Arteriovenous fistula for dialysis — what do we know today?
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Abstract
Since the number of patients awaiting dialysis and survival time for kidney dialysis patients are on the increase, the issue of pre-emptive vascular access creation, care and use for hemodialysis is gaining importance. This paper summarizes the principles, policies and procedures aimed to achieve the longest survival time and the best possible quality of life in patients on renal replacement therapy.
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Introduction
Civilization diseases including arterial hypertension, atherosclerosis and type 2 diabetes mellitus are the most frequent causes of chronic kidney disease (CKD). Epidemiological spread of civilization diseases is likely to increase CKD prevalence and severity. At present, the mean prevalence of CKD in highly developed countries is 10–15% (other sources quote 10–11.5%). The overall prevalence of CKD increases with age which is in itself a challenge in the context of the world’s population growth and aging. The prevalence of CKD in the Polish population over the age of 65 is 29.4% [1–3].

The number of dialysis patients increases each year. Nearly 1.9 million people worldwide underwent dialysis in the year 2010 [3]. Also, more patients require long-term renal replacement therapy. The majority of patients are qualified for dialysis when diagnosed with estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) below 15 mL/min/1.73 m² and uremic symptoms or eGFR levels of 8–10 mL/min/1.73 m² and no uremia. Diabetic patients start dialysis therapy when the eGFR falls to less than 20 mL/min/1.73 m² [4, 5].

A mainstay to perform an efficient hemodialysis is an appropriate and well-functioning vascular access that allows collection of large blood volumes. Such access must withstand regularly repeated large-volume blood collections while the risk for infectious, thrombotic and hemorrhagic complications as well as morbidity and mortality are limited to a minimum. An autologous arteriovenous fistula (AVF) seems to satisfy these requirements. Despite rapid development of extracorporeal dialysis technology, AVF remains the gold standard for maintaining access to the circulatory system [4]. Considering limited kidney graft survival, creation of AV fistulas is also considered in renal transplanted patients and those awaiting kidney transplantation [6]. If a native fistula cannot be created, another option is an artificial arteriovenous graft (AVG).
Timing of AVF creation and preoperative patient assessment

Stages 3 and 4 CKD are the time to educate the patient. It is recommended that patients should avoid too frequent cannulation of the main superficial veins of the forearm associated with intravenous infusions, drug administration or blood sampling [5, 7]. The patient should be advised to take up dynamic physical exercises to strengthen vascular walls, increase blood flow (BF) and reduce edema [5].

