voxel with -500 HU is composed of approximately 50% gas and 50% water (or tissue). While the density and volume values are given from the programme, the weight value may be calculated with the formula:

\[
\text{weight} = \frac{(-1,000 - CT_{\text{meas}})}{-1,000}
\]
Because the physical density is a ratio, the same increase of density may derive from less gas or more tissue. Unfortunately, the voxel is a ‘black box’ in which it is impossible to distinguish which component(s), blood, or other tissues are responsible for the changes in CT density. In the standard 10 mm axial image (matrix size: 256 × 256), the volume of a voxel 1.5 × 1.5 × 10 mm is 22.5 mm³. Current CT scanners are now capable of axial images as thin as 0.5 mm (compared to 10 mm, greater matrix: 512 × 512), and voxels would be proportionately smaller. Smaller voxels increase spatial resolution, decrease volume averaging, and improve the reliability of CT density readings. It is therefore possible to compute the distribution of CT numbers in the area of interest (from −1,000 HU to +300 HU for the bowel at each step of 100 HU, from −10 HU to +100 HU for the liver and the spleen and from −100 HU to +100 HU for the kidneys at each step of 1 to 10 HU): the number of voxels included in each compartment is expressed as a percentage of the total number of voxels considered. Knowing the CT number frequency distribution of a given region of interest and its total volume it is possible to compute, rearranging the above equation, the amount of tissue in each compartment (Fig. 3).

Quantitative CT analysis assessing volume, density and weight of abdominal organs may be promising tools for the future [28–31]. When assessing additional IAV, a reasonable correlation has been found between the volume measured by CT and the volume of CO₂ insufflated during laparoscopy, suggesting that both methods are reliable [29].

**ABDOMINAL COMPLIANCE (C_{ab})**

**QUALITATIVE MEASUREMENT OF ABDOMINAL WALL TENSION DURING PALPATION**

The grade of indentation at the site where the punctual force is applied can be measured during palpation of the abdomen. Palpation examines intra-abdominal tension and passive and active muscle tension. Increased muscle tension is a symptom of peritonitis. The force F necessary to make a certain indent d into the abdominal wall is correlated with IAP and C_{ab}:

\[ F/d \approx IAP \]

**ABDOMINAL TENSIOMETER**

In a study investigating 76 pregnant women, C_{ab} was found to be inversely related to gestational age and BMI [32]. In another preliminary study, van Ramshorst et al. examined the abdominal wall tension (AWT) in two corpses [33]. The abdominal cavity can be considered as a cylindrical pressure vessel (\( t < R/4 \)) with \( t = \text{abdominal wall thickness} \) and \( R = \text{radius} \) (Fig. 4, 5). The tensile strength can be calculated:

\[ \sigma_w = \frac{(P_i - P_o) R}{t} \]

with:

- \( \sigma_w \) = stress in abdominal wall (tension)
- \( P_i \) = internal pressure (IAP)
- \( P_o \) = external pressure

The same authors examined in a later experiment the abdomens of 14 corpses that were insufflated with air [34]. The IAP was measured at intervals up to 20 mm Hg. At each interval, abdominal wall tension (AWT) was measured five times at six points (Fig. 6, 7). In 42 volunteers, AWT was measured at five points in supine, sitting, and standing positions during various respiratory manoeuvres. The authors found significant correlations between IAP and AWT in corpses (the best correlations were found at the epigastric region). In vivo measurements showed...
Figure 4. Tensiometer to measure abdominal compliance and pressure. Initial device to measure the abdominal wall tension by measuring force and distance (indentation) at the site where the punctual force is applied; force and distance were registered simultaneously by using a CPU Gauge (Model RX Aikom, manufactured in Japan) and a position transducer (Series LWH, NovoTechnik, manufactured in Germany). Both sensors were supported by an assembly that enabled an indenter, connected to the measuring end of the force meter, to pass through an acrylic foot. The foot of the assembly defined a zero point and enabled the indenter to apply the force on the point of measurement and the distance sensor to measure the vertical displacement of the indenter (adapted from [33]).

Figure 5. Tensiometer to measure abdominal compliance and pressure. Seven points were measured during initial study: three on the linea alba, three on the rectus abdominis muscle, and finally one over the lateral transverse muscle. The measurements were solely performed on one half of the abdomen, assuming abdominal symmetry (adapted from [33]).

Figure 6. Tensiometer to measure abdominal compliance and pressure. The new prototype used for measuring AWT consisted of a built-in force and distance sensor, attached to a handheld personal digital assistant (PDA, HP IPAQ). The diameter of the circle-shaped base of the device is 72 mm. The tip of the instrument is shaped like one half of a sphere and has a diameter of 18 mm, with a total surface area of approx. 5.1 cm². The shape of the tip was chosen due to the extensive use of this shape in industrial hardness measurements of materials. The size of the tip was chosen due to its comparability to the conventional instrument by which abdominal tension is estimated, which is the human finger. This device can measure the amount of force (N) needed to indent a certain distance (mm), which is then visualised on the PDA in graphics (adapted from [34]).
that AWT was on average 31% higher in men compared to women and increased from expiration to inspiration to Valsalva’s manoeuvre. AWT was highest at the standing position, followed by supine and sitting positions. The BMI did not influence AWT.

RESPIRATORY INDUCTANCE PLETHYSMOGRAPHY (RIP)

Other techniques to study the interactions between the abdomen and thorax are combined thoracic and abdominal plethysmography and electrical impedance tomography [35]. This allows the simultaneous recording of pressure and volume excursions within the abdomen and thorax to identify abnormal pressure and movements that can be caused by alterations in compliance of the different compartments. The chest wall motions can be converted to volume changes. The relation between rib-cage (RC) and abdominal (AB) signals and tidal volume (TV) can be described by the following equation:

\[
TV = \alpha \times RC + \beta \times AB
\]

Here, \(\alpha\) and \(\beta\) are the coefficients describing the relationship between motion and volume changes in the rib cage and the abdominal compartment, and RC and AB are the dimensional changes of rib cage and abdomen. The IAV can be calculated as follows:

\[
IAV = \kappa \times [(\alpha/\beta) \times RC + AB]
\]

Where \(\alpha/\beta\) is the weighing coefficient and \(\kappa\) is a factor converting dimension change to volume in litres. By plotting IAV versus IAP, the effects of the different actions of the thoracic and abdominal compartments can be studied (Fig. 8).

In a study involving five normal subjects, abdominal compliance \(C_{ab}\) was measured using respiratory inductance plethysmography. In the supine position, \(C_{ab}\) was 250 ± 100 mL (mm Hg)\(^{-1}\). Changing to an upright position reduced \(C_{ab}\) to 48 ± 20 mL (mm Hg)\(^{-1}\) [36].

