

Calreticulin, a multi-faceted protein: thrombotic and bleeding risks in CALR mutation positive essential thrombocythemia

Krzysztof Lewandowski 

Department of Hematology and Bone Marrow Transplantation, Poznan University of Medical Sciences, Poznań, Poland

Abstract

Essential thrombocythemia (ET) is a clonal disorder of a multipotent hematopoietic progenitor cell. In most patients, a driving mutation of Janus kinase 2 gene, calreticulin gene or myeloproliferative leukemia virus oncogene is detected. The occurrence of thrombotic and/or bleeding complications is very typical in manifestations of ET, with many cases of both occurring in the same patient. The thrombotic or bleeding phenotype can be a consequence of the coexistence of driving and non-driving molecular mutations and polymorphisms, affecting the platelet number and function. This paper discusses the nature of this disease, paying special attention to calreticulin gene function.

Key words: CALR, JAK2, MPL, acetylsalicylic acid, thrombosis, bleeding, ERp57, calnexin pathway, store-operated calcium entry, platelet function

Acta Haematologica Polonica 2021; 52, 4: 284–290

Introduction

Essential thrombocythemia (ET) is a clonal disorder of a multipotent hematopoietic progenitor cell. In 75–89% of ET cases, the driving mutations of Janus kinase 2 gene (*JAK2*), calreticulin gene (*CALR*) or myeloproliferative leukemia virus oncogene (*MPL*) are detected with frequencies of 61–65%, 13–22%, and 1–2%, respectively [1–6]. All of the mutations (*JAK2*, *CALR*, *MPL*) identified to date share the common characteristics of constitutive activation of tyrosine kinase-dependent signaling pathways and cytokine independent cellular proliferation [7, 8].

The clinical disease manifestation differs depending on the driving mutations and co-operating mutations in the myeloid genes status. ET patients are at risk of polycythemic transformation (*JAK2V617F* positive cases) and myelofibrotic transformation (*CALR* mutation positive cases, and patients with co-operating mutations in

the myeloid genes). Leukemic transformation is rare, but possible due to the ‘transforming’ mutations acquisition (*TP53*, *RUNX1*) or overexpression of *MDM2/MDM4* by hematopoietic progenitor cell(s) [9–11]. The leukemic transformation risk is also higher in ET patients with extreme thrombocytosis [12].

The main factors influencing the overall survival of ET patients are a previous thrombosis episode, leukocytosis, and the presence of co-operating mutations in the myeloid genes [13].

The risk of thrombosis is especially high in ET patients with *JAK2* mutation, a history of previous thrombosis, and advanced age (≥ 60 years). It has been also postulated that *JAK2* variant allele frequency (VAF) can influence venous thromboembolism [14]. A detailed analysis of thrombotic risk, depending on the type of driver mutation status, showed 5-year thrombosis-free survival rates of 93%, 91% and 88% for patients carrying the *JAK2V617F*, *MPL*

Address for correspondence: Krzysztof Lewandowski, Department of Hematology and Bone Marrow Transplantation, Poznan University of Medical Sciences, Szamarzewskiego 84, 60–569 Poznań, Poland, phone +48 61 854 93 83, fax +48 61 854 93 56, e-mail: krzysztof.lewandowski@skpp.edu.pl



Copyright © 2021

The Polish Society of Haematologists and Transfusiologists,
Institute of Haematology and Transfusion Medicine.



All rights reserved.

Received: 25.04.2021

Accepted: 12.05.2021

This article is available in open access under Creative Common Attribution-Non-Commercial-No Derivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) license, allowing to download articles and share them with others as long as they credit the authors and the publisher, but without permission to change them in any way or use them commercially.

and *CALR* mutation, respectively [15]. Recently published data documents a lower risk of venous thrombosis in ET patients carrying the *CALR*-type 2 mutation [16]. Interestingly, also the lower incidence of arterial thrombosis is consistent mostly with *CALR* mutations and/or extreme thrombocytosis [17].

In 3–18% of ET patients, bleeding occurs as an initial presenting symptom [18, 19]. The annual frequency of bleeding and major bleeding complications amounts to 4.6 and 0.79 per patient per year, respectively [20]. A report by Stuckey et al. [21] showed that the major bleeding complications frequency after an average follow-up period of 87.7 months was 6.6% (91 hemorrhagic events in 1,380 patients observed). Of 249 patients from the very-low risk group, 12 with an unknown driver mutation status experienced severe bleeding (4.8%). Interestingly, nine of them (75%) were treated with an anti-aggregatory drug.