Pre-emptive AVF creation significantly reduces the mortality and morbidity within the population of patients on renal replacement therapy. The conditions to create a well-functioning fistula are optimal timing of vascular access surgery, selection of the best blood vessels and appropriate use and care of the AVF [3, 8]. According to European recommendations, AVF should be created at stage 4 CKD, i.e. eGFR level below 30 mL/min/1.73 m² while patients with diabetes mellitus, severe peripheral vascular disease or rapid CKD progression should have their AVF created even before that stage [9]. AVF creation should be preceded by meticulous history taking and through physical examination. The patient’s medical history should include information regarding past injuries of the upper extremities and the shoulder girdle; the patient should be asked about surgical interventions (mastectomy, pacemaker implantation), phlebitis, phlebothrombosis, large vessel cannulation (nearly 40% of patients develop major central venous stenosis after subclavian vein cannulation) [7, 10, 11]. Cardiac status should be assessed as the arteriovenous fistula is believed to be an independent risk factor for cardiovascular disease due to promotion of hyperkinetic circulation [12]. Older age, diabetes mellitus, extensive atherosclerosis and secondary hyperparathyroidism, frequently seen in patients with CKD, are associated with vessel pathologies including Mönckeberg disease more commonly seen in people with diabetes than in general population, and vessel calcifications typical of secondary hyperparathyroidism. Questions should be asked about clotting disorders, use of anticoagulant or antithrombotic therapy. A detailed physical examination is mandatory prior to AVF creation. Scars, local inflammatory conditions, limb edema or asymmetry should all be identified. Blood supply to the upper extremity should be determined based on arterial blood pressure measurement and pulse symmetry. The absence of clearly palpable pulse in the radial artery indicates the vessel cannot be converted to a vascular access with blood flow exceeding 1000 mL/min [11, 13]. Allen’s test should be performed to confirm normal dual blood supply to the hand and pressure gradients of upper extremities should be determined. Systolic pressure gradient should be lower than 15 mm Hg between the brachial arteries for an arm fistula and lower than 15 mm Hg between ipsilateral brachial and radial arteries for a forearm fistula [10]. It is also essential to examine the superficial venous system, in particular vessel availability and course, and check for collateral circulation, the presence of which is considered a sign of venous drainage disturbances. Addressing the potential need for subsequent vessel superficialization, subcutaneous tissue thickness is also assessed [4, 14]. Noninvasive ultrasound of veins and arteries is of much assistance; temperature in the examination room should not fall below 20–22°C. Hot compresses on the limb will facilitate vessel dilation and measurement of its maximum diameter [10, 15]. Ultrasound mapping allows the assessment of all vessels with respect to their diameters, course, patency and stenosis. Caliber and quality of the vessels are of key importance for maintenance of function. The radial artery and brachial artery segment right above the cubital fossa are typically assessed and, in the venous system, the cephalic and basilica veins along their entire length [10, 13]. Arterial and vein diameters (AD, VD, respectively) are predictive of AVF outcomes. It has been reported that AVF failed if radial artery diameter was smaller than 2 mm or, according to other studies, smaller than 1.6 mm [4, 11, 16]. It is therefore recommended to use arteries ≥2 mm in diameter. For veins, diameters of ≥2.5–3 mm guarantee adequate fistula maturation. However, success of an AVF depends not only on vein diameter but also its preoperative distensibility [10, 16]. It is believed there is no AVF maturation without remodeling of both inflow and outflow limbs in response to hemodynamic changes following AVF formation [13, 17]. VD < 2 mm positively correlates with high incidence of early thrombosis [10, 16]. The problem of small vessel size and distensibility is more common in women [15, 18]. Ultrasound examination also allows assessment of vessel course and anatomic variants. For example high brachial artery bifurcation (above the elbow, typically in the axillary region) may complicate AVF maturation and, in the case of AVG, increase the risk of thrombosis. Ultrasound also allows flow spectrum estimation. Loss of respiratory phasicity in the axillary vein is suggestive of central venous stenosis [11]. Radiological investigations are recommended in selected patients with diabetes and secondary hyperparathyroidism to check for vascular calcifications [4].

Invasive examinations performed prior to AVF creation include arteriography and venography. On assessment of the arterial system, contrast medium is injected at the level of the aortic arch or, selectively, to the subclavian artery at the investigated side. In venography, a contrast agent is administered to a peripheral
vein, most frequently on the dorsum of the hand. Before dialysis, carbon dioxide and gadolinium are the contrast media to produce a venogram or arteriogram, respectively [10]. Considering their nephrotoxicity, iodinated contrast materials should not be used prior to renal replacement therapy [10].

**Types of AV fistula for dialysis; site and method of AVF creation**

AVF can be created in the majority of candidates for dialysis. Eighty-three percent of patients in Italy and 93% of patients in Japan undergo dialysis with a fistula. Elderly age should not preclude AV fistula creation. However, in patients over the age of 80, individual patient’s preferences and concomitant diseases should be considered. Nevertheless, 93.5% of the population over the age of 75 are suitable for AV fistula creation [19, 20].

Native accesses are characterized by higher survival and lower complication and thrombosis rates; stenosis and ischemic steal syndrome are quite rare [4]. The very first was a side-to-side Cimino-Brescia fistula connecting the radial artery with the cephalic vein at the wrist; it is still considered the native vascular access of choice in the forearm area [4, 21]. Other options are end-to-side (vein to artery) and end-to-end (also vein to artery) anastomoses. An end-to-side anastomosis is the most recommended while end-to-end connection is only used for reconstruction or for primary but emergency access [18]. End-to-end anastomosis yields higher rates of hand ischemia, especially in diabetic and elderly patients. It is currently advised that the method of AVF creation should be selected based on individual patient’s vessel anatomy [13]. Generally — on the non-dominant hand and the most distally, i.e. starting with the anatomical snuffbox as this offers the longest vein segment for hemodialysis punctures [4, 9, 19]. This is of much importance for inserting the second dialysis needle outside the so-called recirculation zone, that is at a distance of 3–5 cm from the first needle. A shorter distance between the dialysis needles is associated with a risk of purified blood returning to the dialyzer system, which significantly reduces the efficiency of hemodialysis treatment [22]. Distal AVF provides a chance of subsequent creation of secondary forearm fistulas.