In another study in three normal test persons, fluid was instilled into the stomach and subsequently withdrawn. Volume changes of abdomen, lung, and rib cage were assessed using magnetometry. In the 70° HOB position, mean \(C_{ab}\) was 49 ± 20 mL (mm Hg)\(^{-1}\). Interestingly, from the volume used for gastric distension, 33% went into a decrease in lung volume, 40% into an increase in rib cage volume, and 26% into an increase in abdominal volume. The authors concluded that the interactions among the rib cage, abdomen, and diaphragm are such as to defend against large changes in end-expiratory lung volume in the face of abdominal distension [37].
PV RELATIONSHIP DURING LAPAROSCOPY WITH CO₂ PNEUMOPERITONEUM

It has been observed that the compliance of the abdominal cavity decreases when additional volume is added to the abdominal cavity [37]. This was confirmed clinically by McDougall et al. and Abu-Rafea et al., who examined 41 and 100 patients respectively during laparoscopic procedures [38, 39]. The initial part of the abdominal compliance PV curve is linear when insufflation pressures are limited to 15 mm Hg and normal Cab ranges between 333 mL (mm Hg)^{-1} [38] and 400 mL (mm Hg)^{-1} [39].

Three successive studies during laparoscopy were performed by Mulier et al. to analyse the possible linear relationship between IAP and insufflated volume can be measured and are used to calculate the abdominal PV relationship (APVR). The Verres needle, however, does not allow APVR measurement unless the flow is stopped. The initial linear part of the APVR is described by an elastance E and a pressure at zero volume (P wav). Elastance and P wav were calculated by fitting to a linear relation:

\[ E = 1 / C \]

\[ IAP = E \times IAV + P_{v0} \]

First, an accurate, linear relationship was identified using a mathematical model with an elastance, E, or its reciprocal the compliance C and with a pressure at zero volume, P wav. This function was stable and could be used to describe the abdominal characteristics of patients. With these characteristics, the effects of drugs, position, and ventilation can be evaluated. Leakage or absorption of CO₂ did not affect the measurements in a second study. In a third study, the minimal amount of data needed to determine the parameters of the mathematical model was identified.

Three pressure-volume measurements were sufficient to describe all cases with the exception of the patients with apple-shaped abdominal fat. The conclusion was that body weight, BMI, and the use of muscle relaxation influenced P wav whereas age, pregnancy, and previous abdominal surgery affected the elastance, which was around 3 mm Hg per 1,000 mL IAV; the P wav was around 5 mm Hg (Table 2).

PV RELATIONSHIP DURING DRAINAGE OR ADDITION OF ABDOMINAL FREE FLUID

Reed et al. retrospectively analysed 12 patients in whom it was attempted to treat IAH via puncture and drainage of intra-abdominal free fluid [44]. Assessment showed that the IAP ranged between 17 and 37 mm Hg. After drainage of 10 to 2,400 mL, a reduction of the IAP of up to 18 mm Hg was observed in ten patients. In two patients, no change of IAP was observed. From this data, compliance was calculated to range between 275 and 2.7 mL (mm Hg)^{-1} (see Table 3). The PV curves that could be obtained from studies including more than three data points are shown in Figure 10.

Other studies looking at the effects of paracentesis show that Cab increases as fluid is progressively removed from the abdomen. Table 4 shows changes in abdominal wall compliance (C ab) during progressive paracentesis in ten patients. This data was extracted from Becker et al. [45].

In summary, measurements of C ab have been performed in humans by IAP assessment with at least two corresponding IAV values with at least two corresponding IAV measurements before and after CO₂-insufflation [40–43]. The derived mean C ab values in these studies ranged between 175 and 733 mL (mm Hg)^{-1} (Table 1).

Three pressure-volume measurements were sufficient to describe all cases with the exception of the patients with apple-shaped abdominal fat. The conclusion was that body weight, BMI, and the use of muscle relaxation influenced P wav whereas age, pregnancy, and previous abdominal surgery affected the elastance, which was around 3 mm Hg per 1,000 mL IAV; the P wav was around 5 mm Hg (Table 2).

E = 1 / C

IAP = E × IAV + P wav

Three pressure-volume measurements were sufficient to describe all cases with the exception of the patients with apple-shaped abdominal fat. The conclusion was that body weight, BMI, and the use of muscle relaxation influenced P wav whereas age, pregnancy, and previous abdominal surgery affected the elastance, which was around 3 mm Hg per 1,000 mL IAV; the P wav was around 5 mm Hg (Table 2).
Table 1. Overview of studies examining abdominal compliance in adults

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Cab method</th>
<th>IAP low (mm Hg)</th>
<th>IAP high (mm Hg)</th>
<th>∆IAP (mm Hg)</th>
<th>∆IAV range (L)</th>
<th>∆IAVmax (L)</th>
<th>Cab low (mL [mm Hg]⁻¹)</th>
<th>Cab high (mL [mm Hg]⁻¹)</th>
<th>Cab mean (mL [mm Hg]⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Franklin [47]</td>
<td>1988</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Peritoneal dialysis</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>770</td>
<td>3,333</td>
<td>1,333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McDougall [39]</td>
<td>1994</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>Laparoscopy</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.4−1.8</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>410</td>
<td>260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Durand [48]</td>
<td>1994</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Peritoneal dialysis</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.51−1.18</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>850</td>
<td>600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sugrue [43]</td>
<td>1994</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Laparoscopy</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4.5−13.1</td>
<td>880</td>
<td>563</td>
<td>1,092</td>
<td>733</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>de Jesus Ventura [49]</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>Peritoneal dialysis male</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>de Jesus Ventura [49]</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>Peritoneal dialysis female</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harris [51]</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Peritoneal dialysis</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scanziani [52]</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Peritoneal dialysis</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.16−0.43</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>640</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paniagua [50]</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Peritoneal dialysis</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abu-Rafea [38]</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Laparoscopy</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.3−1.4</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reed [44]</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Drainage haematoma</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2.22</td>
<td>2.22</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>247</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reed [44]</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Drainage ascites burns</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reed [44]</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Drainage ascites burns</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>87</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dejardin [46]</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>Peritoneal dialysis</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malbrain [58]</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Drainage ascites</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.6−4.0</td>
<td>2.32</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Papavramidis [54]</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Drainage pseudocyst</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.31</td>
<td>2.31</td>
<td>578</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Becker [45]</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Drainage ascites</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>426</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malbrain [57]</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Drainage ascites burns</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.2−1.6</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muller [4]</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Laparoscopy</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2−4.4</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>286</td>
<td>629</td>
<td>457</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-Hwiesh [53]</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>Peritoneal dialysis</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>286</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheatham [59]</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>Drainage ascites</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.0−4.3</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>478</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horer [56]</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Drainage haematoma</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>190</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean ± SD 22.7±22.8 10.6 ± 5.2 18.2 ± 7 7.6 ± 5.5 2.44 ± 2.00 240.1 ± 207.8 635.1 ± 684.6 375.2 ± 2736
Range 4−100 2−23 6−30 2−25 0.16−8.80 20−770 177−3,333 229−1,333.3

Cab — abdominal compliance; IAP — intra-abdominal pressure; IAV — intra-abdominal volume
Table 2. Determinants of compliance and of pressure at zero volume