The results of large clinical trials have revealed that major bleeding during follow-up occurs in 6% of WHO-ET defined patients, at the rate of 0.79% of patients per year. A detailed analysis of the WHO-ET patients confirmed previous hemorrhage and acetylsalicylic acid (ASA) as independent risk factors for bleeding complications. It should be mentioned that the study did not include the mutational status of the ET patients studied (*JAK2* vs. *CALR* vs. *MPL* positive) [19]. In a post hoc survey of 311 patients diagnosed with ET (mostly included in the prospective UK-PT1 trial) and receiving ASA with either hydroxyurea or anagrelide, an increased grade of bone marrow reticulin fibrosis predicted higher rates of major hemorrhage during the follow-up [22, 23].

Thrombosis and bleeding scoring systems for risk stratification in ET patients

Thrombosis risk in ET patients can be calculated with the help of the IPSET, IPSET-thrombosis and revised IPSET thrombosis scores based on age, previous history of thrombosis, *JAK2* mutation positivity, and the presence of cardiovascular risk factors [24, 25]. Recently, a mutation-enhanced international prognostic system has been proposed (Table I).

There is as yet no final agreement regarding the bleeding risk factors in ET patients. The bleeding risk is higher in ET patients with a history of previous major bleeding and high platelet count ($\geq 1,500 \times 10^9/L$) [13]. The bleeding risk in individual cases however may be also influenced by acquired coagulation abnormalities. This is observed in ET patients with extreme thrombocytosis and the symptoms of acquired von Willebrand syndrome (AvWS) due to consumption coagulopathy. For this reason, the administration of ASA is not recommended, if the ristocetin cofactor activity is $<30\%$ [26, 27]. The bleeding risk assessment

in ET cases should be made with caution, because AvWS symptoms can also present in patients with near-normal platelet counts [28, 29] (Table II).

In a prospective study of the myeloproliferative neoplasms (MPN) registry of the Study Alliance Leukemia, bleeding events were rarely diagnosed before the MPN diagnosis, and their frequency was constant over a period of 160 months. However, the study was limited by the fact that the analysis was performed in a group of both ET and polycythemia vera (PV) patients, independently from the driver mutation status [30]. Another unresolved problem is the issue of hemorrhagic complications severity assessment in patients with ET due to the use of different bleeding intensity scales, e.g. International Society on Thrombosis and Haemostasis (ISTH), ISTH-like, World Health Organization and Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events. This may be the reason for the underestimation of the low and moderate bleeding frequency in ET patients. The data concerning the severe complication frequency is more accurate, and confirms that 13.7% of deaths in ET and PV patients was caused by bleeding, especially by fatal cerebral hemorrhage [20]. The pathogenesis of bleeding complications in ET patients is likely multifactorial, including alterations of primary hemostasis (mainly related to vascular endothelial cells dysfunction), AvWS, as well as quantitative and qualitative platelets abnormalities. It has been also postulated that anti-platelets drug and/or anticoagulants administration may influence the bleeding risk in individual patients.

It has been shown that bleeding episodes are more frequently observed in MPN patients who have been treated with anti-platelet or anticoagulant drugs (61.3% at time of diagnosis vs. 72.4% at time of bleeding) [20]. The risk of bleeding with prominent thrombocytosis is even more evident than an increased risk for thrombosis [31], and major bleeding risk is higher in patients with platelet count $>1,000.0 \times 10^9/L$ receiving anti-platelet therapy [32]. Recent data shows that prophylactic administration of ASA exacerbates the risk of bleeding, particularly in *CALR*-mutated ET patients, independently from the platelet count [20]. Interestingly, in *JAK2V617F*-mutated ET patients, low-dose ASA administration is associated with no effect on the risk of bleeding [32].

Clinical significance of extreme thrombocytosis in ET patients

At the time of diagnosis, extreme thrombocytosis (ExT, defined as a platelet count $\geq 1,000.0 \times 10^9/L$) is present in 22% of ET patients [33, 34]. In the Mayo Clinic MPN database, 18% of adult patients (192/1,070) with ET were aged below 40 and 50% of them presented ExT at the time of diagnosis. Driver mutational status analysis revealed that young patients with ExT harbored the *CALR* gene mutation

Table I. Thrombotic risk factors and thrombosis risk categories in essential thrombocythemia patients

Scale/risk	IPSET-thrombosis	Revised IPSET-thrombosis	Mutation-Enhanced International Prognostic System (MIPSS-ET)
Factors	Age >60 years =1 point Cardiovascular risk factors (tobacco use, diabetes, hypercholesterolemia, hypertension) =1 point Previous thrombosis =2 points <i>JAK2V617F</i> =2 points	Thrombosis Age <i>JAK/MPL</i> mutation	Adverse mutations <i>SRSF2, SF3B1, U2AF1, TP53</i> =2 points Age >60 years =4 points Male sex =1 point Leukocyte count $\geq 11.0 \times 10^9/L$ =1 point
Category	Low: 0–1 point Intermediate: 2 points High: ≥ 3 points	Very low No thrombosis history Age ≤ 60 years No <i>JAK2</i> or <i>MPL</i> gene mutation Low No thrombosis history Age ≤ 60 years <i>JAK2</i> or <i>MPL</i> mutation Intermediate No thrombosis history Age >60 years No <i>JAK2</i> or <i>MPL</i> mutation High Thrombosis history Age >60 years <i>JAK2</i> or <i>MPL</i> mutation	Low: 0–1 point Intermediate: 2–3 points High: ≥ 4 points

