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Abstract

Noninvasive ventilation (NIV) is an increasingly used method of respiratory support. The use of NIV is expanding over the time and if properly applied, it can save patients’ lives and improve long-term prognosis. However, both knowledge and skills of its proper use as life support are paramount. This systematic review aimed to assess the importance of NIV education and training. Literature search was conducted (MEDLINE: 1990 to June, 2018) to identify randomized controlled studies and systematic reviews with the results analyzed by a team of experts across the world through e-mail based communications. Clinical trials examining the impact of education and training in NIV as the primary objective was not found. A few studies with indirect evidence, a simulation-based training study, and narrative reviews were identified. Currently organized training in NIV is implemented only in a few developed countries. Due to a lack of high-grade experimental evidence, an international consensus on NIV education and training based on opinions from 64 experts across the twenty-one different countries of the world was formulated. Education and training have the potential to increase knowledge and skills of the clinical staff who deliver medical care using NIV. There is a genuine need to develop structured, organized NIV education and training programs, especially for the developing countries.
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Introduction

The education and training of medical personnel in noninvasive ventilation (NIV) is essential for reducing failures, complications and, ultimately, improving outcomes for patients with respiratory failure [1]. Proper NIV training would allow a successful use of NIV in stressful situations when patients are facing an acute life-threatening condition — such as Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome (ARDS) or acute decompensated Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) — with a potential to delay or even avoid invasive ventilation. In this regard, adequate training of staff may improve cost-effectiveness of patient care, in addition to improving the clinical staff’s job satisfaction. It is evident that in the modern hospital care setting, a proper training period for NIV should be part of the education of a multidisciplinary respiratory team and, indeed, all health care professionals who may need to handle patients with respiratory insufficiency. It is anticipated that a variety of clinical staff including pulmonology, emergency medicine, anesthesia, perioperative, and Intensive Care Unit (ICU) physicians, nurses and respiratory therapists are key players and stakeholders of the multidisciplinary NIV team. A comprehensive understanding of different causes of acute hypoxemic or hypercapnic respiratory failure, and also the utility, limitations, and technicalities of NIV is paramount, in addition to solid general knowledge and experience in intensive care medicine, including management of agitation and invasive hemodynamic monitoring.

Nurses and/or respiratory therapists are usually the frontline key personnel who deliver and monitor the NIV treatment in many healthcare institutions, and hence, their roles as part of the multidisciplinary respiratory team in the successful implementation of NIV cannot be understated. The quality of the interactions between nurses, physicians, respiratory therapists, patients, and their relatives has a substantial impact on the successful use of NIV by improving patients’ compliance, detecting technical problems of the NIV equipment, and informing physicians whether the patients are responding well to the NIV treatment. The important roles of nurses in the successful implementation of NIV can be significantly compromised if they have not received the much-needed training and experience, especially in a low nurse to patient ratio setting.

Patients with respiratory insufficiency requiring NIV in the hospital environment are, by definition, seriously or critically ill and will require more frequent attention and care than other subjects in the general hospital wards. As such, specialized nurses who are knowledgeable and well-trained in NIV, with the skills of a respiratory therapist, may be particularly important if they can be designated to deal with ventilator settings, patient response, respiratory equipment, and monitoring exclusively in reducing patient-ventilator dyssynchrony and preventable NIV failure. If human resources are available, respiratory therapists and physiotherapists working closely with the nurses and other members of the multidisciplinary respiratory team can also be useful. In addition to assist sputum expectation, physiotherapy can also provide advice and help patients to fully utilize the assistance of NIV in reducing their dyspnea and work of breathing [2]. Whenever more than a single person is involved, a cooperative and cohesive approach is paramount in maximizing the performance of
the team; and this is only achievable through structured and organized education and training of all members of the NIV team [2].

Education can positively influence the medical intervention and the outcome. A structured ICU training program has been shown to be effective in improving the outcome even in the resource-limited area of Asia [3]. Similarly, resident training in communication in the ICU has shown to be associated with strongly positive family member outcomes and significant improvements in residents’ perceived skills [4]. Simulation-based training has found its way in the medical education and instruction. Simulation has been defined as “a technique, not a technology, to replace or amplify real experiences with guided experience, often immersive in nature, that evokes or replicates substantial aspects of the real world in a fully interactive fashion” [4] Simulation-based medical teaching can therefore be defined as an educational activity which uses simulated components to replicate clinical practice [4]. Several systematic reviews [5–7] and at least two meta-analyses [8, 9] have investigated the effectiveness of simulation-based medical education in terms of knowledge and skills outcomes, and there is now a large body of good quality research evidence which demonstrates that simulation-based education is not only effective in terms of skills acquisition but also in translating the skills acquired in simulation-based training directly into practice to improve patient care and clinical outcomes. Simulation-based training has shown to improve four important items (i.e. physiology, indications, settings, and failure) in 762 participants in a high-fidelity online SBT program for NIV [10].