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>( P_{v0} )</th>
<th>( P_{v0} ) signif</th>
<th>( E )</th>
<th>( E ) signif</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>0.828</td>
<td>Pos</td>
<td>0.003*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>0.356</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>0.245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight</td>
<td>Pos</td>
<td>0.012*</td>
<td>Pos</td>
<td>0.294</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>0.054</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>0.272</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>0.596</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>0.536</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pregnancy</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>0.305</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>0.049*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous abdominal operation</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>0.191</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>0.009*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muscle relaxation</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>0.001*</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>0.376</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Significance \( P < 0.05; \) \( P_{v0} \) — pressure at zero volume; \( E \) — elastance; BMI — body mass index

Table 3. Calculated abdominal compliance in patients with intra−abdominal hypertension*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>IAP before (mm Hg)</th>
<th>IAP after (mm Hg)</th>
<th>( \Delta IA V ) (mL)</th>
<th>( \Delta I AP ) (mm Hg)</th>
<th>( C_{AV} ) (mL [mm Hg]−1)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. 1</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2,350</td>
<td>−10</td>
<td>235.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 2</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2,400</td>
<td>−10</td>
<td>240.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 3</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>−4</td>
<td>62.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 4</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1,300</td>
<td>−20</td>
<td>65.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 5</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 6</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 7</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>−2</td>
<td>275.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 8</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>−8</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 9</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>−18</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 10</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1,800</td>
<td>−9</td>
<td>200.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 11</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2,330</td>
<td>−9</td>
<td>258.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 12</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>−11</td>
<td>72.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>25.9 ± 7.2</td>
<td>17.9 ± 7</td>
<td>998 ± 987</td>
<td>−8.0 ± 7.1</td>
<td>141.6 ± 110</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*IAP before and after drainage of free abdominal fluid, according to Reed et al. [44]. Compliance was calculated when consecutive pressure reduction occurred. Cases are presented in chronological order, and not in order of increasing IAP before drainage; IAV — intra-abdominal volume, IAP — intra-abdominal pressure, \( \Delta I AP \) — absolute change in IAP

Figure 10. Abdominal pressure volume relationship during intra-abdominal fluid shifts. Relationship between intra-abdominal volume (IAV) evacuation during paracentesis [45] or IAV addition during peritoneal dialysis [47, 48] and resulting change in intra-abdominal pressure (IAP). The initial part of the abdominal compliance PV curve is linear up to pressures of 15 mm Hg and normal \( C_{AV} \) ranges between 545 mL (mm Hg)−1 [48], 600 mL (mm Hg)−1 [45] and 1143 mL (mm Hg)−1 [47].
PV RELATIONSHIP DURING DRAINAGE OR ADDITION OF GASTRIC CONTENTS

From a theoretical point of view, addition or removal of fluids from the stomach represent also a change in IAV and the corresponding changes in IAP allow obtaining a PV relationship out of which the Cab could be calculated. Gastric air insufflation can easily occur during non-invasive ventilation, oesophageal intubation or bagging during reanimation [60]. In a pig study, gastric insufflation with 5 L during CPR had dramatic effects on cardiorespiratory function [61]. The same authors described a fatal case of an 18-year-old patient where excessive stomach inflation caused ACS and gut ischaemia [62]. Ileus and gastroparesis are common in critically ill patients and gastric distension can occur. Gastric aspirate volume can reach 1,000 mL per day [63]. So far no clinical studies are available.
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**Table 4.** Evolution of abdominal compliance (\(C_{ab}\)) during progressive ascites evacuation in ten patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cumulative volume evacuated (mL)</th>
<th>∆IAV (mL)</th>
<th>[∆IAP] (mm Hg)</th>
<th>Cab (mL [mm Hg]-1)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>192.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>263.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,500</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>416.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>714.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,500</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>555.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>833.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3,500</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>500.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4,000</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1,000.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IAV — intra-abdominal volume, IAP — intra-abdominal pressure, [∆IAP] — absolute change in IAP. Adapted from [45, 142]

In a simplified model, the lung and thorax are in series and coupled to the diaphragm and abdomen in series, where \(C_{dia}\) is the compliance of the diaphragm and \(C_{lt}\) is the compliance of the lung and thorax in series (Fig. 12):

\[
C_{lt} = C_l \times C_t / (C_l + C_t)
\]

Changes in IAP are paralleled by changes in pleural pressures. Changes in thoracic compliance will be reflected by changes in abdominal compliance and vice versa; as a consequence, increased IAP will result in reduced chest wall compliance. The interactions between different compartments are referred to as the polycompartment model and syndrome [6]. For instance, transmission of airway pressures to the abdomen results from interactions between the thoracic and abdominal compartment and the percentage of pressure transmission is called the thoraco-abdominal index (TAI) of transmission (Fig. 13). This occurs in patients under positive pressure ventilation [65], application of positive end-expiratory pressure (PEEP) [66], presence of intrinsic or auto-PEEP, or a tension pneumothorax [67, 68]. Conversely, transmission of pressure from the abdomen to the thorax is called ATI and occurs in any physiologic (pregnancy) or pathologic condition associated with increased IAP; the ATI ranges from 20 to 80%, average 50% [69, 70]. The interac-
tions are not only dependent on the specific elastance of the different components, but also on baseline pressures within the different compartments. Increased IAP has a two-sided effect: the abdominal wall is moved outwards (abdominal extension) and the gaseous contents of hollow organs within the abdominal cavity are compressed since gas is compressible while fluid is not (organ contraction). Therefore it should be noted that the abdominal compliance is also determined by the amount of gaseous contents inside the hollow organs. It seems that with constant abdominal wall elasticity, more gaseous contents results in increased abdominal compliance before the onset of increased global IAV. The effects of increased IAP on end-organ function are numerous: neurologic, respiratory, cardiovascular and renal adverse effects have all been described in patients with IAH and ACS [71–74]. Increased IAP leads to diminished venous return, necessitating more fluid loading, causing mesenteric vein compression and venous hypertension, finally triggering a vicious cycle.

In the following paragraphs, some experimental and potential methods will be presented to estimate $C_{ab}$ based on the interactions between the different compartments (mainly thorax and abdomen). This can be done in mechanically ventilated patients by examination of the effects of changes in $P_{aw}$ and tidal volume (TV) on IAP.

**ESTIMATION OF ABDOMINAL COMPLIANCE DURING LOW FLOW PRESSURE VOLUME LOOP**

The $C_{ab}$ can be estimated by analysis of the dynamic changes caused by mechanical ventilation on IAP. During

---

*Figure 12.* Interactions between different compartments. Schematic drawing with compliance separation of the different components such as lung ($C_l$), diaphragm ($C_{dia}$) and chest wall ($C_w$) playing a role in the transmission of pressure between thoracic ($C_t$) and abdominal compartments ($C_{ab}$) and the resultant overall compliance ($C_{tot}$). Based on the compliance of the different components, a certain pressure change in the lungs ($\Delta P_{aw}$) will then be transmitted via the thorax ($\Delta P_{pl} = \Delta ITP$) to the abdomen causing a resulting change in IAP ($\Delta IAP$). This is called the 'thoracic abdominal index of transmission' (TAI)
a low flow PV loop to determine the best PEEP one can observe the change in mean IAP (MIAP). The compliance obtained by this manoeuvre can be calculated as follows:

$$C_{abPV} = \frac{\Delta TV}{\Delta MIAP}$$

With $\Delta TV$ the insufflated volume and $\Delta MIAP$ the difference between MIAP at the end and start of the PV loop (this is illustrated in Figs 14, 15).