Table II. Postulated bleeding risk factors in essential thrombocythemia patients

Author	Bleeding risk factor
Rumi et al. [13]	1. History of previous major bleeding 2. Platelet count $\geq 1,500.0 \times 10^9/L$
Tefferi et al. [26, 27]	3. Ristocetin cofactor activity <30%
	4. <i>CALR</i> mutation* [#] 5. Clonal hematopoiesis indeterminate potential (CHIP) associated mutations – i.e. <i>IDH2</i> * 6. Germline polymorphisms predisposing for bleeding

*Postulated, [#]documented in the case of antiplatelet drug administration

more frequently than the *JAK2* mutation (46% vs. 35%). The frequency of arterial thrombosis and major hemorrhage rates at, or prior to, diagnosis also differs between young ET patients with and without ExT (2% vs. 8% and 15% vs. 7%, respectively). Previous data implied that ExT was an independent risk factor for leukemic transformation of ET [12]. This was confirmed by recently published results showing that ExT is an independent predictor of leukemia-free survival and overall survival in ET patients aged below 40 [35].

Role of driver mutations in pathogenesis of bleeding in patients with essential thrombocythemia

It cannot be excluded that driver mutation-specific abnormalities of platelet function play an important role in the pathogenesis of bleeding complications in ET patients. The data published so far in this field is limited. It has been documented that abnormal function of Janus kinase 2, the signal transducer and activator of transcription pathway

(JAK2-STAT pathway), may be responsible for abnormal platelets function in platelet aggregation studies. The phosphorylation of JAK2 in thrombin stimulated human platelets was previously reported by Rodriguez-Linares et al. [36]. Also, the regulatory role of STAT3 in collagen-induced platelet aggregation was confirmed by Zhou et al. in 2013 [37]. The involvement of JAK2-STAT3 pathway in the process of collagen-induced platelet activation through the activation of JAK2-JNK/PKC-STAT3 signaling was documented by Lu et al. [38]. The critical role of JAK2 in this process was supported by the observation that JAK2 inhibitor AG490 (tyrphostin) attenuated collagen-induced platelet aggregation and calcium mobilization in a concentration-dependent manner [38].

Potential role of calreticulin in bleeding predisposition

CALR is made up of three protein domains: 1) an amino N-terminal lectin binding domain containing an endoplasmic reticulum (ER) targeting signal sequence; 2) a proline-rich P-domain containing high-affinity binding sites for Ca^{2+} ; and 3) a C-domain containing multiple low-affinity Ca^{2+} -binding sites and an ER retention signal (KDEL). Within the endoplasmic reticulum, CALR participates in the control process of newly synthesized proteins (conformational dependent molecular sorting). Misfolded or unfolded proteins are retained in the ER, thereafter transported to the cytosol, and finally ubiquitinated and degraded by the proteasome [39]. Due to the physiological role of CALR and the key role of calcium ions homeostasis and calcium ions flow in platelets, it is possible that abnormal cellular localization of CALR and abnormal CALR-associated cellular storage of calcium ions (including megakaryocytes and platelets) may be responsible for abnormal platelet function and an increased risk of bleeding. In 2009, Reilly et al. [40] demonstrated that calreticulin in platelets was localized to the granulomere. Co-immunoprecipitation techniques, however, did not show an interaction between calreticulin and platelet glykoprotein $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ under various platelet activation states.

In 2013, Klampfl et al. [41] and Nangalia et al. [42] described new genetic variants of CALR in patients with ET and primary myelofibrosis. More than 50 different types of CALR exon 9 mutants have been found in ET patients. All of these mutants lead to a 1-bp frameshift and loss of the KDEL sequence (endoplasmic reticulum retention peptide) and the original CALR stop codon [43]. The most frequent variants, type 1 (c.1092_1143del) and type 2 (c.1154_1155insTTGTC), account for c. 80% of all CALR mutations. Type 1 mutations are more frequent, accounting for c.50% of CALR-mutated cases of ET. Recently, it was shown that CALR mutations promoted the formation of abnormal protein chaperone complexes, which resulted

in its mislocalization to the nucleus to enhanced *MPL* transcription due to increased recruitment of Friend leukemia integration 1 transcription factor (FLI1), ERp57, and CALR to the *MPL* promoter [44–47].