Currently, there are only few NIV simulator models which can be used to assess the user’s competence in establishing ventilator settings and understanding and correctly responding to the effect of the ventilator on patient pathophysiology and tolerance. Evidence suggests simulation-based education is effective in terms of clinical skills acquisition and that these skills translate into improved patient care and clinical outcomes [7–9, 11]. In a study by Spadaro et al. [12], computer-based and mannequin-based approaches for training residents on MV were compared. This prospective randomized single-blind trial involved 50 residents. All participants attended the same didactic lecture on respiratory pathophysiology and were subsequently randomized into two groups: the mannequin group (n = 25) and the computer screen–based simulator group (n = 25). One week later, each group underwent a training assessment using five different scenarios of acute respiratory failure of various etiologies. Later, both groups underwent further testing of patient management, using in situ high-fidelity simulation of the patient with acute respiratory distress syndrome. In the final assessment, the scores of only the mannequin group significantly improved between the training and final session in terms of either global rating score [3.0 (2.5–4.0) vs. 2.0 (2.0–3.0), p = 0.005] or percentage of key score (82% vs. 71%, p = 0.001) [12].

**Importance and influence of staff training on the outcome with NIV**

It is a well-known fact that a proportion of patients undergoing NIV support will fail and eventually require intubation and invasive mechanical ventilation. Hence, delivering safe and effective NIV treatment depends on careful patient selection as well as the skills of physicians, nurses, and therapists. Personnel with an ability to use airway equipment to establish invasive ventilation should be readily available — if this was required urgently to avoid preventable mortality and morbidity in patients who develop rapid deterioration despite NIV. This issue is becoming more important as NIV is increasingly used to delay invasive ventilation — often planned as the last measure of respiratory support — in patients with severe respiratory failure.

Factors at the time of initiating NIV, or soon after, have been described to be able to predict the likelihood of its success, or failure, with a reasonable degree of certainty. The level of pH after a few hours of the NIV treatment is, first and foremost, of crucial importance [13]. A decrease in arterial PCO₂ reflects the fact that the NIV therapy is effective in improving alveolar ventilation and most likely the work of breathing, and gives the rationale for continuation of NIV. Indeed, an improvement in respiratory rate (RR), heart rate, PCO₂, consciousness and pH within one hour of NIV is highly predictive of successful use of NIV to avoid eventual invasive ventilation in hypercapnic respiratory failure [14] but is not indicative of late NIV failure likelihood. Careful bedside assessment of RR, the use of the respiratory accessory muscles, chest and abdomen movements along with an arterial blood gas (ABG) can indicate whether the patient is responding to NIV or is at risk of failed NIV requiring escalation of NIV support [15]. An unsuccessful initial NIV does not necessarily mean invasive ventilation is
needed immediately, unless important strategies such as patient-ventilator dyssynchrony, air leak, patient compliance, and ventilator setting in terms of inspiratory time, rate and pressure have all been optimized. In addition, pharmacological therapy, including bronchodilators and diuretics, should also be considered to control any possible reversible elements.

A recent prospective observational study identified a number of factors associated with failed NIV treatment among a group of consecutive patients with acute hypercapnic respiratory failure (AHRF) requiring NIV in general medical wards. Although the number of patients included was small, the authors were able to identify suboptimal use of NIV due to a lack of training of the healthcare workers in all patients who failed NIV treatment \((p = 0.007)\) [16]. Some of the errors detected were due to poor mask fitting with excessive air leaks, underskilled personnel who was incapable to deal with: ventilator alarms, control oxygen therapy using high flow, or even with simple ventilator settings control and adjustment. As a result, NIV was not used during some shifts because the present staff was not familiar with how to implement NIV strategies and operate NIV devices. The authors concluded that staff training was a key factor with a significant impact on NIV outcomes.