**Figure 13.** Changes in intra-abdominal pressure ($\Delta$IAP) will lead to concomitant changes of pressures in other compartments. Thoraco-abdominal pressure transmission can be seen with positive pressure ventilation, PEEP or auto-PEEP, or pneumothorax. ICP — intracranial pressure; ITP — intrathoracic pressure; ECP — extremity compartment pressure; PPV — pulse pressure variation; SVV — stroke volume variation; ATI — abdomino-thoracic index of transmission; TAI — thoraco-abdominal index of transmission; ACI — abdomino-cranial index of transmission; AEI — abdomino-extremities index of transmission

**Figure 14.** Estimation of abdominal compliance during low flow PV loop. Sample of a low flow respiratory PV loop with insufflation of a tidal volume of 750 mL starting from zero end-expiratory pressure (to identify best PEEP). LIP — lower inflection point; $P_{aw}$ — airway pressure; TV — tidal volume

**ESTIMATION OF ABDOMINAL COMPLIANCE DURING MECHANICAL VENTILATION**

While looking at the effects of TV excursions on IAP and by calculating the difference between IAPei and IAPee one can also obtain an idea of $C_{ab}$ [75]:

$$C_{abTV} = \frac{TV}{\Delta IAP}$$

The higher the respiratory excursions seen in a continuous IAP tracing, the lower the $C_{ab}$ (for the same TV). The higher the IAP, the higher $\Delta IAP$ or thus the lower $C_{ab}$.

**CALCULATION OF ABDOMINAL PRESSURE VARIATION (APV)**

The abdominal pressure variation can be calculated from a continuous IAP tracing that can be obtained from a balloon-tipped nasogastric probe (CiMON, Pulsion Medical Systems, Munich, Germany). The higher the APV for any

**Figure 15.** Estimation of abdominal compliance during low flow PV loop. The mean IAP increased from 11.7 to 15.2 mm Hg during the low flow PV loop. Hence the abdominal compliance during this manoeuvre can be estimated at 214 mL (mm Hg)$^{-1}$. BIPAP — bilevel positive airway pressure; Insp PV — inspiratory pressure volume curve; Exp PV — expiratory pressure volume curve; ZEEP — zero end-expiratory PEEP; TV — tidal volume; IAP — intra-abdominal pressure
given IAP, the lower the $C_{ab}$, and vice versa, the lower the $C_{ab}^{TV}$ the higher the APV, hence APV can be used as a non-invasive and continuous estimation of $C_{ab}$. The APV can be calculated by dividing the $\Delta IAP$ (difference between $IAP_{ei}$ and $IAP_{ee}$) with mean IAP (expressed as a percentage) as illustrated in Figure 16 [76]:

$$APV = \frac{\Delta IAP}{MIAP}$$

**Figure 16.** Estimation of abdominal compliance with abdominal pressure variation. Smoothed average of a continuous IAP tracing, excluding the pulse pressure artefacts during BiPAP ventilation with plateau pressure of 25 and PEEP of 10 cm H$_2$O. Mean IAP was 18.5 mm Hg with IAP = 17 mm Hg at end expiration ($IAP_{ee}$) and IAP = 20 mm Hg at end inspiration ($IAP_{ei}$), resulting in a $\Delta IAP$ (defined as $IAP_{ei} - IAP_{ee}$) = 3 mm Hg. The abdominal pressure variation (APV) can be calculated as $\Delta IAP$ divided by mean IAP (i.e. $3/18.5 = 16.2\%$). Higher APV values for a given ventilator setting correspond to lower abdominal wall compliance. The thoraco-abdominal index (TAI) of transmission can be calculated as $\Delta IAP$ divided by ($P_{plateau}$ minus PEEP) or thus $3/15 = 20\%$

**Figure 17.** Estimation of abdominal compliance during the respiratory abdominal variation test (RAVT) in IPPV-mode. Smoothed average of a continuous IAP tracing (CiMON, Pulsion Medical System, Munich, Germany) obtained during the respiratory abdominal variation test (RAVT) in intermittent positive pressure ventilation (IPPV) mode. The tidal volume (TV) is stepwise increased from 250 mL to 1,000 mL with increments of 250 mL. At each TV, the following parameters were recorded: $IAP_{ee}$, $IAP_{ei}$, IAP and $\Delta IAP$. With increasing TV mainly the $IAP_{ei}$ increases whereas $IAP_{ee}$ remains relatively unchanged. During RAVT, the diaphragm is displaced caudally and an additional volume is added to the abdominal cavity. The $\Delta IAV$ is probably correlated to the $\Delta TV$ observed between start and end of the RAVT (= 750 mL), the slope of the curve connecting the $IAP_{ei}$ at each TV can be used as estimation for $C_{ab}$. The $C_{abDTV}$ in the sample presented can be calculated as follows: $C_{abDTV} = TV/\Delta IAP = 1000/(13.6-11) = 384.6$ mL (mm Hg)$^{-1}$ and this correlates well with the $C_{abDTV} = TV/\Delta IAP = 1000/(13.6-11) = 384.6$ mL (mm Hg)$^{-1}$

A final non-invasive method for the estimation of $C_{ab}$ is performing a respiratory abdominal variation test (RAVT) in IPPV-mode with increasing TV (from 0 to 1,000 mL with increments of 250 mL) (Fig. 17):

$$C_{abRAVT} = \Delta TV/\Delta IAP_{ei}$$

**RESPIRATORY ABDOMINAL VARIATION TEST (RAVT)**
The RAVT can also be performed in BIPAP-mode with increasing PEEP levels (from ZEEP to 15 cm H₂O) at a certain set IPAP level (Fig. 18):

\[ C_{ab}^{RAVT} = \frac{\Delta TV}{\Delta IAP_{ee}} \]

The \( C_{ab} \) obtained with RAVT correlates with \( C_{ab} \) obtained from \( \Delta IAP \) during mechanical ventilation as illustrated in Figure 17 [77]. Increasing TV increases \( IAP_{ei} \) while increasing PEEP increases \( IAP_{ee} \). Future studies should look at the effects of paracentesis or laparoscopy on \( C_{ab} \) and \( \Delta IAP \) to confirm this hypothesis.