The abovementioned abnormalities may have resulted in an increase in platelets production. However, the role of mutant CALR protein on platelet function is still an open question. Recently published data has shed light on this field, stressing the role of abnormal interaction between proteins in the calnexin pathway. The calnexin pathway includes, among others, thiol isomerase ERp57 (ER protein 57, ERp57), calnexin and its soluble homolog, calreticulin, and is dedicated for N-glycosylated proteins folding in ER [48]. Under physiological conditions, ERp57 is mobilized to the surface of activated platelets, regulating their function (platelet aggregation, dense granule secretion, fibrinogen binding, calcium mobilization and thrombus formation) [49, 50]. Moreover, ERp57 modulates store-operated calcium (Ca^{2+}) entry (SOCE) activity, a key regulator of megakaryopoiesis. The abovementioned process is mediated by the C-terminal domain of CALR protein which is deleted in the case of CALR mutants [51, 52]. The regulatory role of the C-domain of CALR on SOCE was confirmed by experimental results documenting significantly increased SOCE in megakaryocytes positive for the CALR mutation [47], and interactome data confirming that CALRwt binds directly to ERp57, but CALRmut does not [44, 53].

The hypothesis that CALR mutants can affect not only the platelet number, but also their function, was confirmed by Hauschner et al. [54], who showed that after ADP stimulation aggregation of CALR mutated platelets was less pronounced than in the case of normal or JAK2 mutated platelets. Moreover, CALR mutated platelets attachment to immobilized fibrinogen and the number of CALR mutated platelets achieving the fully spread state is lower than in the case of normal and JAK2 mutated platelets. This is accomplished by an increased and more dispersed localization of intracellular free Ca^{2+} in the case of CALR mutation positive platelets. The abovementioned data may, at least in part, explain the increased bleeding frequency observed in CALR mutation positive MPN patients who have been treated with anti-aggregatory drugs.

Other potential molecular aberrations affecting thrombotic and bleeding risks

The occurrence of thrombotic and/or bleeding complications is very typical in manifestations of ET, with cases of both occurring in the same patient. The thrombotic or bleeding phenotype may be a consequence of the coexistence of driving and non-driving molecular mutations and polymorphisms, affecting the platelet number and function. Lindstrom et al. [55], with the help of a genome-wide association study (GWAS) and a transcriptome-wide association study (TWAS),

identified 16 novel susceptibility *loci* for venous thromboembolism. Some of them (*GP6*, *ZFPM2*) have been associated with megakaryopoiesis and platelet biology [55].

In 2020, Veninga et al. [56] documented a predisposition for thrombosis and bleeding in patients with clonal hematopoiesis of indeterminate potential (CHIP). According to this concept, in ET patients carrying the CHIP-associated gene mutations, the risk of thrombosis may be affected by elevated platelet counts (i.e. *ABCB6*, *ASXL1*, *DNMT3A*, *GATA1*, *SF3B1*, *SH2B3*) or elevated platelet counts and hyper-reactive platelet phenotype (*ABCB6* and *SH2B3*). On the contrary, the coexistence of CHIP-associated *IDH2* mutations may result in an increase in the platelet count and bleeding phenotype.

Conclusion

Thrombotic and bleeding risk assessment is an essential part of the treatment strategy in ET patients. However, laboratory and clinical data should be interpreted with caution, especially in *CALR* mutation positive individuals who can experience bleeding episodes during anti-platelet therapy. Also, molecular study results should be carefully analyzed, since data from the COSMIC database has revealed 155 different *CALR* variants, including the newly created class E (about 10% of *CALR* variants) which seems not to be associated with ET [57].

Author's contributions

KL — sole author.

Conflict of interest

None.

Financial support

None.

Ethics

The work described in this article has been carried out in accordance with The Code of Ethics of the World Medical Association (Declaration of Helsinki) for experiments involving humans; EU Directive 2010/63/EU for animal experiments; Uniform requirements for manuscripts submitted to biomedical journals.