A striking difference has emerged as to NIV hard outcomes in published literature as compared to those reported in real-life surveys. NIV-related mortality for COPD exacerbation may be as low as 10% in experienced hands but as high as 30% in clinical practice [17, 18]. These data reinforce the notion that team training (including all physicians, nurses and respiratory therapists if available) is crucial for NIV success and should be preferably focused on patient selection and close clinical surveillance. This may be frequently observed in real life but obviously will not be adequately shown in published data that NIV outcomes are frequently dependent on particular clinician skills rather than patients’ severity.

Ideally, centers attending acute respiratory distress/failure patients should have a designated area for NIV, where appropriate equipment and well-trained staff are available. There is no doubt that suitable application of NIV by a trained and experienced team, together with careful patient selection, would optimize patient safety and outcomes. The providers should be able to identify when the limit of NIV has been reached or NIV failure is inevitable, using careful bedside assessment and ABG data within an hour or two of NIV use [19].

The proper use of NIV in hospitalized and home patients, through appropriate management and monitoring by the staff, allows reducing the costs and time of hospitalization and may prevent disease exacerbations [20–22].

Another study found that the time needed by a respiratory therapist to initiate NIV varied significantly with the levels of training [23]. Inadequate knowledge and skills have been shown as the reason for underutilization of NIV [24], and medical and nursing team members often feel ill-prepared to deliver NIV safely in accordance to guidelines [25]. This may explain why NIV remains underutilized despite the technology that has dramatically improved, and most NIV ventilators are user-friendly and easily available in many areas of different hospitals, including the emergency department (ED) and ward [24, 26].

A study demonstrated that optimized ventilation using flow and pressure waveforms analysis led to a more rapid normalization of pH at two hours (51 vs. 26% of patients) [27], as well as to a significant improvement of the patient’s tolerance to ventilation at two hours, and to a higher decrease of PCO\(_2\). The authors concluded that NIV should be applied by a team with a great deal of experience in technological skills, such as the capability of analyzing the waveforms generated by the ventilator.

Overall, a certain skill mix of a multidisciplinary NIV team is needed to initiate and deliver safe and effective NIV treatment to patients with acute respiratory failure. Data from the literature indicates that, with the exception of the respiratory therapists, the level of knowledge and skills needed to deliver safe and effective NIV remains deficient among many healthcare professionals who are entrusted with managing patients with acute respiratory failure in different healthcare facilities [28–36].

The initiation of NIV has been reported to be time-consuming in the hands of inexperienced [23, 37]. The providers also need to be well versed in the constantly upgrading technologies and equipment. At present, the use of NIV is widespread, and NIV is routinely applied in ICU, intermediate care unit, respiratory wards, pulmonary rehabilitation premises, ED, palliative care unit and even in the hope for respiratory failures, neuromuscular diseases, etc. A different level of care and set-up requires a various degree of expertise and training for safe initiation and management of NIV. Therefore, multidisciplinary training in NIV is likely to bring considerable benefits to the provider as well as the patients.
Evidence related to educational programs and the efficacy of NIV

A PubMed/MEDLINE advanced search for the duration of 1990 to the current date (June 25, 2018) with index word ‘noninvasive ventilation’, ‘training’, ‘education’, ‘training/assessment’, ‘education/assessment’ revealed no relevant clinical trial except few review articles. This may be caused by the fact that broad NIV utilization may be still considered as new but fast developing medical entity in which structured education and training were not broadly available. This indicates that the field is yet to be explored and the opinions of the experts confirm this. However, when a therapeutic intervention replaces the role of a vital organ or bodily function, as is the case in patients with little or no vital capacity who require continuous ventilatory support for survival, no controlled study is ethically possible [38].

One study examining the simulation-based training of staffs in NIV has been shown to be effective in skill development and is likely to play an important role in proper utilization [39].

Training programs: international scenario

Many centers and educational institutes give training in NIV within the professional education. This is particularly true for specialized ICU nurses, respiratory therapists, and pulmonologists and intensive care residents and even for the physicians entering the ICU. This is probably the norm and standard everywhere. However, specialized courses for in-depth knowledge and detailed application of NIV in practice are very much required. A training program in NIV is well prevalent in European as well as other developed countries. The European Respiratory Society (ERS) conducts both basic and advanced training in NIV [40, 41]. The project, Harmonized Education in Respiratory Medicine for European Specialists (HERMES) is a notable initiative of ERS in the field of respiratory medicine education [42, 43]. The use of NIV in clinical practice in developing countries like India is not uncommon [43], but such organized education programs are lacking. Although the majority of the clinicians in India use NIV, marked variation in the patterns relating to actual deployment of NIV, site of initiation, protocols of initiation, and monitoring of patients have been reported [44]. Since few years in Poland, there have been NIV school courses organized by the Polish Respiratory Society which are directed to physicians and nurses. However, data suggesting organized teaching or education program from Asian and African countries are scarce.