In the case of end-to-side fistulas, the preferred anastomotic angle is between 42 and 45º as this minimizes neointimal formation. Other researchers favor 30º, still others opt for anastomotic angles of up to 49º. No strict recommendations have been published so far; the above recommendations are based on experts’ opinion. The length of the anastomosis depends on the surgeon and is typically 6–10 mm. Anastomoses longer than 10 mm do not yield substantial benefits [13, 17]. It should be remembered that AVF site determines its survival. Cumulative AVF patency rates at 2 and 3 years of renal replacement therapy were 70 and 58% respectively [5]. Native forearm AVFs had one and three years patency rates of 48–69% and 36–48%; the respective values for elbow area AVFs were higher and amounted to 67–84% and 50–78%. No consistent recommendations are available regarding fistula site in patients over the age of 80. Some authors opt for elbow area AVF, especially in women with diabetes mellitus; others believe that the function and durability of forearm AVFs are comparable [8, 21].

The first to be developed in the forearm area is the radial artery-cephalic vein fistula, with simultaneous ligation of peripheral branches [9]. Then follow: an ulnar-basilic, basilic-vein-transposition and radial artery–perforating vein (a variant of the Gracz fistula) anastomoses [4]. On the upper arm, a fistula can be created between the brachial artery and perforating vein (Gracz fistula) or brachial artery and cephalic vein. Another option is to dissect and superficialize the basilic vein and anastomose it to the brachial artery. If no veins of adequate diameter are available, the radial artery can be anastomosed with the nearest vein awaiting vessel dilation suitable for dialysis [9].

Artificial materials are used when native vessels are not available, i.e. patients are poor candidates for an AVF. Arteriovenous grafts are placed at different levels of upper extremities and anterior thorax [9]. When the central venous drainage of left and right upper extremities is compromised, unilateral central venous drainage is compromised and the other extremity had previously been amputated or an infection/thealing syndrome were diagnosed in the upper extremities, AVG can also be placed in the lower extremities [23]. The ends of polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) and polyurethane (PVAG) vascular access grafts [24] are sutured to the side of an artery or vein [4]. A two-year follow-up revealed comparable patency and complication rates for PTFE and PVAG. At 1 year, the primary patency rate for upper arm AVGs was between 40–71% (other authors reported 52–64%) decreasing to 41–49% at 2 years [21, 24]. The primary patency of upper arm AVGs is believed to depend on the access inflow. Radial artery ensures higher primary AVG patency rates compared to the brachial artery (53.8% vs. 24.4%) [25]. The primary patency rate for AVGs placed in the lower extremities was lower compared to upper arm AVGs and amounted to 34–66% at 1 year and 40% after 2 years [23]. It has been reported that PVAG grafts were associated with fewer hemorrhagic complications, lower risk of infection and anemia exacerbation [24]. However, compared to native access for dialysis, AVGs yield higher infection
and thrombosis rates, lower primary patency, higher rates of the stealing syndrome and episodes of critical limb ischemia including those leading to amputation [15, 23, 24]. Still, the use of an AVG is associated with lower risk of sepsis, hospitalization, and mortality when compared with a central venous catheter [26].

AVF creation and maintenance of patency pose considerable problems in 20% of dialysis patients. Vascular access difficulties are mainly seen in patients over the age of 65, particularly women; they are also associated with diabetes mellitus, peripheral vascular disease, collagenosis, amyloidosis, clotting and fibrinolytic disorders, overexpression of TGF-beta or erythropoetin [18].

**Fistula maturation**

It should be remembered that creation of an AVF for hemodialysis is no guarantee of success. The successful use of a newly created AV fistula depends on its maturation, which, in the case of a primary AVF, takes about 3 to 6 weeks (or, according to some authors, even 8 weeks). Maturation time is comparable in different age groups, e.g. children, adolescents or adults [6]; however, in people with high risk of vascular complications, maturation may take 12 to 16 weeks. An AVG can be used faster than an AV fistula — usually within 3 to 4 weeks (according to other authors 3 to 5 weeks) [9, 14, 27, 29]. Maturation allows strengthening of the vessel for hemodialysis access, vein arterialization and an increase in blood flow [5]. Initially, the increase of blood flow through anastomosis causes an increase in wall shear stress and secondary activation of vascular endothelial cells. In consequence, the secretion of tissue metalloproteinases responsible for extracellular matrix remodeling also increases; hence fragmentation of the internal elastic lamina and vessel dilation. As vessel diameter increases, shear stress is gradually restored to baseline levels and vascular resistance returns to physiological levels [13, 15]. Increases in artery and vein diameters correlate with larger volume of blood flowing through the fistula at about 2 to 3 weeks of fistula creation [27]. A fistula is judged to be mature if, at 6 months of its creation, it withstands 2 to 3 dialyses during 30 days with blood flow of 300–450 mL/min throughout a 3- to 5-hour dialysis session [30]. It should be emphasized that nearly 30% of native forearm fistulas fail to mature due to some anatomic pathology, most frequently vessel stenosis [31]. Other risk factors for nonmaturation include age over 65 years, female gender (smaller vein diameter and relaxation response to wall distension), arterial hypertension, diabetes mellitus and other pathologies resulting in increased vessel stiffness, calcification and atherosclerosis progression [11].

