Are e-cigarettes good or bad?
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The first generation of e-cigarettes appeared on the EU market in 2006 and soon after it was introduced in the United States. It seems to be very difficult to compare health effects of all of the three generations. Differences are related to the satisfaction of using the variety of flavouring agents and more acceptable devices.

E-cigarettes are manufactured mainly in China. There are high or even extremely high differences in product engineering, nicotine concentrations, and quality of other compounds used as carriers necessary to produce vaporizing aerosols.

A frequently described dangerous issue is the possibility of modifying devices for using them to deliver marijuana or other drugs. Till now almost 2500 papers have presented variable data about individual health effects and the impact of e-smoking on public health.

It is commonly accepted that e-cigarettes are less harmful than tobacco smoking especially to the lungs and cardiovascular system. However, especially for carcinogenic agents, even small risks of adverse effects should be regarded as unacceptable. One should remember that for such agents there are no low limits of doses or concentrations in inhaled air. In fact concentrations of formaldehyde, an undoubtful carcinogenic agent, classified by IARC (International Agency for Research on Cancer) as the 1 group, in e-cigarettes vary between many products, in some of them exceeding concentration in tobacco cigarettes. Acrolein is also present in e-cigarettes in concentrations responsible for irritant and inflammatory effects of airways. Exposure to propylene glycol and glycerin as ingredients of the liquid causes not only eye and airway irritation, but also affects the central nervous system, behaviour and the spleen.

For pneumologists and from toxicological point of view, it seems to be very important that the size of the particles distributed in aerosol from e-cigarettes is in the respirable range that leads to alveolar deposition. The liquid produces lung inflammation, pulmonary oxidative stress, diminishes levels of glutathione, thus changing the oxidation/antioxidation balance.

An unexpected result of the use of e-cigarettes is explosion and fire that was recently described [1].

A number of e-smokers has rapidly increased over recent years. In 2010, a total of 1.8% of adult Americans used e-cigarettes but the rate rosed to 13% by 2013 [2]. Poland is a country of one of the highest number of e-cigarettes young users since 19% of people below 20, who never smoked tobacco and 57% of current smokers use e-cigarettes [3]. Although tobacco smokers are most likely to be current users of e-cigarettes, one third of current e-cigarettes users have never been tobacco smokers. A survey of American college students revealed that e-cigarette use is not motivated by the desire to stop tobacco smoking. Almost 300,000 school students reported having used e-cigarettes, they were mostly convinced no dangers of e-cigarette were proved.
Many doctors and the expert consensus recommend the use of e-cigarettes as a smoking cessation aid. However, surveys and observational studies have yielded conflicting results. Five studies found no association between e-smoking and quitting conventional cigarettes, and only one found documented reduction of the number of cigarettes smoked per day. An interesting Italian study on a comparison of the effectiveness of nicotine content of e-cigarettes and cigarettes that did not deliver nicotine showed no differences in reduction of the number of conventional cigarettes smoked per day during 1 year of the observation [4]. One study reported that switching from conventional to e-cigarettes in asthmatic patients may improve symptoms and lung function [5].

In this issue of „Advances in Respiratory Medicine” we can find interesting discussion pro/contra in the context of necessary statement of Polish experts [6,7]. One paper showed many influences of e-cigarettes on cells, lung function, lung development and diseases progress that should be considered. Moreover, some studies indicate that the use of e-cigarettes significantly increases the risk of a following tobacco smoking among young people. It might be explained by the fact of rapid absorption of nicotine from the lung in contrast to the agents absorbed from the gastrointestinal tract when gums are used for quitting tobacco smoking habit. In another presented study [8], one can find an observation that the intensity of e-smoking is larger than tobacco smoking among students of medicine in one of the largest medical school in Poland. It suggests a potential increase of tobacco smoking in the next years. In contrast, the author presented results a study on motivation, where an attempt to quit tobacco smoking was the most frequently reported factor. However, no convincing data on effectiveness of switching from tobacco to e-cigarettes exist. Many authors indicate a liability to nicotine-rich e-cigarettes and a future switch to conventional smoking habit.

NIH workshop in 2015 presented almost 50 research gaps that should be explained before the recommendation might be accepted [9]. It seems however, that doctors can use this method in the practice only as an aid of smoking cessation in some individuals. Special caution of switching should be recommended in pregnant women. Although some countries accepted e-cigarettes in pregnant smokers, others did not. There is also a need for establishing standard contents of nicotine and other potential harmful agents and a permanent monitoring of a quality of e-cigarettes on different markets.

We can conclude that it is too early to recommend the common use of e-cigarettes in a struggle against nicotine addiction, especially in young people. Health effects, in particular cancerogenic ones, have yet to be explored. Health systems should start controlling markets with respect to the quality of delivery systems and contents of nicotine and vaporized additives in available e-cigarettes. Many aspects still wait for explanation.
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