References

1. Wojtaszewska M, Iwola M, Lewandowski K. Frequency and molecular characteristics of calreticulin gene (*CALR*) mutations in patients with JAK2-negative myeloproliferative neoplasms. *Acta Haematol.* 2015; 133(2): 193–198, doi: [10.1159/000366263](https://doi.org/10.1159/000366263), indexed in Pubmed: 25323779.
2. Elala YC, Lasho TL, Gangat N, et al. Calreticulin variant stratified driver mutational status and prognosis in essential thrombocythemia. *Am J Hematol.* 2016; 91(5): 503–506, doi: [10.1002/ajh.24338](https://doi.org/10.1002/ajh.24338), indexed in Pubmed: 26890983.
3. Barbui T, Thiele J, Gisslinger H, et al. The 2016 revision of WHO classification of myeloproliferative neoplasms: Clinical and molecular advances. *Blood Rev.* 2016; 30(6): 453–459, doi: [10.1016/j.blre.2016.06.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.blre.2016.06.001), indexed in Pubmed: 27341755.
4. Jones AV, Kreil S, Zoi K, et al. Widespread occurrence of the JAK2 V617F mutation in chronic myeloproliferative disorders. *Blood.* 2005; 106(6): 2162–2168, doi: [10.1182/blood-2005-03-1320](https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2005-03-1320), indexed in Pubmed: 15920007.
5. Rampal R, Levine RL. A primer on genomic and epigenomic alterations in the myeloproliferative neoplasms. *Best Pract Res Clin Haematol.* 2014; 27(2): 83–93, doi: [10.1016/j.beha.2014.07.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.beha.2014.07.001), indexed in Pubmed: 25189720.
6. Guglielmelli P, Pietra D, Pane F, et al. Recommendations for molecular testing in classical Ph1-neg myeloproliferative disorder — a consensus project of the Italian Society of Hematology. *Leuk Res.* 2017; 58: 63–72, doi: [10.1016/j.leukres.2017.04.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leukres.2017.04.006), indexed in Pubmed: 28460339.
7. Staerk J, Kallin A, Demoulin JB, et al. JAK1 and Tyk2 activation by the homologous polycythemia vera JAK2 V617F mutation: cross-talk with IGF1 receptor. *J Biol Chem.* 2005; 280(51): 41893–41899, doi: [10.1074/jbc.C500358200](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.C500358200), indexed in Pubmed: 16239216.
8. Nussenzveig RH, Pham HaT, Perkins SL, et al. Increased frequency of co-existing JAK2 exon-12 or MPL exon-10 mutations in patients with low JAK2(V617F) allelic burden. *Leuk Lymphoma.* 2016; 57(6): 1429–1435, doi: [10.3109/10428194.2015.1091932](https://doi.org/10.3109/10428194.2015.1091932), indexed in Pubmed: 26419289.
9. Harutyunyan A, Klampfl T, Cazzola M, et al. p53 lesions in leukemic transformation. *N Engl J Med.* 2011; 364(5): 488–490, doi: [10.1056/NEJMc1012718](https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMc1012718), indexed in Pubmed: 21288114.
10. Vainchenker W, Delhommeau F, Constantinescu SN, et al. New mutations and pathogenesis of myeloproliferative neoplasms. *Blood.* 2011; 118(7): 1723–1735, doi: [10.1182/blood-2011-02-292102](https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2011-02-292102), indexed in Pubmed: 21653328.
11. Marcellino BK, Hoffman R, Tripodi J, et al. Advanced forms of MPNs are accompanied by chromosomal abnormalities that lead to dysregulation of TP53. *Blood Adv.* 2018; 2(24): 3581–3589, doi: [10.1182/bloodadvances.2018024018](https://doi.org/10.1182/bloodadvances.2018024018), indexed in Pubmed: 30563882.
12. Gangat N, Wolanskyj AP, McClure RF, et al. Risk stratification for survival and leukemic transformation in essential thrombocythemia: a single institutional study of 605 patients. *Leukemia.* 2007; 21(2): 270–276, doi: [10.1038/sj.leu.2404500](https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.leu.2404500), indexed in Pubmed: 17170720.
13. Rumi E, Cazzola M. Diagnosis, risk stratification, and response evaluation in classical myeloproliferative neoplasms. *Blood.* 2017; 129(6): 680–692, doi: [10.1182/blood-2016-10-695957](https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2016-10-695957), indexed in Pubmed: 28028026.
14. Borowczyk M, Wojtaszewska M, Lewandowski K, et al. The JAK2 V617F mutational status and allele burden may be related with the risk of venous thromboembolic events in patients with Philadelphia-negative myeloproliferative neoplasms. *Thromb Res.* 2015; 135(2): 272–280, doi: [10.1016/j.thromres.2014.11.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.thromres.2014.11.006), indexed in Pubmed: 25559461.
15. Alvarez-Larran A, Martínez D, Arenillas L, et al. Essential thrombocythemia with mutation in : clinicopathological correlation and comparison with 2V617F-mutated and mutated genotypes. *J Clin Pathol.* 2018; 71(11): 975–980, doi: [10.1136/jclinpath-2018-205227](https://doi.org/10.1136/jclinpath-2018-205227), indexed in Pubmed: 29934356.