**PROGNOSTIC AND PREDICTIVE FACTORS RELATED TO ABDOMINAL COMPLIANCE**

**RISK FACTORS FOR INCREASED ABDOMINAL PRESSURE**

As discussed above, the measurement of \( C_{ab} \) is difficult at the bedside and can only be done in a case of change (removal or addition) in IAV. Nevertheless, the \( C_{ab} \) is one of the most neglected parameters in critically ill patients, although it plays a key role in understanding the deleterious effects of unadapted IAV on IAP and end-organ perfusion. If we can identify patients with low \( C_{ab} \) we can anticipate and select the most appropriate surgical treatment to avoid complications. Theoretically, \( C_{ab} \) allows the prediction of complications during laparoscopy and mechanical ventilation, the identification of patients who would benefit from leaving the abdomen open, the identification of patients in whom to monitor IAP, and the identification of patients at risk during prone ventilation.

| Table 5. Risk factors associated with increased IAP |
| A. Related to increased intra-abdominal contents |
| - Gastroparesis |
| - Gastric distension |
| - Ileus |
| - Volvulus |
| - Colonic pseudo-obstruction |
| - Abdominal tumour |
| - Retroperitoneal/abdominal wall haematoma |
| - Enteral feeding |
| - Intra-abdominal or retroperitoneal tumour |
| - Damage control laparotomy |
| B. Related to abdominal collections of fluid, air or blood |
| - Liver dysfunction with ascites |
| - Abdominal infection (pancreatitis, peritonitis, abscess) |
| - Haemoperitoneum |
| - Pneumoperitoneum |
| - Laparoscopy with excessive inflation pressures |
| - Major trauma |
| - Peritoneal dialysis |
| C. Related to capillary leak and fluid resuscitation |
| - Acidosis* (pH below 7.2) |
| - Hypothermia* (core temperature below 33°C) |
| - Coagulopathy* (platelet count below 50 G L⁻¹ OR an activated partial thromboplastin time (APTT) more than two times normal OR a prothrombin time (PTT) below 50% OR an international standardised ratio (INR) more than 1.5) |
| - Polytransfusion-trauma (> 10 units of packed red cells/24 hours) |
| - Sepsis (as defined by the American – European Consensus Conference definitions) |
| - Severe sepsis or bacteraemia |
| - Septic shock |
| - Massive fluid resuscitation (> 3 L of colloid or > 10 L of crystalloid/24 hours with capillary leak and positive fluid balance) |
| - Major burns |

*The combination of acidosis, hypothermia and coagulopathy has been termed in the literature the ‘deadly triad’ [143, 144]
Table 5 lists some common conditions related to increased IAP; in patients with one or more of these risk factors, it is suggested to estimate $C_{ab}$ by one of the previously mentioned methods.

**CONDITIONS ASSOCIATED WITH DECREASED ABDOMINAL COMPLIANCE**

Aside from risk factors for IAH, patients should also be screened for risk factors for decreased $C_{ab}$ (Table 6). These can be divided into: 1) those related to body habitus and anthropomorphy (male, old age, short stature, obesity, high BMI, android fat distribution, increased visceral fat, increased waist-to-hip ratio $> 1$, central obesity, sphere shaped abdomen,…); 2) related to comorbidities and/or increased non-compressible IAV (capillary leak, fluid filled stomach and bowels, pleuropneumonia, tense ascites, hepatosplenomegaly, sepsis, burns, trauma and bleeding; and 3) related to abdominal wall and diaphragm (burn eschars, rectus sheath haematoma, abdominal wall haematoma, tight closure, prone and HOB positioning, Velcro belt, body builders with increased abdominal muscles and ‘six-pack’, umbilical hernia repair, muscle contractions due to pain, interstitial and anasarca oedema, COPD, PEEP and auto-PEEP, mechanical ventilation).

Morbidly obese patients have a higher baseline IAP, around 12–14 mm Hg, and this is mainly related to the presence of central obesity [15, 78–81]. As discussed above, morbidly obese patients with an android (mainly visceral and sphere shaped) fat distribution have a limited reserve to accommodate more IAV than the baseline IAV compared to those patients who for the same BMI or abdominal perimeter have a gynoid (mainly subcutaneous and ellipse shaped) fat distribution [15, 78]. On the other hand, if subcutaneous fat accumulates, this may have a negative effect on the elastic properties of the abdominal wall although the thin muscle layer may have a beneficial effect. Therefore it is not possible to predict $C_{ab}$ in obese patients. In general, $C_{ab}$ is decreased because of the increased baseline IAV resulting in decreased reshaping capacity and abdominal wall compliance and the gravitational effects of the extra weight causing an increased baseline IAP. As such, it may be advisable to consider weight loss before elective laparoscopic surgery.

**CONDITIONS ASSOCIATED WITH INCREASED ABDOMINAL COMPLIANCE**

Table 7 lists some conditions associated with improved $C_{ab}$. These can also be divided into: 1) those related to body habitus and anthropomorphy (young age, lean and slim body composition, normal BMI, tall height, gynoid fat distribution, preferentially subcutaneous fat, waist-to-hip ratio $< 0.8$, peripheral obesity, ellipse or pear-shaped abdomen); 2) absence of comorbidities and/or increased compressible IAV (air filled stomach and bowels, normothermia, normal coagulation, normal pH); and 3) related to abdominal wall and diaphragm (burn escharotomy, avoidance of tight closure, open abdomen with temporary abdominal closure, beach chair positioning, muscle relaxation, pain control, sedation and analgesia, bronchodilatation, lung protective ventilation, previous pregnancy, previous laparoscopy, previous abdominal surgery, large hernias before repair).

Previous stretching of the abdominal fascia increases $C_{ab}$; this can be explained by a gradual pre-stretching of the internal abdominal cavity perimeter during acute or progressive increased IAV (as is the case during laparoscopy, with pregnancy, peritoneal dialysis, cirrhotic ascites) [16, 29, 40, 45, 82]. An animal study showed that even a short period of pre-stretching (20 minutes) is sufficient to increase $C_{ab}$ [29]. This was also shown in patients undergoing laparo-

---

**Table 6. Factors associated with decreased abdominal compliance**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A. Related to anthropomorphy and demographics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Male gender</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Old age (loss of elastic recoil) [17]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Obesity (weight, BMI) [78–80]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Android composition (sphere, apple shape) [15, 20, 78, 79]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Increased visceral fat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Waist-to-hip ratio $&gt; 1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Short stature</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>B. Related to comorbidities and/or increased non-compressible IAV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Fluid overload [88]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Bowels filled with fluid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Stomach filled with fluid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Tense ascites [45]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Hepatomegaly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Splenomegaly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Abdominal fluid collections, pseudocyst, abscess</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Sepsis, burns, trauma and bleeding (coagulopathy)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>C. Related to abdominal wall and diaphragm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Umbilical hernia repair [145, 146]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Muscle contractions (pain) [93]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Body builders (six-pack) [115]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Intestinal and anasarca oedema (skin, abdominal wall)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Abdominal burn eschars (circular) [97, 98, 147]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Thoracic burn eschars (circular)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Tight closure after abdominal surgery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Abdominal Velcro belt or adhesive drapes [148]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Prone positioning [103]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- HOB $&gt; 45^\circ$ [102]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Pneumoperitoneum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Pneumatic anti-shock garments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Abdominal wall bleeding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Rectus sheath haematoma [149]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Correction of large hernias</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Gastrostomia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Omphalocele</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Mechanical ventilation (positive pressure) [65]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Fighting with the ventilator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Use of accessory muscles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Use of positive end expiratory pressure (PEEP) [66]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Presence of auto-PEEP (tension pneumothorax)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- COPD emphysema (diaphragm flattening)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Basal pleuropneumonia</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Factors associated with increased abdominal compliance