By now, there are extensive and detailed reviews and recommendation on NIV summarizing indications and practical applications such as ventilatory modes and settings, the role of interfaces, etc. These publications from the theoretical framework are intended to guide the use of NIV by pulmonologists and clinicians. However, these manuscripts give only a few suggestions on how to train doctors, nurses or physiotherapists. The BTS guidelines [45, 46] include some recommendations on training programs. It is advised that all staff involved in NIV service should receive training appropriate to their individual knowledge. This should include a combination of knowledge-based learning supported by practical experience in the workplace.

In many countries, the training is done mostly through workshop during different zonal and national conferences and is mainly limited to the doctors. This type of instruction has a limited impact on changing practice and does not support a unified training perspective for the NIV team within each healthcare facility [47]. The Tact Academy of Clinical Training is also running a two-day program for training in Mechanical Ventilation with a target audience of doctors and nurses [information from: http://www.tact-india.com/mechanical-ventilation-workshop-mv/]. Unlike the European Respiratory Society, which runs training module for the NIV, the Indian Society of Critical Care Medicine (ISCCM) is yet to take that step even though ISCCM had published the guidelines for NIV in ARF more than a decade ago [48].

Contents of the education and training program

Training programs should build knowledge in a progressive manner to ensure understanding of various topics regarding NIV. This instruction should be compulsory to all health care professionals who care for patients requiring NIV, including not only physicians but also nurses, respiratory therapist, and physiotherapists. Training time needed for adequate education of health personnel involved in NIV is very variable in the studies, and there are currently no agreed standards. Some authors have suggested that an initial session of two hours three times a month may give an initial basis so as to start using NIV safely [1]. These sessions can be less frequent with increasing experience of the
staff. Although the contents may vary slightly depending on the target audience (physicians, nurses, respiratory therapist, physiotherapists), generally speaking, they should include at least those listed in Table 1.

Optimal management of NIV requires that all members of the team are experienced and skillful. Physicians need to select patients who are likely to respond to NIV successfully and promptly identify those who are likely to fail or those showing signs of failed NIV. They must have the knowledge to select the most appropriate, best fitted mask to optimize patient comfort, reduce air leak, prevent skin lesion and also adjust the ventilator to efficiently alleviate respiratory distress. Nurses should be competent to monitor and have the ability to avoid, detect, troubleshoot both the patient and equipment problems. Quantifying the experience and skills of staff is challenging because individuals differ considerably and personnel changes can have important effects. Overall, outcomes appear to improve when units use NIV more frequently. Periodic education or training in-service and updates would help to maintain the skills of staff and also keep them stay abreast of new developments [49].

An ideal NIV education course should constitute 30% of lectures; 20% of dedicated interaction and question time for the participants followed by 50% of hands-on training. The total duration of the course and the rate of time to be spent on theory and practice sessions should be decided after taking into account the characteristics of the participants (roles, number, background and experience), the typologies of equipment, and the complexity of NIV modalities (e.g. high flow CPAP, Helmet/Mask PSV). The use of high fidelity simulation training could be useful for acquiring NIV skills. However, published literature about this method of learning of NIV know-how is still scant [28, 33, 39, 50–52].

The hands-on training sessions should offer the learners the opportunity to play the role of “the patient”. The fitting of a mask, a helmet, and a direct experience of receiving PEEP and pressure support ventilation aids the trainees to understand the operation of NIV and its collateral effects on patients. It provides a unique opportunity for the participants to appreciate their future patients’ NIV experience and ultimately would help them to manage real NIV situations at the patients’ bedside. Like any other teaching programs, the education and training module should be audited and updated as per feedback and requirement followed by cycles of implementation, evaluation, modification, and re-implementation. Here we suggest the major components of the NIV training module and evaluation (Fig. 1).