16. Pérez Encinas MM, Sobas M, Gómez-Casares MT, et al. The risk of thrombosis in essential thrombocythemia is associated with the type of CALR mutation: A multicentre collaborative study. *Eur J Haematol.* 2021; 106(3): 371–379, doi: [10.1111/ejh.13561](https://doi.org/10.1111/ejh.13561), indexed in Pubmed: [33275803](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33275803/).
17. Tefferi A, Wassie EA, Guglielmelli P, et al. Type 1 versus Type 2 calreticulin mutations in essential thrombocythemia: a collaborative study of 1027 patients. *Am J Hematol.* 2014; 89(8): E121–E124, doi: [10.1002/ajh.23743](https://doi.org/10.1002/ajh.23743), indexed in Pubmed: [24753125](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24753125/).
18. Papadakis E, Hoffman R, Brenner B. Thrombohemorrhagic complications of myeloproliferative disorders. *Blood Rev.* 2010; 24(6): 227–232, doi: [10.1016/j.blre.2010.08.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.blre.2010.08.002), indexed in Pubmed: [20817333](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/20817333/).
19. Finazzi G, Carobbio A, Thiele J, et al. Incidence and risk factors for bleeding in 1104 patients with essential thrombocythemia or pre-fibrotic myelofibrosis diagnosed according to the 2008 WHO criteria. *Leukemia.* 2012; 26(4): 716–719, doi: [10.1038/leu.2011.258](https://doi.org/10.1038/leu.2011.258), indexed in Pubmed: [21926959](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21926959/).
20. Nicol C, Lacut K, Pan-Petes B, et al. Hemorrhage in essential thrombocythemia or polycythemia vera: epidemiology, location, risk factors, and lessons learned from the literature. *Thromb Haemost.* 2021; 121(5): 553–564, doi: [10.1055/s-0040-1720979](https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0040-1720979), indexed in Pubmed: [33186994](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33186994/).
21. Stuckey R, Ianotto J-Ch, Santoro M, et al. Analysis of thrombotic and bleeding complications in 1381 essential thrombocythemia patients assessed by R-IPSET thrombosis risk score: a multicenter retrospective study EHA 2021.
22. Harrison CN, Campbell PJ, Buck G, et al. United Kingdom Medical Research Council Primary Thrombocythemia 1 Study. Hydroxyurea compared with anagrelide in high-risk essential thrombocythemia. *N Engl J Med.* 2005; 353(1): 33–45, doi: [10.1056/NEJMoa043800](https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa043800), indexed in Pubmed: [16000354](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/16000354/).
23. Campbell PJ, Bareford D, Erber WN, et al. Reticulin accumulation in essential thrombocythemia: prognostic significance and relationship to therapy. *J Clin Oncol.* 2009; 27(18): 2991–2999, doi: [10.1200/JCO.2008.20.3174](https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2008.20.3174), indexed in Pubmed: [19364963](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19364963/).
24. Barbui T, Finazzi G, Carobbio A, et al. Development and validation of an International Prognostic Score of thrombosis in World Health Organization – essential thrombocythemia (IPSET-thrombosis). *Blood.* 2012; 120(26): 5128–5133, quiz 5252, doi: [10.1182/blood-2012-07-444067](https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2012-07-444067), indexed in Pubmed: [23033268](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23033268/).
25. Falchi L, Kantarjian HM, Verstovsek S. Assessing the thrombotic risk of patients with essential thrombocythemia in the genomic era. *Leukemia.* 2017; 31(9): 1845–1854, doi: [10.1038/leu.2017.150](https://doi.org/10.1038/leu.2017.150), indexed in Pubmed: [28529308](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/28529308/).
26. Tefferi A, Vannucchi AM, Barbui T. Essential thrombocythemia treatment algorithm 2018. *Blood Cancer J.* 2018; 8(1): 2, doi: [10.1038/s41408-017-0041-8](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41408-017-0041-8), indexed in Pubmed: [29321520](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29321520/).
27. Tefferi A. Polycythemia vera and essential thrombocythemia: 2013 update on diagnosis, risk-stratification, and management. *Am J Hematol.* 2013; 88(6): 507–516, doi: [10.1002/ajh.23417](https://doi.org/10.1002/ajh.23417), indexed in Pubmed: [23695894](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23695894/).
28. Lancellotti S, Dragani A, Ranalli P, et al. Qualitative and quantitative modifications of von Willebrand factor in patients with essential thrombocythemia and controlled platelet count. *J Thromb Haemost.* 2015; 13(7): 1226–1237, doi: [10.1111/jth.12967](https://doi.org/10.1111/jth.12967), indexed in Pubmed: [25876231](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25876231/).
29. Rottenstreich A, Kleinstern G, Krichevsky S, et al. Factors related to the development of acquired von Willebrand syndrome in patients with essential thrombocythemia and polycythemia vera. *Eur J Intern Med.* 2017; 41: 49–54, doi: [10.1016/j.ejim.2016.11.011](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejim.2016.11.011), indexed in Pubmed: [27919526](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27919526/).