A. Related to anthropomorphy and demographics

- Height (tall stature)
- Young age
- Female gender
- Lean and slim body
- Normal BMI
- Gynoid composition (ellipse, pear-shaped)[20]
- Waist-to-hip ratio < 0.8
- Peripheral obesity
- Preferentially subcutaneous fat

B. Related to absence of comorbidities and/or increased compressible IAV

- Bowels filled with air
- Stomach filled with air
- Absence of deadly triad: normothermia, normal pH, normal coagulation

C. Related to abdominal wall and diaphragm

- Umbilical hernia (before repair) [145]
- Burn escharotomy (thorax and/or abdomen)
- Avoidance of tight closure
- Open abdomen with temporary abdominal closure
- Beach chair positioning
- Sedation and analgesia
- Muscle relaxation
- Bronchodilation
- Lung protective ventilation
- Pre-stretching of fascia (cirrhosis with ascites, peritoneal dialysis when fluid is drained from abdomen) [29]
- Previous pregnancy [16]
- Previous laparoscopy [40, 82]
- Previous abdominal surgery [150]
- Abdominal wall lift [151]
- Weight loss

TREATMENT

In this section, we will discuss possible therapeutic options that will either result in an increase in $C_{ab}$ or a decrease in baseline IAP or IAV, or a combination of effects.

How to decrease baseline IAP?

In simple terms, in order to reduce IAP, either (additional) IAV has to be removed (e.g. weight loss, fluid removal via dialysis with net ultrafiltration, ascites drainage, gastric suctioning, evacuation of abscess or haematoma) or the $C_{ab}$ has to be improved by increasing the internal abdominal cavity perimeter and surface area (pre-stretching, open abdomen treatment). Losing weight and the resulting drop in BMI will decrease IAP [83]. Studies during laparoscopy have shown that muscle relaxants decrease opening pressures ($P_{v0}$) or thus baseline IAP [16]. For other specific treatment options to reduce IAP in the setting of IAH, we refer to other publications [1, 84–86].

How to reduce IAV?

The evacuation of intra-luminal and intra-abdominal contents will decrease the IAV [1, 84–86]. This can be done via placement of a nasogastric tube with suctioning either or not in conjunction with gastroprokineptics (cisapride, metoclopramide or erythromycin). Paracentesis with evacuation of ascites and the placement of a rectal tube in conjunction with enemas and colonoprokineptics (prostygmin) may also reduce IAV [59]. Colonic pseudo-obstruction or Ogilvie’s syndrome may be treated with endoscopic decompression of large bowel or a surgical colostomy or ileostomy together with colonoprokineptics [87]. When in doubt, imag-
ing should be performed and an ultrasound or CT guided drainage should be attempted in a case of haematoma, abscess, or fluid collections. The correction of capillary leak and avoiding a positive fluid balance will also eventually lead to a decreased IAV by decreasing organ and bowel oedema [88]. This can be achieved with (hypertonic) albumin in combination with diuretics (furosemide), correction of capillary leak (antibiotics, source control), the use of colloids instead of crystalloids and eventually dialysis or CVVH (continuous venovenous hemofiltration) with ultrafiltration [89]. Targeted APP (abdominal perfusion pressure) with the use of vasopressors will reduce IAV (analogous to the effect of norepinephrine on ICP [intra cranial pressure] and CPP [cerebral perfusion pressure]), and dobutamine (but not dopamine) will improve splanchnic perfusion [90, 91]. Ascorbic acid has been associated with reduced incidence of secondary ACS in burn patients [92].

**HOW TO IMPROVE C\textsubscript{AB}?**

The improvement of C\textsubscript{ab} should be performed in a stepwise approach as suggested by the WSACS consensus recommendations.

**FIRST STEP: ENSURE ADEQUATE SEDATION AND ANALGESIA**

Fentanyl should not be used as it may increase abdominal muscle tone while dexmedetomidine has superior effects over propofol [93, 94]. Thoracic epidural anaesthesia, on the other hand, has been shown to reduce IAP via increase in C\textsubscript{ab} [95].

**SECOND STEP: REMOVE CONSTRUCTIVE BANDAGES AND ESCHARS**

Any tight abdominal closure like a Velcro belt to prevent incisional hernia related to postoperative coughing or a ‘ventre au fils de fer’ (the iron belly) in a patient with abdominal hypertension and end-organ dysfunction (e.g. respiratory insufficiency) should be removed immediately [96]. Likewise escharotomies (abdominal but also thoracic) will increase C\textsubscript{ab} while sternotomy will increase not only thoracic wall compliance but also C\textsubscript{ab} [97–99]. Placing a chest tube in a case of a tension pneumothorax or pleural effusion will increase C\textsubscript{ab} as well [100].

**THIRD STEP: AVOID PRONE AND HEAD OF BED > 30° AND CONSIDER REVERSE TRENDELENBURG POSITION**

Body positioning such as the Trendelenburg position can lower bladder pressure although it may compromise respiratory function [78]. The use of HOB elevation above 30° can on the other hand increase bladder pressure [78, 101, 102]. The HOB 45° position will increase IAP with 5 to 15 mm Hg [78]. A case has been described where HOB 45° led to cardiorespiratory collapse in a patient on non-invasive mechanical ventilation [60]. Therefore in patients with respiratory insufficiency that are mechanically ventilated, the anti-Trendelenburg position may be the best to allow lung recruitment, oxygennation and ventilation [7]. The use of special skin pressure-decreasing interfaces with the use of an air cushioned mattress rather than a foam mattress will avoid decrease of C\textsubscript{ab}, especially when proning the patient [103, 104]. During prone positioning ventilation, there is a merit in unloading the abdomen (abdominal suspension) as this will result in a decrease in chest wall compliance, while the effect of gravity will improve C\textsubscript{ab} and decrease IAP, forcing the tidal volume to go to the dorsobasal (collapsed) regions of the lung [103]. During laparoscopy, the body position can also help to optimise the laparoscopic workspace IAV. The Trendelenburg position with HOB 20° provides the optimal workspace in lower abdominal laparoscopic surgery, while this is the beach-chair position (flexing the legs in reverse Trendelenburg) during upper abdominal laparoscopic surgery in obese patients [4]. Laparoscopic insufflation pressures should at all times be limited to 15 mm Hg. If workspace IAV is too small, pressures above 15 mm Hg can be used for a limited time and under close monitoring of cardiorespiratory function. Higher working pressures cannot be routinely recommended in obese patients with high baseline IAP. In morbidly obese patients, open surgery seems the best option because of the high complication risk associated with pneumoperitoneum [80]. The same holds true for laparoscopy in patients with intracranial hypertension.

