Infliximab-induced erythema multiforme in a patient with chronic sarcoidosis

Dear Editor

Tumor Necrosis Factor-α (TNF-α) inhibitors, in particular infliximab, have shown effectiveness as a third-line treatment option in relapsing, refractory sarcoidosis that requires an increased dose of corticosteroids plus one or more anti-sarcoidosis disease modifying drugs [1]. Infliximab has demonstrated effectiveness in severe pulmonary sarcoidosis and in extra-pulmonary sarcoidosis with multi-organ involvement. Bone involvement is reported to occur in 5-13% of patients at some point during their chronic disease course. Recently, in a study of 64 sarcoidosis patients, Zhou et al. found that bone disease occurs mainly in white females with chronic multi-organ disease. This bone involvement most commonly affects the spine (68.8%), pelvis (35.9%), and hands (15.6%). These patients are chronically treated with corticosteroids and almost a quarter of them (23.5%) received infliximab, which appears to be beneficial in chronic bone sarcoidosis [2].

In this article, we report on a case of a 68-year-old Caucasian female with chronic active sarcoidosis which had pulmonary, cutaneous, and joint involvement. The patient also had an unbalanced calcium metabolism. Physical examination of the hands exhibited severe dactylitis (purple-violet discoloration, swelling, distortion, pain, numbness, and nail dystrophy). Due to the relapsing nature of her disease, significant arthralgia after the tapering of pharmacological therapy (prednisone below 15mg in combination with leflunomide 20mg/d), and significant side-effects (osteoporosis), she was started on infliximab 5mg/kg. She received 6 doses of infliximab during a 6 month period (at 0, 2, 6, 12, 18, and 24 weeks, respectively). At the end of the 6 months of treatment, the patient showed significant clinical improvement with complete resolution of her arthritis. Angiotensin-converting enzyme levels fell from 110 U/l to 58 U/l. However, shortly before the last infliximab infusion, she developed an erythematous, patchy, and painful cutaneous lesion located unilaterally and affecting the dorsal, lateral, and phalangeal skin surface of the right lower foot (Figure 1A). The lesion was biopsied and a histopathological examination revealed erythema multiforme (EM) (Figure 1B). Since the patient had not received any other medications which had been known to cause EM, and all cultures and tests for viruses, fungi, and microbes were negative, this was considered to be an infliximab-related infusion reaction resulting in erythema multiforme. After the 6th infusion, infliximab was withdrawn (as initially planned) and EM gradually subsided without any other intervention.

In two recently published studies focusing on refractory sarcoidosis patients with cutaneous symptoms, French investigators treating these patients with anti-TNF-α agents reported discontinuation rates due to adverse effects of 24% and 23%, respectively [3, 4]. Notable side effects that necessitated withdrawing treatment with anti-TNF-α agents included infections and serious immunological reactions. On the other hand, cutaneous reactions stemming from the use of these agents are described to occur commonly (up to

25%) in patients treated for rheumatologic conditions and inflammatory bowel diseases. Such reactions include psoriasis, xerosis, eczema, skin infections, skin cancer, palmoplantar pustulosis, alopecia areata, bullous pemphigoid, drug-induced lupus, and urticaria [5, 6]. However, EM due to infliximab is rare. Although a 2009 Food and Drug Administration (FDA) report included infliximab cutaneous adverse events, only 21 such cases have been reported and all were related to rheumatologic conditions [7, 8]. This case is the first to our knowledge to describe EM after therapy with infliximab in a patient with sarcoidosis.

Cutaneous involvement in sarcoidosis is frequent, affecting up to 25% of patients at some point in their disease course. In our patient, the differential diagnosis included specific or non-specific cutaneous sarcoidosis involvement, skin infections, drug-related reactions, and paradoxical sarcoid-like reactions (reported mainly with use of etanercept in rheumatoid arthritis and inflammatory bowel diseases) [9]. The updated ACCESS organ assessment tool classified our patient’s skin lesions as possibly being due to sarcoidosis because of their atypical nature, therefore, histologic confirmation was mandatory [10]. Since no granulomas were discovered and a temporal association with infliximab administration existed, we considered this EM to be infliximab-related.

Infliximab-induced EM may warrant drug interruption or discontinuation. Therefore, it is important to consider infliximab-induced EM in the differential diagnosis. This would have significant implications for the patient because it could lead to avoiding unnecessary treatment escalation for presumed treatment failure and allowing for appropriate local or systemic EM therapy as well as, if necessary, changing the treatment. In our case, tapering infliximab resulted in EM resolution. Corticosteroids (prednisone) were successfully tapered to a dose of 7.5 mg. The patient also received leflunomide. The patient has remained stable for 18 months without the need to introduce another mode of pharmacological treatment.
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Figure 1. A. Patchy erythematous lesions of the right lower foot at the resolution phase after infliximab discontinuation. B. Erythema multiforme: Intraepidermal edema with liquefactive necrosis (double thick arrow), lymphocytic exocytosis (simple arrows), spongiosis (simple thick arrow), dyskeratotic cells, and dermal inflammatory infiltrate including lymphocytes and histiocytes (double thin arrow) (Hematoxylin-Eosin stain X100)