30. Kaifia A, Kirschner M, Wolf D, et al. Study Alliance Leukemia (SAL). Bleeding, thrombosis, and anticoagulation in myeloproliferative neoplasms (MPN): analysis from the German SAL-MPN-registry. *J Hematol Oncol.* 2016; 9: 18, doi: [10.1186/s13045-016-0242-9](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13045-016-0242-9), indexed in Pubmed: [26944254](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26944254/).
31. Kuykendall AT, Komrokji R. What's in a number? Examining the prognostic and predictive importance of platelet count in patients with essential thrombocythemia. *J Natl Compr Canc Netw.* 2020; 18(9): 1279–1284, doi: [10.6004/jnccn.2020.7595](https://doi.org/10.6004/jnccn.2020.7595), indexed in Pubmed: [32886905](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32886905/).
32. Alvarez-Larrán A, Cervantes F, Pereira A, et al. Observation versus antiplatelet therapy as primary prophylaxis for thrombosis in low-risk essential thrombocythemia. *Blood.* 2010; 116(8): 1205–1210, quiz 1387, doi: [10.1182/blood-2010-01-263319](https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2010-01-263319), indexed in Pubmed: [20508163](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/20508163/).
33. Szuber N, Mudreddy M, Nicolosi M, et al. 3023 Mayo Clinic patients with myeloproliferative neoplasms: risk-stratified comparison of survival and outcomes data among disease subgroups. *Mayo Clin Proc.* 2019; 94(4): 599–610, doi: [10.1016/j.mayocp.2018.08.022](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mayocp.2018.08.022), indexed in Pubmed: [30824279](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30824279/).
34. Tefferi A, Szuber N, Pardanani A, et al. Extreme thrombocytosis in low-risk essential thrombocythemia: Retrospective review of vascular events and treatment strategies. *Am J Hematol.* 2021; 96(6): E182–E184, doi: [10.1002/ajh.26137](https://doi.org/10.1002/ajh.26137), indexed in Pubmed: [33617676](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33617676/).
35. Gangat N, Szuber N, Jawaid T, et al. Young platelet millionaires with essential thrombocythemia. *Am J Hematol.* 2021; 96(4): E93–E95, doi: [10.1002/ajh.26114](https://doi.org/10.1002/ajh.26114), indexed in Pubmed: [33524176](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33524176/).
36. Rodríguez-Liñares B, Watson SP. Phosphorylation of JAK2 in thrombin-stimulated human platelets. *FEBS Lett.* 1994; 352(3): 335–338, doi: [10.1016/0014-5793\(94\)00983-x](https://doi.org/10.1016/0014-5793(94)00983-x), indexed in Pubmed: [7925997](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/7925997/).
37. Zhou Z, Gushiken FC, Bolgiano D, et al. Signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 (STAT3) regulates collagen-induced platelet aggregation independently of its transcription factor activity. *Circulation.* 2013; 127(4): 476–485, doi: [10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.112.132126](https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.112.132126), indexed in Pubmed: [23266857](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23266857/).
38. Lu WJ, Lin KC, Huang SY, et al. Role of a Janus kinase 2-dependent signaling pathway in platelet activation. *Thromb Res.* 2014; 133(6): 1088–1096, doi: [10.1016/j.thromres.2014.03.042](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.thromres.2014.03.042), indexed in Pubmed: [24731555](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24731555/).
39. Merlinsky TR, Levine RL, Pronier E. Unfolding the role of calreticulin in myeloproliferative neoplasm pathogenesis. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2019; 25(10): 2956–2962, doi: [10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-18-3777](https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-18-3777), indexed in Pubmed: [30655313](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30655313/).
40. Reilly D, Larkin D, Devocelle M, et al. Calreticulin-independent regulation of the platelet integrin α IIb β 3 by the KVGFFKR α IIb-cytoplasmic motif. *Platelets.* 2004; 15(1): 43–54, doi: [10.1080/09537100310001640055](https://doi.org/10.1080/09537100310001640055), indexed in Pubmed: [14985176](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/14985176/).
41. Klampfl T, Gisslinger H, Harutyunyan AS, et al. Somatic mutations of calreticulin in myeloproliferative neoplasms. *N Engl J Med.* 2013; 369(25): 2379–2390, doi: [10.1056/NEJMoa1311347](https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1311347), indexed in Pubmed: [24325356](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24325356/).
42. Nangalia J, Massie CE, Baxter EJ, et al. Somatic CALR mutations in myeloproliferative neoplasms with nonmutated JAK2. *N Engl J Med.* 2013; 369(25): 2391–2405, doi: [10.1056/NEJMoa1312542](https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1312542), indexed in Pubmed: [24325359](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24325359/).
43. Araki M, Komatsu N. The role of calreticulin mutations in myeloproliferative neoplasms. *Int J Hematol.* 2020; 111(2): 200–205, doi: [10.1007/s12185-019-02800-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12185-019-02800-0), indexed in Pubmed: [31848992](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31848992/).