According to KDOQI (Kidney Disease Outcomes Quality Initiative) recommendations, at six weeks after creation, blood flow of an AV fistula should be at least 600 mL/min [32]; however, BF typically reaches 1200–1400 mL/min [22, 33]. If the flow exceeds 2000 mL/min, the fistula is referred to as hyperdynamic; it tends to coexist with circulatory insufficiency [9, 32]. Efficient hemodialysis requires a blood flow rate between 150–200 mL/min [4]. Mature AVFs withstands blood flow rates between 300 and 700 mL/min (according to other authors 350–500 mL/min) [9, 22]. Typically, blood flow is set at 200–400 mL/min [18] but is reduced to 200–250 mL/min during the first AVF puncture to minimize the risk of blood extravasation [27]. Fistula maturation also results in vein dilation allowing multiple cannulations. Veins with a diameter of ≥ 6 mm and appropriate wall thickness are considered suitable for needle insertion [10]. It should be emphasized that needle insertions prior to the completion of AVF maturation, and especially within 14 days of its creation, are deemed to significantly shorten fistula survival [4]. Maturation period should be used for exercises with heat application and dynamic exercises. Warm baths help dilate the anastomosed vessels, have anti-inflammatory effects and improve lymphatic drainage. Dynamic exercises strengthen vessel walls, increase blood flow and prevent edema formation. In weeks two and three of fistula creation the patient should exercise 70 and 100 minutes a day, respectively. Alternative programs recommend 50 exercise cycles of 3 minutes each yielding 150 minutes a day [5].

**Complications of arteriovenous fistulas for hemodialysis**

Complications of arteriovenous fistulas for hemodialysis can be divided into early and late. Early complications include a non-functional vascular access due to inadequate maturation, arterialization and vessel dilation even if the vessel itself remains patent. Another early complication is complete patency loss in the first three or six months of creation [10, 17] usually due to anastomotic stenosis resulting from venous thrombosis and resultant occlusion [17]. This type of stenosis most frequently develops in a transposed venous component of an AVF and, a bit less frequently, in its arterial part or venous outflow tract [13]. The latter is associated with BF decrease and promotes thrombosis. Stenosis in the venous part of the fistula results in pressure increase inside the anastomosis, which predisposes to the development of aneurysms [29]. According to statistics, early lesions occur more frequently in fistulas with postoperative BF > 350 mL/min compared to AVF with BF below that value (55% vs. 11.3%, respectively).
Elderly people and diabetic patients are more prone to early complications [28]. Too early attempts of needle insertion to the fistula, in particular within 14 days of its formation, increase the risk of complications and shorten AVF survival [4]. Compared to AVG, arteriovenous fistulas more frequently become infected and impatent [24].

Late vascular access complications include exaggerated venous dilation, true and false aneurysms and venous stenosis at anastomosis or AVF puncture sites. Also, parietal AVF calcifications and wall thickening due to endothelial proliferation — mainly at the sites of turbulent blood flow (venous dilation, sharp vessel curves). Another complication is collateral circulation, the formation of which delays or precludes fistula maturation, reduces or reverses blood flow through a fistula [29, 33]. The incidence of steal syndrome is higher with fistulas created within the cubital fossa (1–4%). Its clinical manifestations include distal extremity pallor, diminished pulses (distal to the fistula), tissue necrosis, decrease in the wrist/brachial pressure index and pain (distal to anastomotic site) [24].