Advanced educational NIV programs should also contain some skills in the interpretation of physiological waveforms (pressure-time/flow-time) assessing patient-ventilator synchrony. However, interpretation of such graphics is not easy, with huge discrepancies even between experts. In a recent study, 35 expert and 35 non-expert physicians examined NIV tracings, and the agreements

### Table 1. Minimum content of a training and education program for healthcare staffs using NIV

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scientific principles of respiratory failure and the respiratory system:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Physiology and pathophysiology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symptoms and signs of respiratory failure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common respiratory diseases requiring NIV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypoxic versus hypercapnic respiratory failure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Principles, function, and technology of NIV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appropriate settings and staff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Types of ventilators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selection of interfaces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ventilator circuits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goals and strategies of NIV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prescription, set-up, and monitoring in NIV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Definition and selection of the ventilator mode</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial operation of the equipment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analysis of flow and pressure curve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recognition of patient — ventilator synchronization/ desynchronization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noninvasive and invasive cardiorespiratory monitoring in different set-ups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Troubleshooting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complications/treatment failure of NIV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Problem-solving</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient-ventilator synchronization with the NIV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management of secretions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recognition of secretions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air stacking, assisted cough, cough assist devices, bronchoscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open issues and update on NIV research development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nasogastric tube insertion for NIV treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enteral feeding during NIV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optimal gas humidification during NIV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aerosol delivering during NIV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient sedation during NIV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient’s needs and comfort measures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management of oxygen therapy during NIV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management of oxygen therapy during pauses between NIV cycles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bronchoscopy during NIV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIV in pulmonary rehabilitation programs, aerobic exercise training</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
were low in both groups (0.20/0.21) [53]. Patients with ARF are very fragile and timely action taken according to monitoring variables is one of the key elements for NIV success [54]. Therefore, patient monitoring in the different treatment settings needs to be considered as a dedicated skill.

NIV settings to be addressed:

Setting the mode — e.g., spontaneous/timed mode in the presence of central apnea or profound hypoventilation, with a failure to trigger inspiration support adequately by the patient.

Pressure setting — e.g., expiratory positive airway pressure to be titrated to abolish upper airway obstruction; inspiratory positive airway pressure is used to augment tidal volume and reverse nocturnal hypoventilation, evidenced by a reduction in respiratory effort and a rise in arterial or transcutaneous carbon dioxide.

Inspiratory duration (applicable only in spontaneous/timed mode) — increasing inspiratory time may rise tidal volume and mean airway pressure, improving gaseous exchange but at a cost of increasing air leak and poor patient tolerance. Inspiratory time should also be disease-specific, e.g. short inspiratory time in COPD. RR (applicable only in spontaneous/timed mode) — this is the minimum guaranteed rate and tend to increase minute ventilation, improve CO₂ elimination, and unload respiratory muscle.

Cycling criteria in the presence of a leak

Method of attachment and method of removing the mask/interface — including quick chinstrap release, pressure areas due to the NIV interface.

Complications

Oxygen supplementation: Supplemental oxygen to be added if SaO₂ persists < 90% during sleep, once settings are optimized [55]. The leak port circuit and leaking interface has shown to significantly reduce the supplemental oxygen concentration [56]. The oxygen enrichment should target SaO₂ of 88–92% in AHRF in adults for a better outcome [57]. The interaction of flow, leakage, and interface with supplemental oxygen therapy and their management need to be addressed very well, and training and education is likely to improve the performance of the care providers.

Standards for education in NIV use — consensus statements

Numerous accrediting organizations are calling for competency-based medical education that would help define specific specialties and serve as a foundation for ongoing assessment throughout a practitioner’s career. As there is relatively dearth of original research on this aspect, the 64 members of the present committee from twenty-one different countries across the world decided to have an international standard of education and training in NIV and agreed upon the following consensus:

The format and content of training and education of medical, nursing, physiotherapy personnel are essential in improving outcomes, reducing failures and avoid complications associated with the use of NIV.

Tertiary care centers and teaching institutes/universities caring for patients with acute respiratory failure should have a dedicated NIV training set-up, team and timing throughout the year for training and re-training. Using simulation-based teaching can improve training and education.

Secondary care hospitals, where NIV is used, should also adopt the training module as per their strength and ability and attend higher centers for updates in instruction and education from time to time.

Significant time is needed for adequate training and education of health personnel involved in the use of NIV; the time requirement is highly variable but should include repetitive sessions depending on the degree of expertise, and later on annual refresher courses/updates.

NIV providers should be trained to use all NIV equipment (e.g. interfaces and ventilators) that are available at their work location competently and should be further instructed after the introduction of newer technologies.
Conclusion

Currently, there is little high-grade experimental evidence to support how best to train and educate NIV providers. Education and training in NIV have the potential to increase knowledge and skills of the staff, and thereby improve short- and long-term outcomes. The development of structured, organized education and training programs catering for all members of the NIV team appears to be the need of the hour.
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