44. Pronier E, Cifani P, Merlinsky TR, et al. Targeting the CALR interactome in myeloproliferative neoplasms. *JCI Insight*. 2018; 3(22): e122703, doi: [10.1172/jci.insight.122703](https://doi.org/10.1172/jci.insight.122703), indexed in Pubmed: [30429377](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30429377/).
45. Pietra D, Rumi E, Ferretti VV, et al. Differential clinical effects of different mutation subtypes in CALR-mutant myeloproliferative neoplasms. *Leukemia*. 2016; 30(2): 431–438, doi: [10.1038/leu.2015.277](https://doi.org/10.1038/leu.2015.277), indexed in Pubmed: [26449662](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26449662/).
46. Di Buduo CA, Balduini A, Moccia F. Pathophysiological significance of store-operated calcium entry in megakaryocyte function: opening new paths for understanding the role of calcium in thrombopoiesis. *Int J Mol Sci*. 2016; 17(12): 2055, doi: [10.3390/ijms17122055](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms17122055), indexed in Pubmed: [27941645](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27941645/).
47. Di Buduo CA, Abbonante V, Marty C, et al. Defective interaction of mutant calreticulin and SOCE in megakaryocytes from patients with myeloproliferative neoplasms. *Blood*. 2020; 135(2): 133–144, doi: [10.1182/blood.2019001103](https://doi.org/10.1182/blood.2019001103), indexed in Pubmed: [31697806](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31697806/).
48. Kozlov G, Gehring K. Calnexin cycle – structural features of the ER chaperone system. *FEBS J*. 2020; 287(20): 4322–4340, doi: [10.1111/febs.15330](https://doi.org/10.1111/febs.15330), indexed in Pubmed: [32285592](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32285592/).
49. Holbrook LM, Sasikumar P, Stanley RG, et al. The platelet-surface thiol isomerase enzyme ERp57 modulates platelet function. *J Thromb Haemost*. 2012; 10(2): 278–288, doi: [10.1111/j.1538-7836.2011.04593.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1538-7836.2011.04593.x), indexed in Pubmed: [22168334](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22168334/).
50. Sharda A, Furie B. Regulatory role of thiol isomerases in thrombus formation. *Expert Rev Hematol*. 2018; 11(5): 437–448, doi: [10.1080/17474086.2018.1452612](https://doi.org/10.1080/17474086.2018.1452612), indexed in Pubmed: [29542339](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29542339/).
51. Prins D, Groenendyk J, Touret N, et al. Modulation of STIM1 and capacitative Ca²⁺ entry by the endoplasmic reticulum luminal oxidoreductase ERp57. *EMBO Rep*. 2011; 12(11): 1182–1188, doi: [10.1038/embor.2011.173](https://doi.org/10.1038/embor.2011.173), indexed in Pubmed: [21941299](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21941299/).
52. Xu W, Longo FJ, Wintermantel MR, et al. Calreticulin modulates capacitative Ca²⁺ influx by controlling the extent of inositol 1,4,5-trisphosphate-induced Ca²⁺ store depletion. *J Biol Chem*. 2000; 275(47): 36676–36682, doi: [10.1074/jbc.M002041200](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M002041200), indexed in Pubmed: [10973951](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/10973951/).
53. Elf S, Abdelfattah NS, Baral AJ, et al. Defining the requirements for the pathogenic interaction between mutant calreticulin and MPL in MPN. *Blood*. 2018; 131(7): 782–786, doi: [10.1182/blood-2017-08-800896](https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2017-08-800896), indexed in Pubmed: [29288169](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29288169/).
54. Hauschner H, Bokstad Hovev M, Misgav M, et al. Platelets from calreticulin mutated essential thrombocythemia patients are less reactive than JAK2 V617F mutated platelets. *Am J Hematol*. 2020; 95(4): 379–386, doi: [10.1002/ajh.25713](https://doi.org/10.1002/ajh.25713), indexed in Pubmed: [31868244](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31868244/).
55. Lindström S, Wang L, Smith EN, et al. Million Veteran Program, CHARGE Hemostasis Working Group. Genomic and transcriptomic association studies identify 16 novel susceptibility loci for venous thromboembolism. *Blood*. 2019; 134(19): 1645–1657, doi: [10.1182/blood.2019000435](https://doi.org/10.1182/blood.2019000435), indexed in Pubmed: [31420334](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31420334/).
56. Veninga A, De Simone I, Heemskerk JWM, et al. Clonal hematopoietic mutations linked to platelet traits and the risk of thrombosis or bleeding. *Haematologica*. 2020; 105(8): 2020–2031, doi: [10.3324/haematol.2019.235994](https://doi.org/10.3324/haematol.2019.235994), indexed in Pubmed: [32554558](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32554558/).
57. El Jahrani N, Cretin G, de Brevern AG. CALR-ETdb, the database of calreticulin variants diversity in essential thrombocythemia. *Platelets*. 2021 [Epub ahead of print], doi: [10.1080/09537104.2020.1869712](https://doi.org/10.1080/09537104.2020.1869712), indexed in Pubmed: [33444113](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33444113/).