However, complications are not only related to the anastomotic site. AVF formation results in hyperdynamic circulation. BF in exceed of 2000 mL/min or Qa:CO ratio greater than 0.3 (Qa — flow through fistula, CO — cardiac output) indicate a risk for left ventricular hypertrophy and diastolic dysfunction. Treatment includes attempts to salvage the access by reducing blood flow using venous banding or inflow reduction by anastomosis distalization. If these prove ineffective, the AV fistula is closed [32].

Assessment of AVF function — diagnosis and treatment

Literature data indicate that around 30% of the AVFs do not mature for needle insertion. Hence, early detection of pathology and fistula salvage intervention are of critical importance. It is well-established that anticipative management strategies bring more benefits than any other treatment following thrombus formation. The first check of a newly formed AVF is performed within a month of its creation [28, 32, 34]. Postoperative wound healing is assessed and infection or venous thrombosis ruled out. Arterial inflow is estimated — even when much pressure is applied, a well-functioning fistula keeps pulsating. A continuous thrill extending through systole and diastole indicates appropriate venous outflow [27].

The following are used for AVF assessment: duplex Doppler ultrasonography, computed tomography angiography (CTA), magnetic resonance angiography (MRA) and invasive examinations including fistulography or digital subtractive angiography (DSA) [7, 9].

Early AVF assessment is performed using duplex Doppler ultrasonography — a valuable and follow-up modality. Wang et al. recommend follow-up examinations on day 1, week 1 and 12 weeks of vascular access surgery [28]. Duplex Doppler ultrasonography is a method of choice to assess anastomosed vessel morphology. It allows accurate identification of fistula stenosis and helps estimate blood flow through the AVF. Therefore it can be predictive of efficient dialysis and, indirectly, a risk for thrombus formation. Unfortunately, the results of duplex Doppler ultrasonography largely depend on the individual examiner’s skills and experience [7, 28, 33, 35].

CTA yields a spatial image of an AVF thus facilitating the selection and scope of a reparative intervention. It is a minimally invasive, repeatable and short examination. Radiation exposure and volumes of a contrast agent are lower compared to DSA. Also, computed tomography angiography is more cost-effective than DSA and MRA [7].

Fistulography is a standard method to detect venous stenoses and accessory veins. It should be performed in patients with inadequate fistula maturation, and, if needed, combined with vascular interventions including angioplasty, embolization, accessory vessel ligation, or surgical revision [27].

DSA is considered the gold standard in vessel assessment although it does not allow vessel quantification. As it is invasive and requires administration of larger volumes of contrast media, DSA has a higher rate of associated complications [7].

AVF complications can be treated using a surgical or endovascular approach. Surgical management is preferred for stenosis/thrombus of an AVF created distally on the forearm. The rate of restenosis is lower compared to endovascular interventions. Isolated short-segment venous stenoses (< 1 cm) are resected and an end-to-end anastomosis is performed. An alternative is stenotic segment angioplasty with a small autologous patch or artificial graft. Autologous vein or artificial grafts, e.g. PTFE, result in similar efficacy and restenosis rates. In the case of stenoses > 1 cm or multiple stenoses, it is recommended to create a new, more proximal AVF [34]. Surgical management is also used for nonmaturating fistulas resulting from insufficient arterial supply [27].

Balloon angioplasty is performed in patients with central venous or fistula stenosis. Access is obtained
distally or proximally via the brachial or radial artery. A retrograde venous access device can make an acceptable alternative. Pharmacotherapy is also considered; using drug-coated balloons antiproliferative agents such as sirolimus (rapamycin) are administered directly to stenotic segments of the fistula or central veins [29]. Following angioplasty, a fistulogram must be obtained from fistula site down to the central veins [31].

Some patients undergo venous superficialization, mainly obese individuals whose veins are deeply located (usually deeper than 1 cm) and unavailable for puncture. A 10–15 cm long venous segment of the fistula is dissected, the vessel bed sutured and the vessel transposed to a subcutaneous pocket [4, 27].

**Summary**

Since kidney dialysis life expectancy increases, problems and complications encountered with arteriovenous fistulas and grafts for dialysis are also more frequent. Repeated anastomosis are created more and more often. That is why AVF creation and treatment of associated complications should be tailored to the needs of an individual patient. The number of people receiving renal replacement therapy in Poland and worldwide continues to increase. It should therefore be recognized that care for maintaining good vessel condition in the pre-dialysis period, creation of a well-functioning and mature arteriovenous fistula, its proper use, early diagnosis and treatment of fistula pathologies is the basic factor to limit dialysis-related complications. Hence, life quality and survival time of patients with end-stage renal disease could be improved.
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