**FOURTH STEP: LOSE WEIGHT AND AVOID FLUID OVERLOAD**

Similarly to weight loss, avoiding a positive cumulative fluid balance and obtaining a negative fluid balance with the use of diuretics [105] either or not in combination with hypertonic solutions (albumin 20%) [89] will decrease interstitial oedema of the abdominal wall and increase C\textsubscript{ab}. Fluid resuscitation should be guided by volumetric (and not barometric) preload indicators, and if CVP is used transmural pressures should be calculated [6, 106, 107]:

\[
CVP_{tm} = CVP_{ve} - IAP/2
\]

In case diuretics alone don’t have sufficient effect, renal replacement therapy with haemodialysis or CVVH can be used [108–110].

**FIFTH STEP: USE NEUROMUSCULAR BLOCKERS**

Theoretically, the use of neuromuscular blockade should not only lower baseline IAP but also improve C\textsubscript{ab} [111–114]. However, no additional increase in C\textsubscript{ab} has been shown after full block of abdominal muscle contractions (guided by train of four testing) [16, 115].
SIXTH STEP: CONSIDER LESS INVASIVE SURGERY

Recently, a less invasive percutaneous endoscopic abdominal wall component separation (EACS) technique has been described [116]. With this technique, the abdominal capacity (maximal stretched volume) increased by 1 L while IAP decreased from 15.9 ± 2.1 to 11 ± 1.5 mm Hg (P < 0.001) [116]. Another alternative to midline laparotomy is subcutaneous linea alba fasciotomy (SLAF) which seems a promising approach especially in secondary IAH and ACS [117].

A HOLISTIC INTEGRATED APPROACH

Integrating all the above knowledge on IAP, IAV, and Cab, one could imagine the development of a theoretical model or even a device that could increase Cab or decrease IAP and IAV [118−120]. The application of a bell or shell on the abdomen has been studied previously in animals and humans [121−125]. For this modelling, we assume that the membrane of the abdomen responds to the linearity of Young [126]. This means that a variation in the IAV implies a proportional variation in the IAP exerted by the membrane or thus:

$$\kappa \times \Delta V = \Delta P$$

Where $\kappa$ is the constant elastance of the abdomen, or alternatively

$$IAP_2 = IAP_1 - P_{atm} + P_{vac} + \kappa \times IAV_2 - IAV_1 \quad (1)$$

$$IAP_1 \times IAV_1 \times X_1 = IAP_2 \times IAV_2 \times X_2 \quad (2)$$

Where $IAP_1$ represents the IAP before the application of the negative pressure expressed in mm Hg, $P_{atm}$ is the atmospheric pressure, $P_{vac}$ is the pressure within the abdominal bell, $IAV_1$ is the initial volume of the abdomen prior to the use of the bell in m$^3$, $IAV_2$ is the volume of the abdomen after applying the negative pressure, $X_1$ is the relation of air volume versus total volume 1 and 2 or thus the initial percentage of air in the abdomen. At this point, the parameters $X_2$, $IAV_2$ and $IAP_2$ are unknown. Since water is not compressible, we can calculate $X_2$:

$$V_{water_1} = V_{water_2} = IAV_1 - IAV_2 \times X_1 \quad (3a)$$

$$X_2 = 1 - V_{water_1}/IAV_2 = 1 - (IAV_1 - IAV_2 \times X_1)/IAV_2 \quad (3b)$$

Replacing (3b) in (2) the following can be deduced:

$$IAV_2 = IAV_1 - X_1 \times IAV_1 + X_1 \times IAP_1/IAP_2 \quad (4)$$

From (1) and (4) the following relation can be derived:

$$IAP_2 = IAP_1 - P_{atm} + P_{vac} + \kappa \times X_1 \times IAV_1 \times (IAP_1/IAP_2 - 1) \quad (5a)$$

the unknown $IAP_2$ can then be calculated as follows (6):

$$\left( (IAP_1 - P_{atm} + P_{vac} - \kappa \times X_1 \times IAV_1) \pm \sqrt{(IAP_1 - P_{atm} + P_{vac} - \kappa \times X_1 \times IAV_1)^2 + 4\kappa \times X_1 \times IAV_1 \times IAP_1} \right)/2$$

By means of a simple software program in Excel (Microsoft Corporation, USA) this function can be used to simulate the final IAP based on the pressure in the bell by simulating the different parameters. This is illustrated in Figure 19 and confirms the linear behaviour of the model.

Recently a non-invasive device called ABDOPRE (short for ABDOminal PRESSure) was developed to reduce IAP (Fig. 20) [119]. The device consists of a Plexiglas® bell placed air-tight-
ly on the patient's abdomen, resting on the bones framing the abdomen. The bell is connected to a vacuum pump. Negative pressure in the bell (P_{vac}) lifts the abdominal wall, i.e. it increases IAV thereby reducing IAP.

$$\Delta IAP = C_{ab} \times \Delta P_{vac}$$

The ABDOPRE allows different treatment protocols to be adopted for different patients, by setting the following parameters: 1) Desired value for IAP; 2) IAP tolerance (e.g. 0.4 mm Hg); 3) Duration of treatment (in minutes); and 4) End of treatment instructions (restart, change protocol or stop). The ABDOPRE displays and graphs IAP and its set value. At the end of each treatment a document is created for the patient's records. A preliminary study in four patients showed that three of the four responded to the application of external negative pressure, and in those the IAP decreased from 12.7 to 9.3 mm Hg [118] (Fig. 21). The specificity of ABDOPRE is, as preliminary clinical work has shown, that a servo-controlled reduction in IAP can be achieved. The exact protocol of pressure lowering and relaxation however is still to be defined. What is clear is that, far from being an adaptation of an external respiratory assisted device, ABDOPRE specifically addresses the problems related to IAH and ACS.

This will lead to future options for non-invasive treatment and regulation of IAP and IAV with the use of negative extra-abdominal pressure (NEXAP) as illustrated in Figure 22. However, when all the above listed treatment options fail to provide a sufficient decrease in IAP and IAV, the only definite solution is to perform a decompressive laparotomy that will have beneficial effects on IAP, IAV and C_{ab} [127].

**DISCUSSION**

Based on the foregoing, we can state that with regard to the measurement principles of IAP, IAV and C_{ab} in the clinical situation, a number of questions and issues still need to be addressed:

- Is the abdominal compartment linked to the diaphragm and thorax in series or in parallel? The abdominal compartment is linked in series to the diaphragm and in parallel to the chest wall.
- Why is C_{ab} not affected by neuromuscular blockers? The C_{ab} does not change with muscle relaxation, since this only has an effect on the resting pressure (P_{rest}) and not the C or E [16, 112, 128].
— Can the $C_{ab}$ be modulated by other medications or interventions? As stated above, the most important factors reducing $C_{ab}$ will either be caused by internal accumulation of fluid or external compression in burn eschars or via tight closure or use of a Velcro belt. Theoretically, the application of an external negative pressure device may increase the space for a given IAV and as such may lower IAP. Leg flexion increases the compliance while table inclination (anti-Trendelenburg or HOB) changes only the $P_v$. Furthermore, body and external temperature will exert an effect on $C_{ab}$ (e.g. shivering) and finally abdominal wall perfusion will also affect $C_{ab}$; early pig experiments showed that when perfusion pressure dropped too much with inhalation anaesthetics, the abdomens were stiffer. A possible explanation may be that although inhalation anaesthetics seem to affect only the $P_v$ like muscle relaxants but not the $C_{ab}$, the sudden hypotension may result in vasomotor tone changes that negatively affect the $C_{ab}$. The use of epidural anaesthesia has been shown to exert beneficial effects on $C_{ab}$.

— What is the best technique to measure IAP or $C_{ab}$? The gold standard measurement technique for IAP estimation remains the bladder. To date there is no good technique to measure IAV at the bedside, while the $C_{ab}$ can be measured very accurately and simply during laparoscopy. New less invasive techniques to estimate $C_{ab}$ are based on the interactions between the thorax and the abdomen in patients under positive pressure ventilation. An unanswered question is whether or not it will be possible to calculate volume ratios of the different organs in relation to the total abdominal volume at the bedside. In this respect, electric impedance tomography of the abdomen may be helpful in the future.

— Can we measure IAV at the bedside? Not yet, but body anthropomorphy offers useful information at the bedside.

— Can we measure $C_{ab}$ at the bedside or only via laparoscopy? In the ICU, the continuous measurement of IAP allows us to determine the respiratory variations in the IAP tracing and this offers useful alternatives to estimate $C_{ab}$ (e.g. via low flow PV loop or RAVT).

— In which patients should IAP, IAV or $C_{ab}$ be measured? Routine IAP measurement in all patients admitted to the ICU is not indicated. The WSACS has provided a list with risk factors associated with IAH and ACS (Table 5); in patients presenting with two or more of these risk factors, IAP monitoring is advocated. Of course, even when, in the absence of these risk factors, IAH is suspected, IAP monitoring should be initiated.

— What is the best frequency for IAP monitoring? When an intermittent method is used, measurements should be obtained at least every 4–6 hours, and in patients with evolving organ dysfunction, this frequency should be increased to hourly. In patients who are on the steep part of the compliance curve, IAP should be measured more frequently, as small changes in IAV may have significant effects on IAP. Also, it may be considered extremely prudent to add additional volume to the abdominal compartment, e.g. enteral nutrition. Those patients (i.e. with high baseline IAP values, after massive fluid resuscitation, or abdominal burns) should be considered candidates for nasogastric suctioning in combination with all other noninvasive options to lower IAV and thus also IAP.

— When to stop IAP measurement? IAP measurement can be discontinued when the patient has no signs of acute organ dysfunction, and IAP values have been decreased below 10 mm Hg for 24–48 hours. In case of recurrent organ dysfunction, IAP measurement should be reconsidered.

— What about IAP in children? When using the bladder, smaller instillation volumes should be used (1 mL kg\(^{-1}\) with a maximum of 20 mL). Children also have lower IAP values and the thresholds for IAH and ACS are lower, around 10 to 12 mm Hg respectively.

— What about the effect of body position on IAP, IAV or $C_{ab}$? When measured in the head of the bed (HOB) elevated...
to 30° and 45°, the IAP on average is respectively 4 and 9 mm Hg higher [131]. This effect is more pronounced in patients with higher BMI [7]. If we accept that the abdomen behaves as a hydraulic system, then the descent of intra-abdominal contents by HOB elevation may exert external pressure on the bladder, leading to an increase of intravesicular pressure. On the other hand, when the patient is in the semirecumbent position, a compression of the abdomen between the pelvis and the ribcage is likely. This may have a profound effect on IAP if the patient is on the steep part of the PV curve.

— What about the effect of instillation volume on IAP when measured via the bladder? In the early days of IAP measurement, instillation volumes as high as 250 mL were used [132]. Several studies in critically ill patients have demonstrated that high volumes (above 25 mL)
may falsely elevate IAP — probably due to increased detrusor tension [133]. Also, 10–20 mL proved to be enough for reliable IAP measurement [134]. For children, it can be assumed that the same principles apply. For adults, the recommended instillation volume is 25 mL at most; for children as stated above it is 1 mL kg⁻¹ up to a maximum of 20 mL.

— What about the effect of instillation temperature on IAP when measured via the bladder? Low (i.e. room) temperature of the instillation fluid may erroneously increase IAP readings as the bladder detrusor muscle may contract and decrease bladder wall compliance [135]. When the instillation volume is low, this effect is assumed to be minimal.

— Is the equilibration time important during IAP measurement via the bladder? For a good IAP measurement, one should wait for equilibration of the pressure signal for at least 30 to 60 seconds [135].

— What about IAP measurements in awake patients? It is believed that awake patients may have higher resting/baseline values due to abdominal muscle tone [12].

— Does bladder compliance change over time? Bladder compliance is different from abdominal compliance. It is not linear at all, and is probably also related to fluid balance (e.g. presence of ascites exerting a compressive force on the bladder). Bladder filling status prior to bladder pressure measurement may also play a role [136].

— How do different intravisceral pressures relate to each other? So far, little data is available regarding simultaneous bladder, stomach and rectal pressure measurements. But within the abdomen alone, different compartments may exist [137, 138]. It is not clear what the role of therapeutic options like decapsulotomy (kidneys) is versus open abdomen with temporary abdominal closure, neither do we have information on the measurement of selective organ volumes and pressures.

— Is the absolute IAP or IAV value important or is the trend (Δ) more relevant? The evolution of time is probably more relevant than one single value. With the advent of continuous IAP, the area under the curve for a certain threshold, as well as the time above a certain threshold for each 24 hour period, can be examined in the future and this may be related to morbidity and mortality [139].

— Can we measure IAV continuously? Yes, theoretically this is possible with a gas diffusion technique during laparoscopy.

— What is the best way to measure IAP continuously? From a theoretical point of view, continuous bladder pressure measurement is not possible in a patient who passes urine since drainage and measurement cannot be combined. With a special three-way Foley this could be overcome although there still may be some meth-
overload, android fat distribution, sphere-shaped internal abdominal perimeter) $C_{ab}$ we can anticipate and select the most appropriate medical and surgical treatment to avoid complications like IAH or ACS.

A large overlap exists between the treatment of patients with abdominal hypertension and those with low $C_{ab}$. Treatment of patients with low $C_{ab}$ is based on six principles. The ICU physician should have the following approach: 1) ensure adequate sedation and analgesia; 2) remove constrictive bandages and eschars; 3) avoid prone and head of bed > 30° and consider reverse Trendelenburg position; 4) reduce patient weight and avoid fluid overload; 5) use neuromuscular blockers; and finally, 6) consider less invasive surgery such as EACS or SLAF.
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